Subject: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Thu, 22 May 2003 04:12:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ok...to get away from the war, although this will most likely turn into a discussion flaming me and saying I hate the president, I thought I'd start a thread about the draft. I have never heard any of the good points...and as is right now, I dont see how you could be for it. to me, it seems blatantly un-American. The draft is being forced to fight for ideals you dont believe in. I know if you can prove your a pacifist...they'll just send you to a hospital or non-combat position...but how can you say we're entitled to our own opinions...but we have to fight for those of the country even if we dont believe in them?

Subject: the draft Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 22 May 2003 14:51:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm not biting on this one.

If you have as much concept of civic duty and loyalty backed up with action as I think you do, you would never understand.

Never in your lifetime.

Not everything in life is politically correct, and negotiable. Action solves problems, not pacifism.

Subject: the draft Posted by xpontius on Thu, 22 May 2003 16:26:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I screwed up my leg a few month ago so I guess if i ever was approached id be outta the draft for ... a long time

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 22 May 2003 16:29:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke,

There is no draft. In all reality there will probably never be a draft again. Also, most countries in the world require you to serve 18 months to 2 years in their military. So what brought this up? Your 3rd world books are out of date. Get a new book on America and read about the strongest and one of the largest

ALL VOLUNTEER MILITARIES in the world.

If people value their freedom they will join the military to help spread the gift of freedom. If they are lazy leaches like your self and would rather have other people die for them, I guess that is their right. Why is it, every time the US has any kind of a conflict, the anti war/conflict groups start the "Draft Re-opened" rumor? Reason: They have no other ammunition. They try to terrorize the public with slogans like; "Another Vietnam" and "Draft Re-opened"! They have no real facts, so they prey on the fears of the weak.

I served because I love my freedom and my country. I did not join for college money. As a matter of fact I got my 2 degrees with my own money. Not the GI bill.

Subject: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Thu, 22 May 2003 18:01:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I knew this conversation would require you to be more mature than you could possibly ever be. I never said the draft was reinstated...although there have been people in Congress trying to get it reinstated because they think there's to many black people in the military.

and again you continue assuming that the only people that benifit America are the ones that would kill their enemies. That sickens me...just as it has sickened so many other people...including the great Albert Einstein.

If you think you're all high and mighty...then fucking explain why the draft is a good thing. I'm really interested as to why someone would and I'm asking for your opinion. So stop being a jackass for the fucking sake of it and tell me why it's a good thing to be forced to fight for something you dont believe in. Tell me how that's freedom.

Subject: the draft Posted by joroe34 on Thu, 22 May 2003 18:10:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

thank god there isn't a draft!!!!

Picture this.... you volunteer for the army..... 11 bravo.... you are pounding the ground and hit a fox whole and must hold that position. next to you is draftee duke of nuke. no thanks man... i dont want a draft. and this is my reason. need i say more?

imagine kirby and duke of nukes on the same ship??

Subject: the draft Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 22 May 2003 18:28:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukes there have been people in Congress trying to get it reinstated because they think

there's to many black people in the military.

Where do you get your info? On the back page of the National Enquirer?

Oh, that's right. You just make it up as you go along. And if you have the ability to read between the lines, my answer is in my post. I doubt you can though.

Oh, and Duke and I wouldn't be on the same ship long.

"Man overboard!" :twisted:

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 22 May 2003 18:51:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You know Duke,

You sicken me. You a child who claims to have grown up in third world countries bitching about how the US is run in the past, present and the future.

I want to know names of the politicians wanting to reinstate the draft. You can't provide any. Why, you may ask? Because it would be political suicide. You have no idea what you are taliking about. You never lived it. Kirby and I were there.

Quote:Duke of Nukes Posted: Wed May 21, 2003 10:12 pm Post subject: the draft

ok...to get away from the war, although this will most likely turn into a discussion flaming me and saying I hate the president, I thought I'd start a thread about the draft. I have never heard any of the good points...and as is right now, I dont see how you could be for it. to me, it seems blatantly un-American. The draft is being forced to fight for ideals you dont believe in. I know if you can prove your a pacifist...they'll just send you to a hospital or non-combat position...but how can you say we're entitled to our own opinions...but we have to fight for those of the country even if we dont believe in them? Implies that the draft is here or very close to coming back. How else is a person going to read it? You my child need to step away from your computer before you hurt yourself.

