

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [joroe34](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 18:31:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Using your logic... the overthrow of Hitler was an unjust war?

Further, go to your local video shop, rent "In Memorandum." This video shows on 9-11, Americans jumping to their deaths out of burning buildings. An attack that claimed over 3000 innocents. Our Country was attacked, anything we do to prevent attacks on our homeland from happening again, I feel is justifiable. People of Saddam's ilk cannot be reasoned with. He and the terrorist he supports would not hesitate to kill Americans - why should we live under that threat?

Remember... you are either with us or against us ... what side are you on?

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 18:50:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Maybe he's French...

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [eggmac](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 18:51:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes that's true. I am a pacifist, because war is the greatest crime against humanity in human history EVER.  
See, what happened on 09.11 was extremely horrible! But if America attacks Iraq, it brings that exact horror to the Iraqi people! Thousands of people will die, due to the fact that the USA wants to get rid of Saddam Hussein. However evil he is and how many crimes he has convicted, there is no legitimation for a war, which is worse than anything else for the civil population.  
Furthermore, if you consider the time after the war, which will hopefully be over VERY quick, this war will result in a lot of hatred against the USA and other western countries and thus leading to even more terrorist attacks. You can't stop terrorism by war, it is just impossible!

How come I get the honour of a whole thread dedicated only to me?

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [eggmac](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 18:53:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY098Maybe he's French...

No, I am a Jew living in Germany being born in Russia and studying English.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [joroe34](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 18:55:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Eggmac, if you can... answer this was World War 2 a "justified" war?

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [eggmac](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 19:00:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

joroe34Eggmac, if you can... answer this was World War 2 a "justified" war?  
It was one of the MOST horrible things happened in human history. It was started by Hitler himself, not any other country that wished to remove him. So that war was very unjustified. But the invasion of Germany was very justified, because Germany was the aggressor.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [joroe34](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 19:05:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Further Eggmac, your opinions do matter, however pacifist never have a solution to the problem. You have many opinions but no solutions! Pacifist believe that if you leave them alone - they won't hurt you. I feel 9-11 dispelled that, what did those people who went to work that day do to deserve what they received? They were attacked for no reason.

What would you pose for Americans to do with people who have professed a desire to spill our children's blood in our streets. Do you seriously feel that these people can be reasoned with?

Come on...join reality! People that are bent on the destruction of America who have the means to obtain and use weapons of mass destruction must be sought out and destroyed! I don't want a war but I also don't want to see my, yours, or any other children dying at the hands of people who have no shred of decency.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 19:23:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

eggmacKIRBY098Maybe he's French...

No, I am a Jew living in Germany being born in Russia and studying English.

Why do I find this to be slightly.....untrue?

It seems that you made sure that your ethnic background was politically correct. Sorry egg, this is a description I just can't believe.

---

As for this war and WWII. WWII was a justified war, and many people from the global press in the past 15 years have compared Saddam to Hitler. The time has come for the US to finish a war that started 12 years ago.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [joroe34](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 19:25:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:It was one of the MOST horrible things happened in human history. It was started by Hitler himself, not any other country that wished to remove him. So that war was very unjustified. But the invasion of Germany was very justified, because Germany was the aggressor.

You skirted around the question.

Did the US and Britain and other allies have justification to respond to the threat that the German and other Axis powers posed to the world?

And I would like to point out that Japan killed many Americans the day Pearl Harbor was bombed - did we not have justification to protect our interest at that point in history?

We could go back and forth on this issue. So I will sum it up this way...

I feel your way of thinking actually does not promote peace, it works toward destroying peace. History has shown that there is always bad people wanting to do bad things. If left unchecked, bad people will destroy all that is good. I think we can agree that Saddam is a very bad person. I, in my heart, feel that if he is not controlled he will have a hand in hurting innocent lives. You see eggmac, your opinion makes you feel good. I understand your opinion. Everyone should strive for peaceful solutions to problems.

However, in the real world, those opinions can get you hurt or killed. Especially in Today's world where one gram of anthrax can kill millions of people. And what is really scary for me, is that there is a person out there, hell there are thousands of people out there just waiting to be the one to deliver that destruction to my home land. Do you really believe that if we just leave these people alone, they won't attempt to destroy us? Again please join the real world. Their hatred for us should not be underestimated, they will kill us at any given chance!

I am not a pacifist for this reason. I will protect my homeland from any threat. I have made that oath as part of the military and I make that oath today as a member of society who enjoys and appreciates what my country gives me. And I want my children to be able to enjoy the same type of life I enjoyed!

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [eggmac](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 19:56:00 GMT

I see what you mean joroe. And yes, I agree with you and with K9 that the response to the Nazi-German aggression is more than justified.

But today we do not have a response to an aggression, but an aggression itself. You rightly say that dictatorships like Hussein's must be controlled and contained. This is the duty of the international community in order to prevent ethnic cleansing, aggression etc. But I do not believe that Iraq is a real threat to the United States or any other country. There is no evidence for that, furthermore there is no evidence that Iraq had anything to do with the attacks of Sept. 11th. And even if there was, an attack on such a country is irresponsible because the first victims of that war will not be the 'bad' guys but the innocent civilians. Such conflicts must be solved by any other means but not by war, because a 'Military humanism' is not possible, it is a contradiction in itself.

It is often said that pacifists are irrational and far away from reality. But I cannot agree on that (how could I), especially at the moment. The USA is the most powerful country in the world, it has the possibility of acting however they like. So it is in their responsibility to start a war or to solve a problem with other means such as diplomacy, sanctions, containment (the case of the Soviet Union proves that containment policy and human rights activities DO change the world) etc. Pacifists before the First World War were laughed at and during the war even imprisoned. Later they were proved right. Same was in Nazi-Germany before the Second World War. Hitler justified his war by saying that Poland suppresses German citizens and that Jews are the evil tyrants of the world being responsible for horrible crimes. Everyone tries to justify his actions by difarmating (sp? sorry) his opponent. But one has to ask oneself whether there is much truth in it or not.

K9, I did say the truth. I do not have any desire to lie to you in any way. Why should I? There is nothing very special about my backgrounds.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 20:18:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

How were pacifists proved correct? No major war has ever been prevented by pacifists.

Here's the logic:

A person who hates your country, and by extension hates yourself, has an automatic rifle. He's standing in front of you, aiming at your face.

You try and work it out.

He shoots you and moves on to the next person.

That's how it works. Pacifism is not an option in the world of humanity. Pacifism will never work unless everyone is peaceful to begin with, and by that point, no one would start a war!

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [eggmac](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 21:07:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller  
Here's the logic:

A person who hates your country, and by extension hates yourself, has an automatic rifle. He's standing in front of you, aiming at your face.

In your analogy, Mr. Bush would be the man with the rifle aiming at other countries. Now tell me, how can this be correct?  
Your arguments are based on the assumption that you defend yourself. But the USA does not defend itself, it offends another country.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 21:12:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Eggmac you are a brave man standing up to these people. Allow me to assist you by slightly discrediting them.

I do not feel america was justified by using the atomic weapons as they did. They dropped them on civilian targets. I mean it would have been just as effective by dropping it on military bases. Because you see the Japanese attacked military targets. To my knowledge hardly any civilians were harmed.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 21:12:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah, we don't defend ourselves. That's why we were attacked first, and rarely attack others without being attacked to begin with.

Get your facts straight and stop bullshitting, it isn't good for your mental health.

My analogy was about you, not about Bush.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [frijud](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 21:13:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This has been a most pleasant non-flaming debate, and a joy to read and hear differing ideas. Thanks for not turning this into a flamewar!

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 21:16:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Commando no. 448Eggmac you are a brave man standing up to these people. Allow me to assist you by slightly discrediting them.

I do not feel america was justified by using the atomic weapons as they did. They dropped them on civilian targets. I mean it would have been just as effective by dropping it on military bases. Because you see the Japanese attacked military targets. To my knowledge hardly any civilians were harmed.

Don't even start with this bullshit. Many more people would have died if we had invaded them and fought in the streets of their cities, house to house, building to building.

You can't argue with that. You know how the Japanese are when it comes to war, if you've studied history at all concerning Asia.

Atomic bombs were the best way to end the war, intimidate the Soviet Union, and assure the deterrent factor of the nuclear weapon age.

I don't care how brave he is. He's still wrong.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [joroe34](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 22:43:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

I do not feel america was justified by using the atomic weapons as they did. They dropped them on civilian targets. I mean it would have been just as effective by dropping it on military bases. Because you see the Japanese attacked military targets. To my knowledge hardly any civilians were harmed

Spoken like a true pacifist.

Let 's play this game.... Do you think, given the opportunity... Japan would have used a nuke on the usa during ww2? Given the chance, do you think Hitler would have used a nuke on the USA in WW2.

Now... Do you think, given the chance, Saddam would release chemical weapons in the USA? Do you think Osama bin Laden would explode a "dirty" bomb in the USA.

It is my opinion that history always repeats itself. However, the consequences for our society is far more greater than say 50 years ago. Again, I go back to my original statement that a gram of anthrax can kill a million people. Pacifist say prove that Saddam is a threat to the USA. It is my opinion he presents a large threat to our safety because we cannot account for the destruction of the stockpiles of chemical agents he possessed/possesses. If you think that Saddam, if left in power, would not sell materials of mass destruction to known terrorist, you are only kidding yourself.

