

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [boma57](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2004 05:09:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So...Return of the King just won Best Picture, winning in all 11 categories that it was nominated for and tying with Titanic and Ben Hur for the most oscars ever.

---

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2004 09:54:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yay!

---

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [gendres](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2004 11:30:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Cool

---

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [bigjoe14](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2004 15:25:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Seabiscut didn't get the recognition it deserved.

---

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [smwScott](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2004 18:57:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That's too bad about LOTR, it really didn't deserve the recognition it got.

---

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2004 21:32:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I personally thought ROTK was a brilliant movie - Peter Jackson did a brilliant job on all levels. But I think Revolutions should have won more Oscars because it was cool.

---

Definitely cooler than Titanic, at least.

---

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [smwScott](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2004 22:38:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Revolutions wasn't even nominated for best special effects, which was rubbish. And how the hell did LOTR win best screenplay ... barely any of it was original work and it was entirely based off the books.

---

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [almor999](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2004 23:00:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think the LOTR movies are overrated, ROTK was good but I don't think it deserved 11 categories. The books are much better than the movies, even at over 3 hrs long per movie they only tell at most 2/3rds of the story.

While we are on the topic of movies who else has seen The Passion of the Christ, now that was a well done movie.

---

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [hareman](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2004 23:04:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It got those Oscars for the achievements.

It won for Adapted Screenplay not original that went to Lost in Translation

Just getting it to the screen was a helluva an accomplishment

Revolutions was a better looking movie but it was tedious and really really convoluted and left too many questions.

---

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [hunteroo2](#) on Tue, 02 Mar 2004 02:34:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

to bad bill murray didnt win best actor

---

---

Subject: Oscars

Posted by [smwScott](#) on Tue, 02 Mar 2004 02:58:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

haremait got those Oscars for the achievements.

It won for Adapted Screenplay not original that went to Lost in Translation

Just getting it to the screen was a helluva an accomplishment

Revolutions was a better looking movie but it was tedious and really really convoluted and left too many questions.

Oh, well I guess it deserves it for Adapted Screenplay.

I understood Revolutions fine, I really thought it pretty much capped the plot off well and didn't leave many questions. The Academy doesn't like those kinds of movies anyway, but its pure BS that it wasn't at the very least nominated for special effects - whether or not you like the movie it had kickass CG.

---