For everyone else: Could this be our friend Duke getting ready to avoid a draft that isn't there?

Subject: the draft Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 22 May 2003 19:00:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Re: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Thu, 22 May 2003 19:11:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukesok...to get away from the war, although this will most likely turn into a discussion flaming me and saying I hate the president,

Did I call it or did I call it? Grow up you pathetic little children. If you dont have anything to say about the selective service...then dont participate in the discussion. perhaps you should read it again and point it out to me where I said the draft was going to be reinstated? point it out to me where it was even fucking implied. This isn't the current events board...is it? because last I checked...it was politics...and we could talk about politics without it applying to the war

Subject: the draft Posted by joroe34 on Thu, 22 May 2003 19:16:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:whole that would be hole.

Subject: Re: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 22 May 2003 19:39:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukes...I thought I'd start a thread about the draft. I have never heard any of the good points...and as is right now, I dont see how you could be for it. to me, it seems blatantly un-American. The draft is being forced to fight for ideals you dont believe in...but we have to fight for those of the country even if we dont believe in them?

What does this mean you pathetic little 3rd world educated child? The way you wrote it clearly says the draft IS being forced... The draft doesn't exist any more, and we haven't jumped on you about your views of the president. You took your own post off topic with this quote:

Quote:Duke of Nukes Posted: Thu May 22, 2003 1:11 pm Post subject: Re: the draft

Duke of Nukes wrote:

ok...to get away from the war, although this will most likely turn into a discussion flaming me and saying I hate the president,

Did I call it or did I call it? Grow up you pathetic little children. If you dont have anything to say about the selective service...then dont participate in the discussion. perhaps you should read it again and point it out to me where I said the draft was going to be reinstated? point it out to me where it was even fucking implied. This isn't the current events board...is it? because last I checked...it was politics...and we could talk about politics without it applying to the war

Don't try to derail your own post, and make it look like we are responsible.

And what does this say?

Quote:Duke of Nukes Commander Member # 106

Posted: Thu May 22, 2003 12:01 pm

...although there have been people in Congress trying to get it reinstated because they think there's to many black people in the military.

That is where you said the some people in Congress were trying to reinstate the draft.

Subject: the draft Posted by KIRBY098 on Thu, 22 May 2003 19:41:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Owned again.

This is too easy.....

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Thu, 22 May 2003 19:48:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

KIRBY098Owned again.

This is too easy.....

It's like shooting fish in a barrel with an AT-4. you can't miss. :twisted:

For you non military folk... AT-4 = Anti-Tank weapon. Almost like a RPG.

Subject: the draft Posted by Sir Phoenixx on Thu, 22 May 2003 20:28:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesDid I call it or did I call it? Grow up you pathetic little children. If you dont have anything to say about the selective service...then dont participate in the discussion. perhaps you should read it again and point it out to me where I said the draft was going to be reinstated? point it out to me where it was even fucking implied. This isn't the current events board...is it? because last I checked...it was politics...and we could talk about politics without it applying to the war

Wait, who was the first to throw an insult? Yes, that would be you.

Duke of NukesI knew this conversation would require you to be more mature than you could possibly ever be.

Who was the second person to throw an insult? Yes, that would be you too.

Duke of NukesGrow up you pathetic little children.

The only insult, flame, or anything like that that was directed to you was after you have already insulted and flamed k9/kirby.

Duke of NukesIf you think you're all high and mighty...then fucking explain why the draft is a good thing.

Who the fuck here said anything at all about the draft being good? There wasn't even the slightest hint of anyone saying that the draft was good prior to that sorry excuse for a post.

There is no draft, and it will never come back, no one is that stupid. (Well, besides you.)

Just like everything else you've ever posted on this board, you have absolutely nothing to back you up. You just post the same damn stupid crap over and over, and you wonder why everyone dislikes you.

Subject: the draft Posted by anort893 on Thu, 22 May 2003 23:39:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There is no draft, and I do not see one coming in the future. The reason there is no draft is that draftees actually reduce the effectivness of a modern army. A modern army wants professionals who spend years and years of their lives training, not poorly motivated cannon fodder. As has been proven in every war since WWI, good equipment and training is worth far more than numbers.