I feel America is justified in protecting its citizenry and interest. It would be nice to feel the way pacifist feel. However, in the real world, not all people are good nor do all people have good intentions. Some people want to kill my children while I watch. And when they are done spilling my child's blood in the streets they will rape and kill my wife. And when they are done with my wife, they will kill me by putting a bullet in my head. You see pacifist, this is their mentality, it is one that cannot be reasoned with and must be eliminated. If countries tolerate or encourage these types of bad people - they deserve what they get.

And commando you are wrong. The Japanese killed an American in Idaho by floating a bomb attached to a hot air balloon that landed in a field. A unsuspecting person picked up the bomb and it blew up in her face.

Again, if allowed, people will attack this country. It is amazing pacifist choose to ignore this fact and hope it goes away. What if it is your child who is left to die in the streets? What then???

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 22:45:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Note how I claimed that the bombs SHOULD have been used on military targets. I am sure wherever they were dropped they would scare the Japanese into surrender. You may say that is non-pacifist. I never said I would directly aid eggmac. I am merely doing what I do well. Pull apart arguments piece by piece.

And, my fair competitor, pacifism can work to a large degree if we keep war mongers out of decision making positions. I mean, for example, a terrorist controlling a country is a lot more dangerous than him/her fighting alone. I agree pacifism will not TOTALLY work for at least several centuries but some of its ends can be accomplished in the present.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 22:52:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[quote="joroe34"]Quote:

And commando you are wrong. The Japanese killed an American in Idaho by floating a bomb attached to a hot air balloon that landed in a field. A unsuspecting person picked up the bomb and it blew up in her face.

What if it is your child who is left to die in the streets? What then???

Remember I mentioned hardly any civilians were killed by the Japanese. And I was referring to the Pearl Harbor attack when I claimed they targeted military targets. (No I didn't just say that to lessen your attack but intended that in the original post)

And in response to the last few lines. I would, after a short fit of rage, call to mind some of the beatitudes. I will not detail them as I do not think this an appropriate time to "play my religion card."

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Ren Sizzlefab](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 22:53:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller

Don't even start with this bullshit. Many more people would have died if we had invaded them and fought in the streets of their cities, house to house, building to building.

You can't argue with that. You know how the Japanese are when it comes to war, if you've studied history at all concerning Asia.

Atomic bombs were the best way to end the war, intimidate the Soviet Union, and assure the deterrent factor of the nuclear weapon age.

I don't care how brave he is. He's still wrong.

Yes many people would have died in conventional fighting, and a demonstration of the power of an atomic bomb was necessary to end the war, but the use of it on civilians was barbaric. You can't tell me that if a huge chunk of uninhabited landscape was wiped out by a bomb, and a threat was made to use it on an actual target, that it wouldn't have a similar deterring effect?

And as people have said before, just because their opinion differs from yours, it doesn't mean they're wrong.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 23:24:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes, it does - they're wrong to me.

Ever think of that? I suppose not.

Now, if you'd think about it... Who's going to really understand the destructive power of something unless it is released in an environment where it can prove its worth?

That's the point, that's why we dropped them on their civilian cities.

---

---



Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 23:59:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hmm... If you really wanted to prove their destructive worth on cities, why not try them on your own! I mean the Japanese make flimsy wooden building but the US had real well built cities! I mean How about seeing what it could do on concrete!

Oh wait I drifted into a fantasy world where people do things differently. Sorry about that. I won't let it happen again. :oops:

Anyways did the US build those mock houses to test it on? I am forgetting if those were before or after WW2. Oh wait I think that was after. But anyways why not test it on smaller cities where there would be lesser civilian deaths? No wait they had to be, as ren sizzlefab said it best, barbaric.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Ren Sizzlefab](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 00:09:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

AircraftkillerYes, it does - they're wrong to me.

Ever think of that? I suppose not.

Now, if you'd think about it... Who's going to really understand the destructive power of something unless it is released in an environment where it can prove its worth?

That's the point, that's why we dropped them on their civilian cities.

Yes I did think of that, saying "You're wrong" and "I think you're wrong" are two different things. If I say  $1+1=732$ , then I'm wrong. If I say "God doesn't exist" you may think I'm wrong, but you can't conclusively say I'm wrong.

And you can see the destructive power of something without witnessing its ultimate intended use. So by your example, if I see an automatic rifle tear up a cardboard target or practice dummy, I think "Well that's not a real person, so it couldn't possibly hurt me." :rolleyes:

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 00:16:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You're not seeing its full potential, which is obviously what I was referring to...

\*woosh\*

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Ren Sizzlefab](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 00:45:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller You're not seeing its full potential, which is obviously what I was referring to...

\*woosh\*

You are seeing its full potential, not its full effect. Which is why America dropped it on a civilian target. They weren't sure of the exact effects, so they organised two "field tests" in Japan.

Again, you don't need to see the actual event to understand the full implications.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 00:53:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Where is eggmac? ( " ) ( , , ) ( , , ) I am shooting that tanks while they exit the war factory but it is him who will have to destroy them.

Well let's see where are the weak points.

Ok second post in the topic. Kirby has resorted to racial discrimination to ease his frustration. This type of frustration fueling his arguements clearly shows that his arguements will be influenced by this and thus lose some credibility. I don't feel we should communicate with each other over more serious matters with such rage behind us.

But then again \*sigh\* who cares we have so many people that act out of anger.

I will not involve myself further until I see eggmac make some results. 1/4 my participation was based on helping eggmac.

If you are wondering about the other 3/4s. 1/2 was a chance to get my fill of good discussion. 1/4 was to share my veiws.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 01:15:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Commando no. 448 Where is eggmac? ( " ) ( , , ) ( , , ) I am shooting that tanks while they exit the war factory but it is him who will have to destroy them.

Well let's see where are the weak points.

Ok second post in the topic. Kirby has resorted to racial discrimination to ease his frustration. This type of frustration fueling his arguements clearly shows that his arguements will be influenced by

---

this and thus lose some credibility. I don't feel we should communicate with each other over more serious matters with such rage behind us.

But then again \*sigh\* who cares we have so many people that act out of anger.

I will not involve myself further until I see eggmac make some results. 1/4 my participation was based on helping eggmac.

If you are wondering about the other 3/4s. 1/2 was a chance to get my fill of good discussion. 1/4 was to share my veiws.

Spare me your liberal "Let's talk it out for months at the U.N. and not get anything done" B.S.. By the way, "French" isn't a race. It's merely a weak, pacifist, wuss culture that refuses to help the very nation that has rescued it TWICE from it's nieghbors, becuae it was too pathetic to save itself.

Nothing gets done by the weak in this world. They are usually the first to die. Serve your country, have someone point a real weapon at you, and then tell me your theories on "Peace". Ever had 300 missiles pointed at your ship by Iran, with an itchy trigger finger? I have. Ever breathed in the smoke from oil fires that make the sky black in the middle of the afternoon? I have. Ever had to wear chemical suits for hours on end? I have.

Spare me.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 01:22:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller\*woosh\*

Understatement of the year, old friend.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 01:47:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We found a topic that ACK and I can agree on 100%

I asked this question on WW forums and it got deleted.

How many of you have been a victim of terrorism, lost a loved one to war, have been in a war or even served in the military?

I can answer yes to almost all of these questions.

I am a Desert Storm Veteran, served 8 years in the US Army Reserves with 2 of those years active.

I am a fire fighter. I have been for 11+ years. Fire Fighters are a family. Whether we know each other or not, we all grieve when one of us falls. September 11, 2001 was a very sad time in US history. We lost 3000 people in an unprovoked attack. 10% of those that died were fire fighters people that devote their lives to saving others, no matter what the dangers. When one of us falls, the whole fire fighter community across the country hears about it and mourns the loss. Now tell me again why you think the US attacking Iraq is illegal. Iraq has long supported terrorism. Even to the point of giving money to the family members of suicide bombers in Israel. That in my book is supporting terrorism. Iraq has used WMD on his own people. Is that not a crime? Well I guess to a Nazi it is not a crime.

As for France, please explain how a country, whose current president had Saddam as a contributor to his election fund, can be impartial. France is no longer an ally of the US. IMO France is now an enemy of the US. No war is clean and no war is fair. But war is a fact of life. Egg and all of you Anti-American dreamers your intentions for peace may be sincere, but you're aiming you anger at the wrong country. Iraq has played Europe like a cheap violin.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 02:03:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Failing or flawed logic should be relinquished from ones mental arsenal upon identification. Clinging to broken logic for the purpose of saving face = bad.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [eggmac](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 02:34:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

K9Trooper

Egg and all of you Anti-American dreamers your intentions for peace may be sincere, but you're aiming you anger at the wrong country.

No, I am not an Anti-American. Standing up for peace is not anti-americanism. Criticizing Bush's policy is not anti-americanism.

Sure, the regime in Iraq must not be tolerated. The assumption that Hussein possesses weapons of mass destruction must not be tolerated. But whatever threat Hussein might pose to the rest of the world, it is not grand enough to justify a war.

Quote:I feel America is justified in protecting it's citizenry and intrest

True, very true. But is a war on Iraq really a defensive war? Does it serve for the protection of US citizens? In my view, it does the contrary.

