Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by Mobius on Tue, 12 Aug 2003 22:35:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/americas/3135617.stm http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/2759157.stm

Very scary.

Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by Vitaminous on Wed, 13 Aug 2003 00:42:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Welcome to the age of terror. :dontgetit:

Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by KIRBY098 on Wed, 13 Aug 2003 16:57:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AprimeWelcome to the age of terror. :dontgetit:

Well,

Now that you've made that statement, and realize the threat is real, perhaps you could back off on your Anti-U.S. stance for our reaction to this threat.

The difference between America and Europe (Excluding Britain)?

America will NEVER accept terrorism as a way of life.

Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by Who Knows on Wed, 20 Aug 2003 03:00:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sorry mate, but America has supported terrorism in the past. That's where the Taliban got all it's weapons. Define terrorism anyway. I believe it is something like radical measures taken to change something politically or symbolicly. Terrorists usually don't hit the places where they'd get the most casualities, they attack the symbolic targets. I'm surprised that Al-Queda(sorry can spell it right now) didn't bomb the Statue of Liberty.

America can and will support terrorism if it helps them, not hurts them. But that might be hard as practically everyone hates them. Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by Nodbugger on Wed, 20 Aug 2003 05:41:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The taliban is afghanistans government. And when we helped Afghanistan Al-Queda and the taliban were a thought in someones head. So pelase stop taking wrong things out of your ass.

And no they use unconventional attacks to scare people into doing things. aka nlowing themselves up in populated areas. there goal is to kill and mame as amny as possibl. Not to attack symbols.

Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by Sir Phoenixx on Wed, 20 Aug 2003 12:54:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Who KnowsSorry mate, but America has supported terrorism in the past. That's where the Taliban got all it's weapons. Define terrorism anyway. I believe it is something like radical measures taken to change something politically or symbolicly. Terrorists usually don't hit the places where they'd get the most casualities, they attack the symbolic targets. I'm surprised that Al-Queda(sorry can spell it right now) didn't bomb the Statue of Liberty.

LOL... :rolleyes:

Terrorism is simply this:

n.

The unlawful use or threatened use of force or violence by a person or an organized group against people or property with the intention of intimidating or coercing societies or governments, often for ideological or political reasons.

If you were to make a threat that you were going to blow up or to attack, for example, a US hospital, or embassy or some other government building, and you could possibly follow through with your threat, you are by definition a terrorist.

Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by Vitaminous on Wed, 20 Aug 2003 16:39:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

err? I was just making a simple statement based on a TV show about the 21st century which is called obviously: The Age Of Terror.

What's so anti-american about it o.O I like, erm, don't have anything agains't america O.o I'm sorry but the organization that you helped against the Soviets was the Taliban. And no country is above some form of terrorism.

Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by Duke of Nukes on Wed, 20 Aug 2003 21:47:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In my opinion, attacking Iraq was little more than an act of terrorism. I say this because by attacking Iraq, we were hoping to scare other countries into submission. While that may not necissarily be a bad thing, it is also terrorism.

Subject: I'm the king of the portal! Posted by Vitaminous on Wed, 20 Aug 2003 23:25:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesIn my opinion, attacking Iraq was little more than an act of terrorism. I say this because by attacking Iraq, we were hoping to scare other countries into submission. While that may not necissarily be a bad thing, it is also terrorism. B

Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 21 Aug 2003 14:11:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Who KnowsI'm sorry but the organization that you helped against the Soviets was the Taliban. And no country is above some form of terrorism.

Incorrect again.

We helped the Mujahedeen, the Taliban came later as the strongest clan took control of the disorganized vaccuum left when the Soviets pulled out.

The Taliban was a government based on extreem muslim ideas. It was not the people's revolution against the Soviets.

http://www.foil.org/resources/9-11/Natrajan-0109xx-Afghan%20Timeline.htm

As you can see, the Taliban didn't come into existence until 1994.

Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by setstyle on Fri, 22 Aug 2003 17:59:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It looks like Osama bin Laden's CIA training is surely helping him now.

Subject: Briton arrested in 'terror missile' sting Posted by asdfg195 on Mon, 25 Aug 2003 15:49:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesIn my opinion, attacking Iraq was little more than an act of terrorism. I say this because by attacking Iraq, we were hoping to scare other countries into submission. While that may not necissarily be a bad thing, it is also terrorism.

Yea, because Saddam gasing the Kurds wasn't just genocide. But apparently people must think genocide is an OK thing if they think was an OK guy and didn't deserve to be invaded.