Subject: the draft Posted by bigwig992 on Fri, 23 May 2003 02:51:11 GMT Technically there is still a draft. There isn't a point for it though because:

1. We have enough volunteers.

2. Our troops are the best trained in the world (yes, they are, argue if you want, but you can't argue with results).

3. No need for all the extra troops.

Now, the founding father's created the draft, it was, and still (techniclly) is in effect. It is the DUTY of a citizen of the United States to serve in the military in time of war. Not saying it's a good thing for all the people who are against it, but come on. If you don't like the draft, simply move to Canada.

And Duke, your really starting to piss me off. Every time you insult America, it's "interest", it's people, and it's military, it reminds me of September 11th. Now if you think we are "over-doing" things, go call up some terrorist, and ask them to fly a jumbo-jet into your tallest fucking building. Don't we still have the right to be mad? I can predict what your going to say:

"no, your just bossing around the world, bush wants to take over the world and use all of iraq's oil" :rolleyes:

Back to the subject, the draft will probley not happen again, not when you have people like my brother () out there because he choose to join and fight for everyone's freedoms.

Subject: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Fri, 23 May 2003 04:39:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

wow...big wig...you're the smartest guy in the forums. honestly...because...you know...I always talk about how we're just fighting in Iraq for the oil. numbnuts...I have constantly said I know it's not about the oil...you see to be forgeting something...my dad is the manager of an oil rig in Africa...I know more about the oil bussiness than you will probably ever know...and I'll be the first to tell you that if it was about oil...they would have just taken over Iraq when we pushed them out of Kuwait.

If you think asking a question about the draft and stating that being FORCED, legally, to fight for an ideal you dont believe in, whether it happens again or not (probably wont), saying that makes you a terrorist, then I guess I'm guilty. I never once praised Bin Laden...I think we did the right thing taking him out...

Without people that use their freedoms...your brother would be out there killing and dying for nothing. The Consitution has proven time and time again that it is flawed...and our forefathers set it up so that those flaws could be fixed for a reason. I never once insulted America. I love this country...dispite its flaws there are people that would chose to make it a better place to live. People that realize it's not perfect and will do everything they can to make it better. That is what makes this country so great. You're right...without the military...we would be taken over and have lost our freedoms...but without people like me...they'd be out there dying for nothing.

Quote:...my dad is the manager of an oil rig in Africa...I know more about the oil bussiness than you will probably ever know...

stop pretending that you know more than us. The mechanics of operationg a well is very different from the economics that put the well there. That is assuming you really do have a oil rig manager father.

Quote:You're right...without the military...we would be taken over and have lost our freedoms...but without people like me...they'd be out there dying for nothing.

I think you are stroking your ego a little too much. Please stop with this "holier than thou" crap, it distracts from any sort of real debate.

Subject: the draft Posted by spotelmo on Fri, 23 May 2003 06:52:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

there is actually 1 congressman(i think from newjersey but not sure) who wanted to reinstate the draft. i'm not sure if he ever got around to actually drafting the bill or not. his reason was not "because there are too many blacks in the military" it was because he was against the war in iraq and he figured that if politician's sons were going to be forced to serve, then congress and the president would be less likely to start wars.

now, as for duke's question of "why the draft" no one likes the idea of the draft because it puts inexperienced soldiers on the battlefield and that risks lives. but if we were at war and did not have enough soldiers to fight, then a draft would be necessary.

it would be waaay too embarassing to have to go tell china "dude, i know you want to take over the us, but, duke and his friends don't want to fight... can we postpone this war till the next generation comes of age? i don't think they will be such spineless pieces of shit"

when it comes to defending this country, the draft and anything else that helps us win should be and will be instated.

personally, i have never served in the military, but i am quite proud of my father's 20 years in the airforce and if the draft were necessary again to defend the usa, i would not wait for my number to be called, i would volunteer and serve proudly if they would have me.

Subject: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Fri, 23 May 2003 07:15:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

the draft in a case where we're being taken over is one thing...but it shouldn't be reinstated for

anything else. If the country needed soldiers to actually help defend...I would gladly volunteer...but I dont think the draft is a good thing in the instances it has been used in the past.

Subject: the draft Posted by spotelmo on Fri, 23 May 2003 08:08:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukesthe draft in a case where we're being taken over is one thing...but it shouldn't be reinstated for anything else. If the country needed soldiers to actually help defend...I would gladly volunteer...but I dont think the draft is a good thing in the instances it has been used in the past. instances used in the past?

so, world warll wasn't a good cause?

korean war wasn't a good cause?

vietnam wasn't a good cause?

these were all wars where we tried to stop one country from taking over another. and to stop aggression and killing of innocent people.

in my opinion, these and the wars before them were all good causes.