There are no troops of Iraq standing at the border of the USA, whereas the opposite is the case. There are no links of Iraq to 9.11. Iraq does not have any military power or any possibility to attack the USA. Iraq is controlled and supervised for 12 years by the UN and there is no evidence that weapons of mass destruction are still possessed by Saddam Hussein.

Many things can be assumed, Iraq does not pose an objective threat to the USA. The media try to evoke those feelings, of course, but objectively speaking, Iraq has no possibility to threaten the USA in any way.

The USA is the most powerful nation and has the greatest influence on the world. Even the horrible attacks of september 11th do not pose a real threat to the USA, the terrorists were able to kill 'only' 3000 people (don't get me wrong, that sounds really horrible), which is, in numbers, not much compared to any other collateral damage. So there is no threat against the USA. Your children are relatively safe, your families are.

But, on the other hand, the children in Iraq are not. They have to go through the horror of war. The civilians there will have to suffer. I cannot imagine that anybody wants that to happen. I think we all can agree that this is something that should be avoided.

Some of you say that it could not have been avoided but I am convinced of the opposite. If the real goal was to find weapons of mass destruction then the UN inspectors were the right means to do so. If the goal was to disarm Iraq then supervision of the UN would be the only legitimate possibility. If the goal was to get rid of Saddam Hussein then one must have judged him in the international court of war crimes. Or hell, one could have even just assassinated him if it would be really necessary. But none of that happened. This war is not about promoting democracy. It is not about freeing Iraqis from a dictatorship. This war is about power and influence, like any other war is as well.

What really scares me is that the world is more and more divided into black and white. Like Bush said "Either you're with us or against us". This sentence has a really great impact. How can smaller countries know that they are not next on US war agenda? If they do not comply to US demands, they have to fear a military intervention. Now you may say that these countries then will stop to feed terrorism and that the world will be safer. But terrorism evolves without the help of any countries, it evolves due to extreme hatred. And after the war in Iraq, new hatred against the USA will evolve. It will have a huge impact on new generations of arabs and of the third world countries. Thus, each war will produce much more terrorism than it can erase.

It is not credible that the US government wants a war in order to remove the dictatorial regime of Saddam Hussein. Pakistan is a military dictatorship with no free speech. It is supported by the US. Ukraine is a quasi-dictatorship, Kazakhstan is a dictatorship, Turkey commits crimes against humanity (ethnic cleansing of Kurds), they are close partners to the US. Saudi-Arabia is ruled by a dictator backed up by the USA. Not to mention dozens of governments in Africa. So for me, the assumption that Bush wants a war for humane reasons only is very much not credible.

Quote:Nothing gets done by the weak in this world. They are usually the first to die

The most important democratic principle is that every minority is equal to others and must be protected. The state has the only legitimation to use violence, we are far beyond the idea of the 'survival of the fittest'. Your assumption would fit into the stone-age, but we are hopefully civilised people and not animals after all. On a political level, only the UN has the legitimation to decide on military actions against other countries. Anything else is a violation of international law. If every country would do whatever it likes then we would fall back to pre-WWII-times (which we maybe did already).

P.S.

Quote:Who's going to really understand the destructive power of something unless it is released in an environment where it can prove its worth?

That's the point, that's why we dropped them on their civilian cities.

It is a shame to read something like that. Your argument is 100% identical with the argument of Osama Bin Laden.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [eggmac](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 02:49:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

K9Trooper

As for France, please explain how a country, whose current president had Saddam as a contributor to his election fund, can be impartial. France is no longer an ally of the US. IMO France is now an enemy of the US.

How can you say so?

1. I do not believe that Saddam funded Chirac's election campaign as this sounds REALLY very incredible. Prove me the opposite. I do believe that this might have been stated in the US media but, at the moment, they are completely biased.
2. France disagrees on a particular issue. That does not mean it is opposed to the USA in any other way. Just because there are different opinions does not mean that a friendship should be lost, or even more, new rivalties arise.
3. Don't you think 'freedom fries' is just so incredibly ridiculous? Say what you want, but what is now going on in the US is a very dangerous kind of national racism.
4. To come back to 1): In the early 1980's Saddam Hussein was backed up by the United states of America until 1988. Only thus was he able to maintain his power within his country. The US was interested in Iraq starting a war with Iran, which they actually did. So, in a way, USA has made its own 'Frankenstein'-monster. Same is true for the Taliban in Afghanistan. Their putch was funded by CIA-money. Even worse: In the late 1970's Al-Quaida and Osama Bin-Laden was funded by the USA because they were fighting against the Soviet Union. "The foe of my foe is my friend". But obviously, such actions can backfire very quickly

Please do not judge nations and people just due to some rumours or subjective impressions.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 03:53:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I wish I could remember the article, but both The Washington Post and The New York Times had posted stories about Iraq donating money to Chirac. Note: BOTH papers are major Anti-Bush.

Your comment #3. I agree. The name change from French Fries to "Freedom" Fries is lame. BTW what do you call American Cheese?

France has been selling Iraq equipment to make WOMD, as well as weapons. France has a vested interest in its banks in Iraq.

Back to #1 France also supported and gave weapons and money to Iraq in the 1980's. The US stopped when they realized the path Iraq was going. France kept on going. Did you know France was selling weapons to Iraq during the first Gulf War? My question on that is what was the credibility of France then?

Iraq gassed its own people AFTER the First Gulf War! They are a threat. Remember Iraq launched SCUDS against Israel in the First Gulf War. That was an unprovoked attack. Again you say Iraq is not a threat. Iraq threatened to destroy Kuwait 2 weeks ago for backing the US. They also went as far as to call Kuwait a rouge state of Iraq that will be put back in its place. Hmmm. What could that mean? Again, Iraq is not a threat! Iraq had 12 years to disarm. They have had France block any attempts to seriously search for the weapons. Any time something big came up it was France to discredit the claim. Even when the proof is right there. France had publicly stated they deal with Iraq in weapons. They are comfortable with it because they know the weapons are pointed at someone else. I wonder who that someone else is? Again, Iraq is not a threat.

You never answered to my comment about Iraq giving money to the families of suicide bombers against Israel. Is that not supporting terrorism? Thus making Iraq a terroristic nation. Iraq is more dangerous now than what it was 12 years ago. I think Iraq has a nuke and France knows about it, because they sold them the equipment to make it.

Oh, isn't funny how the US Department of Defense announced to Germany that the number of American troops there would be reduced drastically, and German politicians freaked out telling the US that we are needed there? If you don't need us, why do you cry when we want to remove some troops?

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 05:31:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

THE 65 PERCENT supporting action against Iraq compares with a previous high of 60 percent last month and in October 2002.

Thirty percent were opposed to action in the latest survey, conducted Monday after President Bush gave Iraqi President Saddam Hussein 48 hours to leave Iraq. That's about average for

the series of polls taken since April 2002, but the percentage of those “not sure” hit a low of 5 percent.

Tim Russert, NBC’s Washington bureau chief and host of “Meet the Press,” said the Bush administration believes support could reach 70 percent after the president addresses the nation in the event of war.

Among Republicans polled, 88 percent back war, Russert noted, but more surprisingly a majority of Democrats — 49 percent to 43 percent — also support the president’s strategy.

In their sights; What the military will be aiming at  
An Army family journal  
Urban combat  
CONFLICT AT A GLANCE  
U.S. special forces already inside Iraq  
Saddam on TV in uniform; first time since 1991 war  
France, Russia, China and the Vatican blast U.S.  
U.K. legislators defeat antiwar motion; 3 in Blair government quit  
Turkey reconsiders helping U.S. troops  
U.N. inspectors pull out of Iraq

CONFLICT AT A GLANCE  
U.S. special forces already inside Iraq  
Saddam on TV in uniform; first time since 1991 war  
France, Russia, China and the Vatican blast U.S.  
U.K. legislators defeat antiwar motion; 3 in Blair government quit  
Turkey reconsiders helping U.S. troops  
U.N. inspectors pull out of Iraq

-----  
  
Advertisement



By gender, 70 percent of men and 60 percent of women back the president — a narrower gap than in previous polls as more women show support for Bush.

Asked if the United States should take more time to try to resolve the conflict diplomatically, 61 percent favored military action now. Thirty-three percent favored more diplomacy.

Russert said the strong support for a strike now reflects the Bush administration's success in portraying France as being "obstructionist" at the United Nations, where the United States failed to win backing for military action from key members of the Security Council.

- Complete MSNBC coverage
  - The latest from Baghdad
  - Latest on deployments
  - Top defector disappears
  - Video coverage from NBC
  - Blog: Army family's journal
  - Encarta: Detailed Iraq map
- Latest from Newsweek
- Zakaria: Arrogant empire
  - Powell in the bunker
  - Britain's Blair sweats it out
  - WashPost: Special coverage

Despite the strong public backing, Americans are fearful of a terrorist backlash, according to the poll. Fifty-two percent believe the threat of terrorism would increase, compared with 55 percent in the two previous surveys.

The telephone survey of 506 American adults was conducted by Hart/Teeter and has a margin of error of 4.4 percentage points.

Take the NBC/WSJ survey

What's on MSNBC TV?

Tuesday prime time: The Showdown with Saddam

- On "Countdown: Iraq": The commander-in-chief has spoken, and now 300,000 troops in the Gulf stand poised for war. Watch "Countdown: Iraq" for complete analysis on the showdown with Saddam. Tuesday, 7-9 p.m. ET.
  - On "Hardball": Facing the threat of a devastating U.S. onslaught: Is there a chance Saddam's forces will fold without a fight? Plus, in the face of war, former Secretary of State Alexander Haig weighs in on what's next for America. "Hardball," 9 p.m. ET
- 

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 07:05:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

here we go again with the pacifists = evil. I'm tired of American Nationalists.

"You're either with us, or against us"

FUCK THAT. Don't be a little dickweed...you idiots make this out to be a black and white issue.

WWII WAS an UNJUST war. It never should have been fought and that's what eggman said. Hitler never should have done what he did...but the allies were right in pushing him back...which is what eggman said. We are going to war in Iraq for the wrong reasons. There are other solutions to war...and they should be pursued before we resort to violence.

As for the "what if it's you or them?"...they have that same mentality. If you've seen Sum of all Fears...that's a pretty good description of how that mentality can get out of hand.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 07:22:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

AircraftkillerHow were pacifists proved correct? No major war has ever been prevented by pacifists.

Dude...it's called India...ever heard of a man called Ghandi? I hate to say it ACK...but you just fucked up. The Indian Revolution was a war fought by pacifists...and guess what...they won.

Also the Cold War...the Policy of Containment...just thought I'd mention that

And the Vietnam war was ended by pacifists...it wasn't prevented due to jackass's like you who dont question the American government...good thing too...because...well...the Vietnam war was a completely just war...and it's a good thing we won it too...

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 07:31:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Again I ask all of you Anti-Americans/Bush. Have any of you served in the military, lost a friend or family member to terrorist?

Egg contradicted himself by saying that WWII was unjust and that it should have never been fought. Yet he then defends the allies and them pushing Hittler back. So in that sense the war was just, not unjust. Remember the UN resolution back in November was very strict in it's timeline. The fact that Europe refuses to stand by it's own words shows that Europe can not be trusted. We have not missed the world like Europe has. When you give a timeline to be followed. You must back it. You lost all credibility when you all re-nigged on the resolution. I hope we do leave Europe. Germany can cry in its beer and France can go whine in its wine. As we have shown here. The USA doesn't need Europe. You do NOT write our laws. You do NOT tell us what to do. You do NOT have the ability to stop us. Because we ARE right in our actions. Look at the polls. These polls are taken by a media that is severely Anti-Bush. Yet almost 70% of America stands by our president.

Enlist in the military and learn what it is like to serve the home you love so much. Put something in to the country you live in for once instead of taking your freedoms for granted.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 07:53:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

yes...because the only way to make America a good place to war is by killing people.

You guys are idiots...no one with half a brain could tolerate the propaganda that you have become a slave to. The military brainwashes people...they dont deny this...it helps people to become a team. And that's fine...you want to defend America, then go for it. But I fail to see how killing innocent people INCLUDING babies is defending America.

I have lived overseas. I lived in Indonesia...I lived in Venezuela. I am an oil brat...90% of my friends throughout my life have been military brats. I know more than you could possibly know about what being persecuted is like. Dont talk down to me...I'm 18 years old and I've already had more experiences than you will ever hope to have. I dont take my freedoms for granted...I have lived a good portion of my life without them.

You assume too much. Once you have lived without the freedoms that YOU are now taking for granted...you can talk to me...untill that time...dont talk like you know more about what it means to be free

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 07:54:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukesyou idiots make this out to be a black and white issue.

It is rather black and white. I'm sorry you lack the clarity to see that.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 07:54:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of NukesAircraftkillerHow were pacifists proved correct? No major war has ever been prevented by pacifists.

Dude...it's called India...ever heard of a man called Ghandi? I hate to say it ACK...but you just fucked up. The Indian Revolution was a war fought by pacifists...and guess what...they won.

Also the Cold War...the Policy of Containment...just thought I'd mention that

And the Vietnam war was ended by pacifists...it wasn't prevented due to jackass's like you who dont question the American government...good thing too...because...well...the Vietnam war was a completely just war...and it's a good thing we won it too...

Explain to me again how the Indian war was a major war, and how much of a role do you think Ghandi has in controlling India's nukes right now?

The cold war worked because of mutually assured destruction that was based on the atomic bombs dropped on Japan, and the resulting economic collapse resulting from that resolve to destroy the enemies of the United States of America.

The Vietnam war was NOT ended by pacifists. While they certainly cranked up the pressure, the resignation of Richard Nixon, and the unwillingness of the people of South Vietnam to defend themselves ended that war.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:09:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NeoSaberDuke of Nukesyou idiots make this out to be a black and white issue.

It is rather black and white. I'm sorry you lack the clarity to see that.

Ditto..living life in shades of gray can prove to be very....foggy.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:09:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY098The Vietnam war was NOT ended by pacifists. While they certainly cranked up the pressure, the resignation of Richard Nixon, and the unwillingness of the people of South Vietnam to defend themselves ended that war.

Nixon was against the Vietnam Conflict...and he ended it BEFORE he resigned. It was a war America never should have gotten involved in...and people like you are the reason that it happened. I know very few people that think it was a just war

and South Vietnam defends themself to this day...why do you think it's still an independent country? Why do you think that there's a buffer zone between North and South?

the Indian Revolution is every bit as big to them as our Revolution. I'm sorry if you dont see people breaking from oppression as a big thing...then again...I guess that's the problem...isn't it?

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:12:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukesand South Vietnam defends themself to this day...why do you think it's still an independent country? Why do you think that there's a buffer zone between North and South?

Wow, that is about the most... its just so... wow.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:26:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes

and South Vietnam defends themself to this day...why do you think it's still an independent country? Why do you think that there's a buffer zone between North and South?

I was wrong about Johnson, I reversed the two, but you are a complete idiot, and offend all history students with the quote above.

See below:

<http://www.odci.gov/cia/publications/factbook/geos/vm.html>

How is that two separate countries?

Dumbass pacifist.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:34:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

my mistake...I was talking about Korea...which holds true.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:39:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes You assume too much. Once you have lived without the freedoms that YOU are now taking for granted...you can talk to me...untill that time...dont talk like you know more about what it means to be free

What an "experienced" world traveller. :rolleyes:

I have been to no less than 15 countries in my travels. I refute your "testimonial".

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:44:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY098 I have been to no less than 15 countries in my travels. I refute your "testimonial".

I've lived in 2 others...I didn't say anything about how many I've been to. I've been to every continent except Antartica. Pray tell though...why did you visit these 15 countries?

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:49:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

He was in the military while your ass was still inside the womb, generating the idiot we see today.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Smurfette](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:49:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I am seeing both sides of this debate, and asking myself a few questions:

What happened to Bush saying, right after 9/11 that we were going to find bin Laden and bring him to justice, no matter what? As a resident of NYC, who saw the terrible events on 9/11

---

firsthand, I'm very concerned about how Bush seems (to me) to have given up on finding bin Laden.

In my opinion, that is Bush showing indecisiveness (sp?) ~ I see it this way: since we couldn't find one person (bin Laden) that we KNOW is a terrorist, we KNOW we want to kill as many American people as possible, he seems to have changed his attitude and now it's disarm Iraq, don't worry about bin Laden. Is Bush going to enter us into a war with Iraq, killing thousands of people; and then decide that since France was supporting Iraq, let's go to war with them? Then after we have killed even more people, will he decide that another country is a threat and go to war with them? I'm also concerned about that, as that could be considered the start of another world war. Are we, the United States of America, going to become as dictatorial as Hitler was in WWII? (in this case, will the mentality be that since the government of the country might support terrorism, everyone who lives there does too? And then maybe everyone who was born, or grew up in these countries, do they support terrorism too? And yes, I have seen the US government bias against people like this recently ~ I have a friend who came here from Pakistan as a baby, and after 9/11 he was held for about a week and questioned by FBI officials on his whereabouts and his allegiances ~ this was all legal and official because his name is similar to one of the terrorists in one of the planes). Who's to say that Pakistani and Iraqi people living in our country won't be held by our government when the war is going on, or after the war has been happening for a while? Again, this is just something that is going through my brain, not actual fact...but I'm stating it because it's something I believe could possibly happen.

Yet, on the other side of the coin, is there more to Bush's decision than that? Is bin Laden maybe hiding over there? Does the US government have definitive proof that Iraq has WMD, or that Iraq as a country (not just the dictator and militia) is supporting terrorism? There is most likely more going on over there than the press and the general population is being told.

I read an article when Bush was first elected where he (Bush) stated that by the end of his first term he planned on the US entering a war. He didn't say what country he planned on going to war with, but by the tone and manner in which he stated this, I got the idea that just about any country would do. Are we entering this war because we feel threatened by Iraq (as a country) or because Bush just wants us to go to war?

PS

About the topic of the Atomic Bombs being dropped in Japan. Does anyone know that the US flew bomber planes over those civilian cities every day for about a month, getting the civilians comfortable with the thought of bombers going by overhead? Then one day the planes actually dropped a bomb on them, killing thousands of people.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:50:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of NukesKIRBY098I have been to no less than 15 countries in my travels. I refute your "testimonial".