Subject: the draft Posted by Sir Phoenixx on Fri, 23 May 2003 12:23:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukeswow...big wig...you're the smartest guy in the forums.

Compared to you, yes he is.

Doesn't it seem wierd that you're actually on the same side of the argument with us, but you're still throwing tons of insults to "our side", and trying to make "your side" seem like the right side?

Subject: the draft Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 May 2003 12:37:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Circular, Bipolar logic is fun, isn't it Duke?

It a by-product of being a fence riding Democrat.

Subject: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Fri, 23 May 2003 18:08:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message KIRBY098If you have as much concept of civic duty and loyalty backed up with action as I think you do, you would never understand.

let he among you that has not sinned cast the first stone...right? The very first insult thrown on this thread...so dont even blame me

same side or not...if you're not in it for the right reason, then you're not on my side.

K9TrooperWhy is it, every time the US has any kind of a conflict, the anti war/conflict groups start the "Draft Re-opened" rumor?

putting words into my mouth...and then I defended myself.

as for spotelmo...we weren't in Korea nor vietnam for the right reasons. They started out good...but they turned into mental retardation that we should be there.

Subject: the draft Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 23 May 2003 18:50:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesKIRBY098If you have as much concept of civic duty and loyalty backed up with action as I think you do, you would never understand. Iet he among you that has not sinned cast the first stone...right? The very first insult thrown on this thread...so dont even blame me

same side or not...if you're not in it for the right reason, then you're not on my side.

K9TrooperWhy is it, every time the US has any kind of a conflict, the anti war/conflict groups start the "Draft Re-opened" rumor?

putting words into my mouth...and then I defended myself.

as for spotelmo...we weren't in Korea nor vietnam for the right reasons. They started out good...but they turned into mental retardation that we should be there.

oK, You quoting scripture is funny.

Second, what were the reasons we were in Korea?

Third, you still think South Vietnam exists as a soveriegn nation. Why should we even ponder for a second what you think of that entire situation?

Subject: the draft Posted by spotelmo on Sat, 24 May 2003 22:41:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message south korea and vietnam were both noble causes.

we were there to stop communist aggression. in korea we were successful. in vietnam, the military was successful, the politicians were not. either way, they were both good reasons to go to war. just like if north korea were to attack the south today, it would be a good reason to go to war again.

Subject: the draft Posted by TheatreRaptor on Sun, 25 May 2003 02:05:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have not read all replys but I read the first message plus some. I would just like to say that the draft can be a necessary thing. If you don't believe in this country and what it fights for you shouldn't live here. If you do then you wont mind fighting for it. Sometimes the army might be lacking in numbers especially in a larger war. The only way to increase its numbers is by iniciating a draft. This is a last resort sort of thing and wont be done unless necessary for the survival of this country and its people but is completely acceptable to me and all loyal Americans. If you don't like the idea that any day when you are needed they can come to the door and ask you to go, leave the friggin country

Subject: the draft Posted by MrBob on Tue, 27 May 2003 14:25:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The Korean War was a good cause, despite what socialist assholes may think. North Korea invaded South Korea, which is obviously wrong.

The Vietnam War was a good cause, same reason for the Korean War. Look, I may not agreee with EVERYTHING Lindin(sp?) Johnson (Nixon was not the bad guy) did, but does that make the entire war was WRONG? No.

The North Vietnamese violated the Geneva Convention SEVERAL times. What did the UN do? Nothing. The Viet Cocks would purposely kill medics; they'd set up booby traps and hid in holes like cowards.

But, of course, WE are the ones to blame, right? God Forbid we defend someone elses freedom. God Forbid we cared about the South Vietnamese, right? :rolleyes:

Subject: the draft Posted by anort893 on Tue, 27 May 2003 22:51:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yah, the NVA violated the Geneva convention all over the place, stting traps for medics, killing villages that would not support them, shooting downed airmen, etc, but that is noting new. The Koreans did the same things, and the Japanese trained their troops to yell "corpsman" (the Marine

equivelent of a medic) just to wipe out evey medic the could reach.