I've lived in 2 others...I didn't say anything about how many I've been to. I've been to every continent except Antartica. Pray tell though...why did you visit these 15 countries?

I was on liberty while forward deployed defending Kosovars, Bosnians, Kurds, Shiites, and Herzegovinians from dictatorial aggression in third world nations.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:54:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Smurfette,

Rest assured we are still hunting him down. The press doesn't cover it as much because they want you to think Bush has given up on him.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:57:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Smurfettel am seeing both sides of this debate, and asking myself a few questions:

You obviously dont see both sides, this is a ploy to make the reader think your educated on the issues and open minded. The content of your post quickly dismisses this. All of your 'issues' are hardly profound and have been addressed many times over on tv & radio.

Smurfette  
Post : 1

nuff said.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:02:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY098I was on liberty while forward deployed defending Kosovars, Bosnians, Kurds, Shiites, and Herzegovinians from dictatorial aggression in third world nations.

oh...so wait...you were in the military at the time...on a military base? did you live in the streets? Did you live within 20 yards of people that wanted to commit genocide on you? LIVING ON A MILITARY BASE IS NOT THE SAME FUCKING THING

---

---



Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:04:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Why, Duke of Nukes, I didn't know you changed gender on us.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:11:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

oh I'm sorry...your right...I'm a pussy...a real man would be fine living around people that wanted to kill him when he's 7 years old...what was I thinking? I'm weak...your right...all 7 year olds live like that.

Next thing your gonna be saying that black people are pussies because they couldn't live under slavery

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Smurfette](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:13:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:  
Smurfette  
Post : 1

nuff said.

So just because I have only posted once, my opinions and concerns are of no consequence?

I am stating my opinions, and yes, I do see that there are legitimate reasons for this war. Those being that there is reasonable belief that Iraq has WOMD and that they support terrorism and that there is probably more going on than we are told by the press, and I see that because of these suspicions the possibility of war might be reasonable.

I do not personally like the idea or concept of war. I believe that a little more pressure from the UN might make the possibility of war obosolite. I am very worried that going to war might escalate from war with Iraq to a world war.

And yes, it is very reasurring to know that the US is still looking for bin Laden, Thank you.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:13:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of NukesKIRBY098I was on liberty while forward deployed defending Kosovars, Bosnians, Kurds, Shiites, and Herzegovinians from dictatorial aggression in third world nations.

oh...so wait...you were in the military at the time...on a military base? did you live in the streets? Did you live within 20 yards of people that wanted to commit genocide on you? LIVING ON A MILITARY BASE IS NOT THE SAME FUCKING THING

Do you even know where Bosnia or Hertzegovina is?

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:18:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SmurfetteQuote:

Smurfette

Post : 1

nuff said.

So just because I have only posted once, my opinions and concerns are of no consequence? It's called "taking every little thing to their advantage." They cant stand the fact that someone else is taking an anti-war position and thus are trying to demean the enemies posts...or ruin their character.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:19:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SmurfetteQuote:

Smurfette

Post : 1

nuff said.

So just because I have only posted once, my opinions and concerns are of no consequence? [etc...]

I do not personally like the idea or concept of war. I believe that a little more pressure from the UN might make the possibility of war obosolite. I am very worried that going to war might escalate from war with Iraq to a world war.

And yes, it is very reasurring to know that the US is still looking for bin Laden, Thank you.

Yes we are of course looking for bin-fuckhead. Someone got their hands on a hand written letter by that monster. Not bad IMO. How do you purpose we 'put pressure' on ANYONE when military action is not the 'threat'. Do XY & Z or well send you thousands of kittens & flowers ? War sucks,

people die but sometimes the benefits outway the cost.

And yes, your name having the word 'smurf' in it, this being your first post AND not even on a Renegade issue does raise ones doubts(not to mentioned you signed up in the middle of the night then joined a thread that contains a heated discussion). PM me ur msg and I'll break it all down 'TheOne' style for you...

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:22:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of NukesSmurfetteQuote:

Smurfette

Post : 1

nuff said.

So just because I have only posted once, my opinions and concerns are of no consequence? It's called "taking every little thing to their advantage." They cant stand the fact that someone else is taking an anti-war position and thus are trying to demean the enemies posts...or ruin their character.

Nice try, its called i think this is someone else on the msg board fucking hiding behind a new name. That is it, end of story. Examples of what your describing can be found by looking @ the stories of all the women who ever accused Clinton of sexual misconduct.

Edit : I didn't mean \*you\* Duke.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:25:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

yes...that's right...I fabricated another name and am using my second computer to type two things at the same time...I must confess...it's all me

if you go to irc.cnchq.com and join the channel #unserv, mvz22685 and smurfette...both in there...and you can ask anyone else in there...they aren't both me

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Smurfette](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:35:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

War sucks, people die but sometimes the benefits outway the cost.

---

And yes, your name having the word 'smurf' in it, this being your first post AND not even on a Renegade issue does raise ones doubts(not to mentioned you signed up in the middle of the night then joined a thread that contains a heated discussion). PM me ur msg and I'll break it all down 'TheOne' style for you...

War does suck, and I don't believe that the benefits outway the cost on a regular basis. Just because something works "sometimes" doesn't mean it's the only way to do it.

How about this for a response to my name and why this was my first post: I was a member of this forum, joined a few months ago. My account was inactive since then because I spend most of time PLAYING or HOSTING Renegade games. I also post on other forums under this name. My WOL name is smurfgrlz (recently to host, played with that name for about a year) and dasmurf11 (recently to play) - before that would you like to know my other screen names? As it seems that every time I reformat my computer and reinstall Renegade it makes me create a new account, I have had 3 names (and stupidly the first sn I had actually contained my real name). The fact that I grew up watching the smurfs and rather liked them is the reason I use the name Smurfette. The only reason I posted in this thread before any other was because it is heated, and I have strong beliefs in regards to it.

If this makes me inconsequential, or obligated to have you demean me then go for it. Last I checked, I live in a country that does support free speech, I am exerting that right by posting here, now.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:41:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yeah...what she said

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:46:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And ironically, we're exercising our freedom of speech by saying something back to you.

But that's okay, freedom of speech doesn't apply online.

Duke of Nukes, start supporting your own self in an argument... You began this bullshit. Can't you finish it somehow?

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:48:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SmurfetteQuote:

War sucks, people die but sometimes the benefits outway the cost.

And yes, your name having the word 'smurf' in it, this being your first post AND not even on a Renegade issue does raise ones doubts(not to mentioned you signed up in the middle of the night then joined a thread that contains a heated discussion). PM me ur msg and I'll break it all down 'TheOne' style for you...

War does suck, and I don't believe that the benefits outway the cost on a regular basis. Just because something works "sometimes" doesn't mean it's the only way to do it.

that is why we don't use it in every circumstance. during the bush administration we use it for afghanistan and iraq. both situations warrant it. we have not used it or threatened it for venezuela, north korea, chechnya, iran, indonesia, pakistan or any other 'hotbeds' in the world right now. we use different means based on the country we are dealing with, the leader we are dealing with and the situation we are dealing with. in the cases of afghanistan and iraq, war is warranted and necessary.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:50:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

if you think I started this...then you are sadly mistaken. I'd hate to point out how stupid your post was...but I didn't start this post, flaming a person for trying to find alternatives to war. This is an immature, immoral topic to begin with. Everyone knows that killing is wrong...and only a sadistic fuck would go against someone to avoid death and destruction.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 09:55:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Are you capable of holding a single point, or must you wander from "I didn't do it" to "People who attack others that are madmen are sadistic fucks!" or something similar?

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 10:02:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

pay attention to what I say before you reply.

I said that only a sadistic fuck would go against someone merely because they wanted to try alternatives to war. as in a war monger, someone that willingly goes into war for no reason at all

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 10:05:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Alright. Regarding K9's claim that we are Nazis for not seeing that Saddam warrants a war. If you look back at the Nazi's actions through out ww2 and pre-ww2 one of them was racial genocide. Therefore we can also apply this template onto you. You are searching for pacifists, publically disclosing them, and further more trying to "destroy" them by imposing your ideas onto them.

So why don't we avoid mentioning that term further with-in this heated discussion. It will only lead to further rage against pacifists and, well whatever you want to call yourselves.

And I would like to repeat my stance on this issue. I am not a pacifist. I support war where I feel it is warranted. I do not feel the Iraq situation warrants war. I will vigorously support my ideas and am doing so by supported these pacifists' attempts to show their position on this war.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Walrus](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 12:49:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have always wondered why its taken so long to get around to this war?

I hope when it's over, that Saddam is dead and only a few people on both sides have died.

-Will the curds finally get a homeland, or a state?

The Turks have already said that if the Kurds try and set up a small nation of their own, they will

about is the people we are going in to liberate. The last time we screwed up, this time our leaders

- The curds are ready to put up, I think but am not sure on the numbers, 70,000 blokes to help with the war. They want a state, they also want protection from the Turks. You see we owe them big. The last time we got them to raise up against Saddam, we left them to be slaughtered. He gassed out entire villages, I wonder when they were lying there chocking on their own lungs as the mustard gas or the VX killed them, did they think 'hay those allied troops will be here any second to help us out.' All we did was watch the devastation on fox news.

-As for pacifism, it never stopped a war. It never started one either. A problem I see, is that the guy in front of you might well be a good person, but it wont stop him from cutting your throat, even if deep down in side you know he's a good person.

top a big fucking hill in a country called England.

-Why does every body here insist on bringing up WW2? There have been lots of wars and a shit load of cases of ethnic cleansing since then... any body remember Bosnia? Remember how we sent peace keepers there, how they watched all those people being killed. Remember Rwanda,

Oman, Yemen, I can name ten others. I can tell you, that in all these places and many others, the UN fucked up big time. I remember when Hootos and Tutses were killing each other and no one gave a damn until it was over. Not long ago Bosnia started going to shit again, still is. Peacekeepers of these troops dieing there. Shame really. I never did hear what happened after.

-Remember it took the deaths of 70,000 in Kosovo before any body gave a shit, and even then the only reason the damn thing got on TV was because all the poor bastards fleeing for their lives wanted to get to another country where they would be safe. How long did we turn a blind eye, and may not have happened.  
Good thing it did though.

- At least this time we are going to do something about Iraq.  
I have family over there who will be fighting and fixing the aircraft on site. I hope when its finished they come back safe, and have some good stories.  
Please feel free to flame away. I wanted only to put a different line of thought in to this doomed thread. Its been fun reading though. Even if it was a waste of time.

Yossarian Lives!

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [eggmac](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 14:00:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

I was on liberty while forward deployed defending Kosovars, Bosnians, Kurds, Shiites, and Herzegovinians from dictatorial aggression in third world nations.

Or better to say, slaughtering Serbs and Iraqis.  
Third world nations? Serbia is a third world nation? Do you even know where Serbia is located? Could you find it on a map, or even more, could you tell me the average GDP of Serbia? And ACK, kindly tell me, what is the difference between Bosnia and Herzegovina. And then please back up your assumption that it is a third world country.

I think the greatest problem is that some of you guys are really, REALLY REALLY very strongly blinded by propaganda of the mass media. You always refer to 'defense', 'opposing a threat', 'humanitarian aid' etc. While what the USA is doing is completely the contrary.

Before discussing an issue one has to be able to differentiate and one has to know the subject one is talking on.

This whole Iraq issue will backfire on the USA very quickly. Terrorist attacks will be more probable, international acceptance will shrink even more, USA entirely loses any moral values. Unfortunately, the USA is not the knight in the shining armor standing for peace, justice and freedom, but it is EXACTLY the opposite. For a lot of countries it stands for war, injustice and

oppression. And a war on Iraq is another exaple of that.

The USA have to accept that they must not impose their will on other countries, but to be a responsible part of the international community.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 14:09:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[quote="eggmac"]Quote:

The USA have to accept that they must not impose their will on other countries, but to be a responsible part of the international community.

Yes thats what we are doing now. Standing up for 'the international community' that CANT/WONT stand up for itself. I let everyone else 'handle' the rest of your post. Should be quiet entertaining.

BUT we could ship some MORE UN inspectors to keep proving to the world that Saddam, yes unbelievable as it may seem IS STILL in material breach of the U.N. resolution. Well then ! Material breach comes with some heavy consequences ! Load up some more meely look white guys in the Scooby Do mobile and ship them to Iraq ! We need more evidence Saddam is a bad man who continues to FAIL to SUCCUMB to the will of the UN.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 14:14:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

eggmacQuote:

I was on liberty while forward deployed defending Kosovars, Bosnians, Kurds, Shiites, and Herzegovinians from dictatorial agression in third world nations.

Or better to say, slaughtering Serbs and Iraqis.

Third world nations? Serbia is a third world nation? Do you even know where Serbia sis located? Could you find it on a map, or even more, could you tell me the average GDP of Serbia? And ACK, kindly tell me, what is the difference between Bosnia and Herzegovina. And then please back up your assumption that it is a third world country.

I think the greatest problem is that some of you guys are really, REALLY REALLY very strongly blinded by propaganda of the mass media. You always refer to 'defense', 'opposing a threat', 'humanitarian aid' etc. While what the USA is doing is completely the contrary.

Before discussing an issue one has to be able to differentiate and one has to know the subject one is talking on.



This whole Iraq issue will backfire on the USA very quickly. Terrorist attacks will be more probable, international acceptance will shrink even more, USA entirely loses any moral values. Unfortunately, the USA is not the knight in the shining armor standing for peace, justice and freedom, but it is EXACTLY the opposite. For a lot of countries it stands for war, injustice and oppression. And a war on Iraq is another example of that.

The USA have to accept that they must not impose their will on other countries, but to be a responsible part of the international community.

Lol. I didn't know you played Renegade, Saadaam! Who is the shining knight then, praytell? France?

I am QUITE familiar where those third world shitholes are. While Serbia is technically not third world, try visiting there and tell me it's a modern industrial nation.

I was stationed there, twice, for 5 months off the coast. Call it what you will, but stopping SERBIAN, and IRAQI genocide of their subservient populations is just. The rest of the world is paralyzed with inaction. We will not be.

See you soon. We will be the ones in the Apache helicopters. Make sure you are a human shield, and in front of a "peaceful" Iraqi APC.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [eggmac](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 14:26:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KIRBY098

Lol. I didn't know you played Renegade, Saadaam! Who is the shining knight then, praytell? France?

I am QUITE familiar where those third world shitholes are. While Serbia is technically not third world, try visiting there and tell me it's a modern industrial nation.

I was stationed there, twice, for 5 months off the coast. Call it what you will, but stopping SERBIAN, and IRAQI genocide of their subservient populations is just. The rest of the world is paralyzed with inaction. We will not be.

See you soon. We will be the ones in the Apache helicopters. Make sure you are a human shield, and in front of a "peaceful" Iraqi APC.

Kirby, you are fighting for the wrong thing. I would advise you to free yourself from the idea of the noble, civilised, peacekeeping US.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [eggmac](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 14:27:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:Standing up for 'the international community' that CANT/WONT stand up for itself

So that you know, nearly exactly the same argument was used by Hitler. And any other war agressors in history.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [\[sgj\]theOne](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 14:38:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

eggmacQuote:Standing up for 'the international community' that CANT/WONT stand up for itself

So that you know, nearly exactly the same argument was used by Hitler. And any other war agressors in history.

Thanks for the attempted brainwashing..I'll pass.

Did Hitler have a U.N. resolution making his actions legal ? Oh, no shit he didn't ... wow and all this time I thought he did ! Who would of thunk it.

How do you think America & Britian and co. are able to do this \*legally\* ? A U.N. resolution makes it legal, thats how. I don't see anyone saying HEY ! THIS VIOLATES INTERNATIONAL LAW...do you? Tony Blair used this exact arguement the other day. Unlike someone elses 'authoritive figure' used to support their statements Tony Blair didn't goto Yale & he doesn't play in a band ! (See below)

<http://www.n00bstories.com/renforums/viewtopic.php?p=7581#7581>

On a lighter note perhaps if this were illegal the UN could send some inspectors to America...wonder if they'd find anything ! We'd have to remove their 'Primary Club House' (see "HeadQuarters") from US soil though.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 19:39:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

just because half of the UN approved it doesn't mean anything. I'm sure if you looked at the right place, you could find people that think it's fine to kill baby seals.

And that arguement WAS used by hitler...dont complain about him trying to brainwash you when he tells the truth.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 19:42:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes just because half of the UN approved it doesn't mean anything. I'm sure if you looked at the right place, you could find people that think it's fine to kill baby seals.

And that argument WAS used by Hitler... don't complain about him trying to brainwash you when he tells the truth.

Don't use circular logic to make a point. Bring facts, or supported opinion, but don't try to drag us around in circles to cover your ignorance, and inability to debate.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 19:55:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

when you mention some facts, I'd be glad to

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 20:48:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We should condense this topic to point form and make a review with the counter arguments.

I.E. Kirby's claims:

Point  
Counters

Point  
Counters

That way we can keep track of it in a manner similar to that of a debate. If this isn't done when I go on the computer next I will do it myself.

Now back to my sniper shots at points.

Ok earlier in the topic I noticed that someone claimed Saddam support the French leader's party and thus France is no longer impartial. Yet Bush and less than impartial himself. His father went to war with Iraq and stopped before going into Baghdad and taking out Saddam. How do you know Bush isn't trying to "finish" his father's war? I find that anything but impartial in the matter. [/list]

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 20:56:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes when you mention some facts, I'd be glad to

I doubt you would recognize them if they bit you in the ass.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 22:59:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The last time I checked, the US was an independant country from the rest of the world. I don't recall electing a president to the UN. The UN has no legal authority to control the US or any other country. The UN was created to help mediate any problem between member countries. We don't need permission from the UN or any other country in order to go to war.

The UN failed 12 years ago. Just like the League of Nations failed in 1935.

There are no more options. I am not a "War Monger" I am a realist.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [spotelmo](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 23:50:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Commando no. 448 We should condense this topic to point form and make a review with the counter arguments.

I.E. Kirby's claims:

Point  
Counters

Point  
Counters

That way we can keep track of it in a manner similar to that of a debate. If this isn't done when I go on the computer next I will do it myself.