Also, I beleive that the simple abandonment of the Sout Vietnamese was just wrong, especially when we really knew about the politicat "purges" that would follow(simply because that is what every communist government since the dawn of time has done when taking over new territory).

Subject: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Sun, 01 Jun 2003 16:14:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

MrBobNorth Korea invaded South Korea, which is obviously wrong.

no more than the North invaded the south during our own Civil War. Remember...Korea was it's own country first...same with Vietnam

Subject: the draft Posted by anort893 on Sun, 01 Jun 2003 18:21:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:MrBob wrote:

North Korea invaded South Korea, which is obviously wrong.

no more than the North invaded the south during our own Civil War. Remember...Korea was it's own country first...same with Vietnam

Duke, learn your history. The Union invaded the Confederacy because it broke away from a soveriegn state. Korea wasn't a state before the Korean war, it was teritory conquered by Japan. The commiss then took over the north and set up a government there, and the U.S. took the south and set up a governmet there. The North decided that it wanted it all, and invaded with the help of other foriegn powers. There is NO comparison to the American Civil War.

Subject: the draft Posted by NeoSaber on Sun, 01 Jun 2003 23:28:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukesno more than the North invaded the south during our own Civil War.

The Confederacy attacked first. If they hadn't, Lincoln may not have been able to get enough political support for a war with the south.

Subject: the draft

anort893Quote:MrBob wrote:

North Korea invaded South Korea, which is obviously wrong.

no more than the North invaded the south during our own Civil War. Remember...Korea was it's own country first...same with Vietnam

Duke, learn your history. The Union invaded the Confederacy because it broke away from a soveriegn state. Korea wasn't a state before the Korean war, it was teritory conquered by Japan. The commiss then took over the north and set up a government there, and the U.S. took the south and set up a governmet there. The North decided that it wanted it all, and invaded with the help of other foriegn powers. There is NO comparison to the American Civil War.

Korea broke away but was a country for a short period of time before it broke into two parts, north and south. However, what we were trying to do is to free those that wanted freedom but could not due to outside powers. No matter how you look at it, that should be the ultimate goal of the US. Help those that want help!

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Mon, 02 Jun 2003 14:42:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukes

no more than the North invaded the south during our own Civil War. Remember...Korea was it's own country first...same with Vietnam

Ummmm. Does anyone remember Fort Sumter?

April 12, 1861 - At 4:30 AM Confederates under General Pierre Beauregard open fire with 50 cannons upon Fort Sumter in Charleston, South Carolina. The Civil War begins.

July 21, 1861 - The Union Army under Gen. Irvin McDowell suffers a defeat at Bull Run 25 miles southwest of Washington. Confederate Gen. Thomas J. Jackson earns the nickname "Stonewall," as his brigade resists Union attacks. Union troops fall back to Washington. President Lincoln realizes the war will be long. "It's damned bad," he comments.

So Duke your Third World education fails you again. The South fired the first shots. It took 2 months before the Union sent its army in the the south.

http://www.civilwar.com/timehome.htm

A-Level History

American Civil War: Overview

Important features

The two main theatres of land warfare

West of Appalachians centring on Mississippi

East of Appalachians centring on the area between the rival capitals Washington and Richmond Strategy and tactics

This is often called the first modern war.

By the 1860s muskets with rapid fire and rifled barrels (accurate range of 600 yards instead of previous 60) were changing the nature of land warfare. They could easily destroy drilled infantry still advancing in close formation as in the Napoleonic Wars. At Gettysburg defenders in secure positions shot Pickett's disastrous charge to pieces and ensured it was the last display of old-style tactics.

Until 1863 warfare was dominated by an army's need to maintain a line of communication with its supply base. But Grant and Sherman in the South showed the terrible effectiveness of striking into enemy territory and living off the land, the invaders plundering and devastating it as they marched.

At sea, warfare was in transition. Steam was replacing sail and both sides experimented with ironclad ships.

Casualties

Half a million men were killed.