Now back to my sniper shots at points.

Ok earlier in the topic I noticed that someone claimed Saddam support the French leader's party and thus France is no longer impartial. Yet Bush and less than impartial himself. His father went to war with Iraq and stopped before going into Baghdad and taking out Saddam. How do you know Bush isn't trying to "finish" his father's war? I find that anything but impartial in the matter. [list] his "father's war" was finished. bush senior did what he set out to do, push sadaam back and let

the un disarm him. it was the un that did not finish what it started. gw bush is an indepentent man who rose to power because of his own ideals and accomplishments, not because he was a president's son. otherwise, we would have many presidents and sons filling the whitehouse. if anything, being bush's son hurt his chances rather than helped because of perceptions that bush senior was ineffectual as a leader(perceptions that i do not share) of course political connections gainde by bush senior's years in the whitehouse helped gw gain the whitehouse, but those connections would have meant nothing if gw did not have the qualifications on his own. everyone who has gained the whitehouse since the beginning of the country has relied on their "connections" to help them get there but bottom line, it is the people who decide.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 00:42:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

you guys are right...I'm so sorry...FUCK PEACE...LETS GO KILL US SOME FUCKING RAGHEADS...LETS BOMB THE BIGGEST CITY IN IRAQ AND HOPE WE HIT SADDAM AND NO ONE ELSE. WHOOOO HOOO...I WANT TO RIDE THE FUCKING NUKE ON THE WAY DOWN!!! JUST LIKE IN DR. STRANGELOVE

FUCK EVERYONE THATS EVER BEEN INTERESTED IN FINDING A PIECEFULL SOLUTION

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [eggmac](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 00:50:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of NukesDR. STRANGELOVE

I loved that film Stanley Cubrick is one of my favorite's.

You all say one needs to show the alternatives in order to prevent the war. But tell me, how is the war going to solve ANY problems and not rather create new ones? How will a war make the world safer, while it is a logical contradiction. And tell me, why are there so many dictators out there backed up by the USA while the USA goes to war for pseudo-humanitarian reasons which NOONE in the world believes? The USA is making a fool of itself, starting war after war, that will end in a desaster. Only some thoughts...

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 00:57:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

eggmacDuke of NukesDR. STRANGELOVE

I loved that film Stanley Cubrick is one of my favorite's.

---

You all say one needs to show the alternatives in order to prevent the war. But tell me, how is the war going to solve ANY problems and not rather create new ones? How will a war make the world safer, while it is a logical contradiction. And tell me, why are there so many dictators out there backed up by the USA while the USA goes to war for pseudo-humanitarian reasons which NOONE in the world believes? The USA is making a fool of itself, starting war after war, that will end in a desaster. Only some thoughts...

No other dictator has used WMD on 1 million civilians.

And could you please list all of the dictators that the US backs please?

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:06:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukesyou guys are right...I'm so sorry...FUCK PEACE...LETS GO KILL US SOME FUCKING RAGHEADS...LETS BOMB THE BIGGEST CITY IN IRAQ AND HOPE WE HIT SADDAM AND NO ONE ELSE. WHOOOO HOOO...I WANT TO RIDE THE FUCKING NUKE ON THE WAY DOWN!!! JUST LIKE IN DR. STRANGELOVE

FUCK EVERYONE THATS EVER BEEN INTERESTED IN FINDING A PIECEFULL SOLUTION

I didnt want to have to use this here but....STFU n00b. You spout more hate speach than ANYONE else here. Fucking shutup with your racist bullshit propaganda. AMERICA is SUGGESTING/ADVISING that Saddams forces surrender, we have dropped THOUSANDS OF LEAFLETS telling them how to properly surrender, how to park their vehics, what to do with their side arms etc. BUT NOOOOOOO. You paint the picure that if they WERE TO ALL GIVE UP we'd go NUKE Bagdad for what ? Just because we are some nasty Americans. You have come full circle and expressed your true feelings. Your post have degenerated to the bottom of the barrel. You are now irrelevant in the intellectual sand box.

TWELVE YEARS of trying to find peace through disarmament have failed. Feel free to send your diplomatic easter bunny to resolve this at any time.

Have a nice day.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:09:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hate speech? My god...thanks for proving your mental capacity...We dropped Leaflets...on top of bombs and food...so either they get food, toilet paper, or a big old wave of mass destruction. Hmm...I wonder why they might hate America

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:12:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukeshate speech? My god...thanks for proving your mental capacity...We dropped Leaflets...on top of bombs and food...so either they get food, toilet paper, or a big old wave of mass destruction. Hmm...I wonder why they might hate America

Answer my question n00b. Name all of the dictators that the US backs, and have they used WMD on their own people?

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:15:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OMG...YOUR THE BIGGEST, DUMBEST PIECE OF SHIT I'VE EVER MET. LOOK AT WHO FUCKING SAID WHAT...Did I say that? no. How the fuck could I embellish on something that I dont agree with. Stop using the mentality that we're with you or against you.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:18:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[sgthe0ne]You have come full circle and expressed your true feelings. Your post have degenerated to the bottom of the barrel. You are now irrelevant in the intellectual sand box.

TWELVE YEARS of trying to find peace through disarmament have failed. Feel free to send your diplomatic easter bunny to resolve this at any time.

Have a nice day.

:rolleyes:

Start making valid points or get lost.

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:20:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

COLONEL HUGO BANZER, President of Bolivia  
FULGENCIO BATISTA, President of Cuba  
SIR HASSANAL BOLKIAH, the Sultan of Brunei  
P. W. BOTHA, President of South Africa  
GENERAL HUMBERTO BRANCO, President of Brazil

VINICIO CEREZO, President of Guatemala  
CHIANG KAI-SHEK, President of Taiwan  
ROBERTO SUAZO CORDOVA, President of Honduras  
ALFREDO CRISTIANI, President of El Salvador  
NGO DINH DIEM, President of South Viet Nam  
GENERAL SAMUEL DOE, President of Liberia

GENERAL FRANCISCO FRANCO, President of Spain  
ADOLF HITLER, Chancellor of Germany  
HUSSAN II, King of Morocco  
FERDINAND MARCOS, President of the Philippines  
MAXIMILIANO HERNANDEZ MARTINEZ, General of El Salvador  
MOBUTU SESE SEKO, President of Zaire  
GENERAL MANUEL NORIEGA, Chief of Defense forces, Panama  
TURGUT OZAL, Prime Minister of Turkey  
MOHAMMAD REZA PAHLEVI, Shah of Iran, King of Kings  
GEORGE PAPADOPOULOS, Prime Minister of Greece  
PARK CHUNG HEE, President of South Korea  
GENERAL AUGUSTO PINOCHET, President of Chile  
GENERAL SITIVENI RABUKA, Commander, Armed Forces of Fiji  
GENERAL EFRAIN RIOS MONT, President of Guatemala  
ANTONIO DE OLIVEIRA SALAZAR, Prime Minister of Portugal  
HALIE SELASSIE, Emperor of Ethiopia  
IAN SMITH, Prime Minister of Rhodesia  
ANASTASIO SOMOZA, SR. AND JR., Presidents of Nicaragua  
ALFREDO STROESSNER, President-for-Life of Paraguay  
GENERAL SUHARTO, President of Indonesia  
RAFAEL LEONIDAS TRUJILLO, President of the Dominican Republic  
GENERAL JORGE RAFAEL VIDELA, President of Argentina  
MOHAMMED ZIA UL-HAQ, President of Pakistan

All are dictators that were at one point supported by America.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:23:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukeshate speech? My god...thanks for proving your mental capacity...We dropped Leaflets...on top of bombs and food...so either they get food, toilet paper, or a big old wave of mass destruction. Hmm...I wonder why they might hate America

We haven't dropped any bombs (in this \*war\*)yet you mental neophyte. Let me play 'blocks' with you...

We bomb 'bad' people. (Because they won't deal with the world in a diplomatic way)  
We feed 'innocent' people. (Because their leaders keep the \$ for themselves)  
We help 'helpless' people. (Because they live under the rule of brutal tyrants).



Sounds like something out of a rage against the machine or system of a down song. And yes, I've listen to A LOT of Rage Against the Machine.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:26:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

and you think like a typical brainwashed military man. no matter what you think...INNOCENT people DIE due to war.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:27:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes COLONEL HUGO BANZER, President of Bolivia

FULGENCIO BATISTA, President of Cuba

SIR HASSANAL BOLKIAH, the Sultan of Brunei

P. W. BOTHA, President of South Africa

GENERAL HUMBERTO BRANCO, President of Brazil

VINICIO CEREZO, President of Guatemala

CHIANG KAI-SHEK, President of Taiwan

ROBERTO SUAZO CORDOVA, President of Honduras

ALFREDO CRISTIANI, President of El Salvador

NGO DINH DIEM, President of South Viet Nam

GENERAL SAMUEL DOE, President of Liberia

GENERAL FRANCISCO FRANCO, President of Spain

ADOLF HITLER, Chancellor of Germany

HUSSAN II, King of Morocco

FERDINAND MARCOS, President of the Philippines

MAXIMILIANO HERNANDEZ MARTINEZ, General of El Salvador

MOBUTU SESE SEKO, President of Zaire

GENERAL MANUEL NORIEGA, Chief of Defense forces, Panama

TURGUT OZAL, Prime Minister of Turkey

MOHAMMAD REZA PAHLEVI, Shah of Iran, King of Kings

GEORGE PAPADOPOULOS, Prime Minister of Greece

PARK CHUNG HEE, President of South Korea

GENERAL AUGUSTO PINOCHET, President of Chile

GENERAL SITIVENI RABUKA, Commander, Armed Forces of Fiji

GENERAL EFRAIN RIOS MONT, President of Guatemala

ANTONIO DE OLIVEIRA SALAZAR, Prime Minister of Portugal

HALIE SELASSIE, Emperor of Ethiopia

IAN SMITH, Prime Minister of Rhodesia

ANASTASIO SOMOZA, SR. AND JR., Presidents of Nicaragua

ALFREDO STROESSNER, President-for-Life of Paraguay  
GENERAL SUHARTO, President of Indonesia  
RAFAEL LEONIDAS TRUJILLO, President of the Dominican Republic  
GENERAL JORGE RAFAEL VIDELA, President of Argentina  
MOHAMMED ZIA UL-HAQ, President of Pakistan

All are dictators that were at one point supported by America.