Resources

Most advantages were with the Union an organised government twice the manpower of the South most of the industry command of the seas (hence successful blockade of South)The Confederacy had one main advantage it was the world's main supplier of cotton

Fast Forward

1861 Southern states withdraw (secede) from the Union on election of anti-slavery president

Lincoln

1861 Feb Provisional government of Confederacy is set up with Jefferson Davis as President

1861 April Confederacy starts war by attacking Fort Sumter, Union garrison in Southern territory 1861 July At Ist battle of Bull Run in Virginia Confederate general 'Stonewall Jackson' holds off Union forces

1862 September Confederate general Lee's attempted invasion of North is defeated at Antietam in Virginia 1862 Union executes pincer movement on Confederacy west of Appalachians - Grant defeats Confederates at Shiloh in North and Farragut captures New Orleans in South

1863 July Lee's second invasion of North is defeated at Gettysburg in Pennsylvania, spelling beginning of end for Confederacy

1863 May-July Grant takes Union army down Mississippi and captures Confederate stronghold of Vicksburg, cutting South in two

1864 July-Dec Sherman invades Georgia and burns Atlanta - his 'march to the sea' bisects South again

1864 Grant wears down Lee's reserves and besieges Richmond

1865 Sherman invades Carolinas while Grant drives Confederates from Richmond 1865 April Lee surrenders at Appomattox

Source: History Channel

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Mon, 02 Jun 2003 17:03:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

March 3, 1963 - The U.S. Congress enacts a draft, affecting male citizens aged 20 to 45.

Was this a bad use of the draft Duke? :rolleyes: To free people enslaved. You said no good ever came out of the draft. Well tell that to millions of African-Americans out there.

Subject: the draft Posted by anort893 on Tue, 03 Jun 2003 00:39:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:The Confederacy had one main advantage it was the world's main supplier of cotton

Actually, the Confederacy's main advantage was the amount of military genius they had working for their side(Lee, Forrest, etc.) and the Unions's inablility to find competent leadersheap until much later in the war.

Quote:Source: History Channel

Did you watch the history channel and take notes? :rolleyes:

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Wed, 04 Jun 2003 18:55:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ohmybadQuote:Source: History Channel

Did you watch the history channel and take notes? :rolleyes:

:rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes:

Does it matter? We atleast will provide resources for those that would have doubt.

Subject: the draft Posted by Sir Phoenixx on Wed, 04 Jun 2003 23:52:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ohmybadQuote:Source: History Channel

Did you watch the history channel and take notes? :rolleyes:

Just another futile attempt to start a flame war in another thread. :rolleyes:

There's this little thing called the internet, and it might come as a surprise to you, but most to channel's have websites.

Subject: the draft Posted by ohmybad on Thu, 05 Jun 2003 23:11:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I dont want to start a flame war I just thought it was little funny, thats all. Im sorry I got your panties in a bunch. :rolleyes:

Dage 16 of 26 Concepted from Command and Conguer: Depende Official Forums

ohmybadl dont want to start a flame war I just thought it was little funny, thats all. Im sorry I got your panties in a bunch. :rolleyes:

You thought that providing historical evidence from the history channel is funny?

"I'm sorry I got your panties in a bunch.", nice show of your extremely low maturity level, child.

Subject: the draft Posted by ohmybad on Fri, 06 Jun 2003 15:58:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No, there is nothing wronge with historical evidence from the history channel. If you went to there website thats fine but if you sat there watching and took notes thats a little ahhh...weird and im sorry if I implied that you all did.

Subject: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Sat, 07 Jun 2003 18:06:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Fort Sumter was a military base in a different country that was being heavily resupplied...as if they were about to attack. The South was MORE justified in attacking that than we were in attacking Iraq.

We started out in Korea and Vietnam in good name...but after some time we were only in there because we didn't want Communism to spread...not because we wanted to help them.

Subject: the draft Posted by KIRBY098 on Wed, 11 Jun 2003 15:33:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesFort Sumter was a military base in a different country that was being heavily resupplied...as if they were about to attack.

Incorrect again.

http://www.tulane.edu/~latner/InitialProb/Mar5.html

Subject: the draft

Fort Sumter was being heavily reinforced...that's common knowledge. but even if I'm wrong as you say...then the South still felt like they were just making a "pre emptive strike"

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Wed, 11 Jun 2003 21:32:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesFort Sumter was being heavily reinforced...that's common knowledge. but even if I'm wrong as you say...then the South still felt like they were just making a "pre emptive strike"

Here is a little analogy for you Duke.

The South viewed the North as a threat to their security, so they attack the fort... Right? And you justify that unprovoked attack. Remember the North didn't fire the first shot. Don't start rewriting history now...

Well the same can be said about the Iraqi war.

The US saw Iraq as a threat to our security and the world. So we attacked.

Yet you say it is wrong. Why is that? Don't give the old "They are on the otherside of the world" line. Terrorism has no borders anymore.

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Wed, 11 Jun 2003 21:36:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

K9TrooperMarch 3, 1963 - The U.S. Congress enacts a draft, affecting male citizens aged 20 to 45.

Was this a bad use of the draft Duke? :rolleyes: To free people enslaved. You said no good ever came out of the draft. Well tell that to millions of African-Americans out there.

Duke, you still didn't answer this one.

Subject: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 06:29:31 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

if I'm the idiot that thinks Vietnam is one country...then you're the idiot that thinks the civil war happened in the 1960s :rolleyes:

you said the South was wrong but we were right...you call me a hypocrite...but seriously. If we were right in making a pre emptive strike in Iraq...why wasn't the south right in making a pre emptive strike. I mean...it's not like the Iraqi fort in Virginia was being heavily reinforced or anything

Subject: the draft Posted by spotelmo on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 07:55:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

first of all, the south was wrong for seceeding from the union in the first place. we can not and will not allow states to split away from the united states. it would undermine our security and our economy.

secondly, iraq was not a preemptive strike. they started a war, we kicked their ass, they agreed to terms of cease-fire, they violated those terms of cease-fire we got a new un resolution laying out certain terms violation of which would have severe consequences, they violated those terms, we attacked.

third, the draft is still a necessary thing available to the us forces should it be needed.

Subject: the draft Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 11:55:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukes I mean...it's not like the Iraqi fort in Virginia was being heavily reinforced or anything

I could flame this all day long.....

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 13:33:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukesif I'm the idiot that thinks Vietnam is one country...then you're the idiot that thinks the civil war happened in the 1960s :rolleyes:

you said the South was wrong but we were right...you call me a hypocrite...but seriously. If we were right in making a pre emptive strike in Iraq...why wasn't the south right in making a pre emptive strike. I mean... it's not like the Iraqi fort in Virginia was being heavily reinforced or anything

Read the whole fucking post you dumbass. I said it was an analogy, a theory. Because you said the South was right to do the pre-emptive strike against the North, because they felt the North was a threat. Yet you think the US was wrong in striking Iraq. We felt Iraq was a threat to the US and the world. Remember, terrorism has no boundries anymore.

FYI! The civil rights movement in the 1960's has often been refered as the "2nd Civil War". And I do know the date of the real Civil War. I also know that Iraq didn't have a fort in Virginia. Iraq didn't even exist. Please do all of us a favor and get a clue in what you're talking about. Go to a library and read before you post. And for Gods sake! Re-read your post to make sure you have your geography right. :rolleyes:

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 13:46:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

KIRBY098Duke of Nukes I mean...it's not like the Iraqi fort in Virginia was being heavily reinforced or anything

I could flame this all day long.....

Kirby, flame away. This firefighter won't put it out.

Subject: the draft Posted by Sir Phoenixx on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 14:09:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of Nukesif I'm the idiot that thinks Vietnam is one country...then you're the idiot that thinks the civil war happened in the 1960s :rolleyes:

LOL...

Where exactly do you come up with this crap? Not one post in this thread has gave even the slightest indication that the person thought that the civil war was in the '60s. I find both your ability to make stupid crap up as you go, and your extremely stupid "I mean...it's not like the Iraqi fort in Virginia was being heavily reinforced or anything" statement very humurous.

(You even got the statement quoted above wrong. You're the idiot that thinks South and North Vietnam are still two seperate countries.)

he is referring to the erroneous post where it was stated that the draft was started in 1963. by k9trooper

"March 3, 1963 - The U.S. Congress enacts a draft, affecting male citizens aged 20 to 45. "

this was an obvious mistake. i believe it was supposed to be 1863. i'm sure that's what k9 meant though.

Subject: the draft Posted by KIRBY098 on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 14:52:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

All I have to say is this :

http://www.n00bstories.com/renforums/viewtopic.php?t=653

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 14:59:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

spotelmohe is referring to the erroneous post where it was stated that the draft was started in 1963.

by k9trooper

"March 3, 1963 - The U.S. Congress enacts a draft, affecting male citizens aged 20 to 45."

this was an obvious mistake. i believe it was supposed to be 1863. i'm sure that's what k9 meant though.