Hitler has NEVER been supported by the US. The US stayed out of European affairs until we got bombed. And alot of the names you listed were elected. Go find real info.

Also 90% of the names were/are supported by almost every other nation in the world.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:29:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[sgthe0ne]We bomb 'bad' people. (Because they won't deal with the world in a diplomatic way) oh really? So...all those people that were in Hiroshima when the bomb was dropped...they were all bad people. They all posed a threat to our freedom. You're right...all those doctors, seamstress's...housewives...they were all in the military and had plans of invading America.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:33:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

just because someone was elected...that doesn't mean they couldn't become dictators...Ever heard of a country called Venezuela? Dictatorship in the making.

Quote:32 ADOLF HITLER

Chancellor of Germany

As German bombs fell on London and Nazi tanks rolled over U.S. troops, Sosthenes Behn, president and founder of the U.S. based ITT corporation. met with his German representative to discuss improving German communication systems. ITT was designing and building Nazi phone and radio systems as well as supplying crucial parts for German bombs. Our government knew all about this, for under presidential order, U.S. companies were licensed to trade with the Nazis. The choice of who would be licensed was odd, though: while Secretary of State Breckinridge Long gave the Ford Motor Company permission to make Nazi tanks, he simultaneously blocked aid to German-Jewish refugees because the U.S. wasn't supposed to be trading with the enemy. Other U.S. companies trading with the Third Reich were General Motors, DuPont, Standard Oil of New Jersey, Davis Oil Co., and the Chase National Bank. President Roosevelt did not stop them fearing a scandal might lead to another stock market crash or lower U.S. morale. Besides, the same companies that traded with Hitler were supplying the U.S., and some corporate leaders threatened to withdraw their support if Roosevelt exposed them. Henry Ford was a good friend of Hitler's. His book The Internatonal Jew had inspired Hitler's Mein Kampf. The Fuehrer kept Ford's

picture in his office, and Ford was one of only four foreigners to receive Germany's highest civilian award. As for Sosthenes Behn, at the end of the war, he received the highest civilian award for service to his country - the United States of America.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:34:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes[sgtheOne]We bomb 'bad' people. (Because they won't deal with the world in a diplomatic way)  
oh really? So...all those people that were in Hiroshima when the bomb was dropped...they were all bad people. They all posed a threat to our freedom. You're right...all those doctors, seamstress's...housewives...they were all in the military and had plans of invading America.

this is a recording.....this is a recording.....this is a recording.....this is a recording.....

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:36:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukesand you think like a typical brainwashed military man. no matter what you think...INNOCENT people DIE due to war.

Innocent people die during war, no shit ? This has always been the case...HARDLY a profound statement.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist  
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 01:58:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukesjust because someone was elected...that doesn't mean they couldn't become dictators...Ever heard of a country called Venezuela? Dictatorship in the making.

Quote:32 ADOLF HITLER  
Chancellor of Germany

As German bombs fell on London and Nazi tanks rolled over U.S. troops, Sosthenes Behn, president and founder of the U.S. based ITT corporation. met with his German representative to discuss improving German communication systems. ITT was designing and building Nazi phone and radio systems as well as supplying crucial parts for German bombs. Our government knew all about this, for under presidential order, U.S. companies were licensed to trade with the Nazis. The choice of who would be licensed was odd, though: while Secretary of State Breckinridge Long gave the Ford Motor Company permission to make Nazi tanks, he simultaneously blocked aid to German-Jewish refugees because the U.S. wasn't supposed to be trading with the enemy.

Other U.S. companies trading with the Third Reich were General Motors, DuPont, Standard Oil of New Jersey, Davis Oil Co., and the Chase National Bank. President Roosevelt did not stop them fearing a scandal might lead to another stock market crash or lower U.S. morale. Besides, the same companies that traded with Hitler were supplying the U.S., and some corporate leaders threatened to withdraw their support if Roosevelt exposed them. Henry Ford was a good friend of Hitler's. His book *The International Jew* had inspired Hitler's *Mein Kampf*. The Fuehrer kept Ford's picture in his office, and Ford was one of only four foreigners to receive Germany's highest civilian award. As for Sosthenes Behn, at the end of the war, he received the highest civilian award for service to his country - the United States of America.

The US government never supported Hitler. Your attempt to tie business to the US gov support is weak.

Then any leader can become a dictator. Another weak coment.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 02:43:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[sgthe0ne]Duke of Nukes and you think like a typical brainwashed military man. no matter what you think...INNOCENT people DIE due to war.

Innocent people die during war, no shit ? This has always been the case...HARDLY a profound statement.

oh, ok...so then it's ok to endorse it? We should punish the people for what their government thinks?

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [\[sg\]theOne](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 03:23:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes[sgthe0ne]Duke of Nukes and you think like a typical brainwashed military man. no matter what you think...INNOCENT people DIE due to war.

Innocent people die during war, no shit ? This has always been the case...HARDLY a profound statement.

oh, ok...so then it's ok to endorse it? We should punish the people for what their government thinks?

War is used when that nations leader feels it must be. Bush & co. feels it is needed...the bombs of freedom are falling right now. Stop proposing ludacris shit like ANYONE would endorse the killing of innocent people...only people like Saddam do things like that.

Dont believe me ? What do you think the result will be if he makes this an urban combat situation ?

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Duke of Nukes](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 03:27:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[sgthe0ne]the bombs of freedom are falling right now.

ROFLMAO

that is all

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [KIRBY098](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 03:34:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Duke of Nukes[sgthe0ne]Duke of Nukesand you think like a typical brainwashed military man. no matter what you think...INNOCENT people DIE due to war.

Innocent people die during war, no shit ? This has always been the case...HARDLY a profound statement.

oh, ok...so then it's ok to endorse it? We should punish the people for what their government thinks?

Are you going to address any one single point tonight, or just some more aimless teenager rambling?

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Ren Sizzlefab](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 04:48:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

As people have said before, the waging of war is seldom a black and white issue. Innocent people in Iraq will die in the war. Innocent people in Iraq will also die if there is no war. I believe that America and its allies went completely the wrong way in preparing for the war, ie basing it on weapons of mass destruction, terrorism etc. instead of the real issue, the horrors perpetrated by Saddam's regime.

While I am saddened that it has come to war, and would have liked to see another way of replacing the regime, then if it achieves the objectives of removing Saddam from power and ensuring whoever replaces him gives the Iraqi people freedom and hope, then it has done some good.

I've only said this after hearing of the experiences of an Iraqi woman who fled Iraq after her husband, who was employed by the Iraqi government, was tortured and killed for reasons unknown. She was there during the last Gulf War, and spoke of the joy and hope experienced by the majority of the Iraqi people, even during the bombings.

I care nothing for the half-baked accusations and excuses of George Bush and Saddam Hussein, but the opinion of an average, middle-aged woman has influenced me more than anything.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Commando no. 448](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 11:49:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I feel this analogy will best describe post war Iraq.

The "collition of the willing" is picking up Iraq. Dropping it and watching it slowly fall like a balloon. Trying to gently blow it into the right place. Yet any wrong shoves will send it into a worse place than it currently is in.

Why is this so? It will take a long time for post-war Iraq to find its place in the world. And that place could be anywhere from a beautiful democratic nation to a constant civil war with parties holding power for brief durations until the next revolution starts. I am sure there are examples where this has happened but I do not have time to find them. Maybe Bangladesh, after independence from Iraq the country had a civil war and is currently having such a low standard of living.

---

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2003 13:40:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote: You can't tell me that if a huge chunk of uninhabited landscape was wiped out by a bomb, and a threat was made to use it on an actual target, that it wouldn't have a similar deterring effect?

Actually that would NOT have had the same deterring effect. Don't forget, the US even though it planned to drop the bomb only planned on dropping one. The Japanese people said they would defend their leader and would never surrender. So the US Dropped another. Even after that the Japanese people still did not want surrender. They would have every one of their cities cratered before they surrendered. That's how loyal they were. Finally their leader stood up and said "Stop this, this is madness, I cannot see any more of my people die". He had the loyalty but did not abuse it. That is what Saddam should do.

---