That was a typo. It should have said 1863 :oops: I was typing to fast and hit the 9 and not the 8. :oops:

http://www.civilwar.com/timehome.htm

Subject: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 20:19:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

My comment about Vietnam still being two countries was a mistake as well...I was thinking Korea

when I wrote it, as I have stated many times but the almighty Kirby keeps throwing it back in my face

K9TrooperDuke of NukesI mean... it's not like the Iraqi fort in Virginia was being heavily reinforced or anything

I also know that Iraq didn't have a fort in Virginia.

clap clap clap congrats, you can catch on to sarcasm now, you must be growing up :rolleyes:

Iraq was either a strike that was way too late or a pre emptive strike. The war ended a decade ago. Terrorism never had any boundries. Nothing has changed in that in decades. Do you remember the OKC bombing? Honestly...the only thing that's changed is that one group launched a Jihad against the US and was beaten severely, which I agree with fully.

and Kirby...just because you say I got owned every 5 minutes does not make it so

Subject: the draft Posted by K9Trooper on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 20:26:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Duke of NukesMy comment about Vietnam still being two countries was a mistake as well...I was thinking Korea when I wrote it, as I have stated many times but the almighty Kirby keeps throwing it back in my face

K9TrooperDuke of NukesI mean... it's not like the Iraqi fort in Virginia was being heavily reinforced or anything

I also know that Iraq didn't have a fort in Virginia.

clap clap clap congrats, you can catch on to sarcasm now, you must be growing up :rolleyes:

Iraq was either a strike that was way too late or a pre emptive strike. The war ended a decade ago. Terrorism never had any boundries. Nothing has changed in that in decades. Do you remember the OKC bombing? Honestly...the only thing that's changed is that one group launched a Jihad against the US and was beaten severely, which I agree with fully.

and Kirby...just because you say I got owned every 5 minutes does not make it so

*clap*clap* You know how to forge a quote.

K9TrooperDuke of NukesI mean... it's not like the Iraqi fort in Virginia was being heavily reinforced or anything

I also know that Iraq didn't have a fort in Virginia. The last sentance is not mine.

Subject: the draft Posted by Duke of Nukes on Fri, 13 Jun 2003 20:29:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

K9TrooperI also know that Iraq didn't have a fort in Virginia better? or perhaps you'd like the whole thing...just in case the context was lost on broken eyes.

K9TrooperDuke of Nukesif I'm the idiot that thinks Vietnam is one country...then you're the idiot that thinks the civil war happened in the 1960s :rolleyes:

you said the South was wrong but we were right...you call me a hypocrite...but seriously. If we were right in making a pre emptive strike in Iraq...why wasn't the south right in making a pre emptive strike. I mean... it's not like the Iraqi fort in Virginia was being heavily reinforced or anything

Read the whole fucking post you dumbass. I said it was an analogy, a theory. Because you said the South was right to do the pre-emptive strike against the North, because they felt the North was a threat. Yet you think the US was wrong in striking Iraq. We felt Iraq was a threat to the US and the world. Remember, terrorism has no boundries anymore.

FYI! The civil rights movement in the 1960's has often been refered as the "2nd Civil War". And I do know the date of the real Civil War. I also know that Iraq didn't have a fort in Virginia. Iraq didn't even exist. Please do all of us a favor and get a clue in what you're talking about. Go to a library and read before you post. And for Gods sake! Re-read your post to make sure you have your geography right. :rolleyes:

Subject: the draft Posted by Epeyon on Fri, 20 Jun 2003 21:26:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

K9trooper you dumbass he never said that their was an iraqi fort in Virginia he was say how the virginian forts at the begining of the Civil War were getting reinforced and I have never heard of the Civil Rights movement being called the second civil war. How could it be considering how arms were never taken up except for when the southern police forces thought that it was required to prevent civil unrest though it created it.

and Iraq was around in the Eighteen 60s imbecel

Subject: the draft Posted by spotelmo on Sat, 21 Jun 2003 09:25:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message i have often heard of the civil rights movement being called the second civil war. and, iraq did not become an independent country until 1932.

Subject: the draft Posted by Epeyon on Sat, 21 Jun 2003 19:40:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

oh well then I'll shutup about the Iraq thing but really before now I never heard the Civil Rights movement called the second civil war.

Subject: the draft Posted by spotelmo on Sun, 22 Jun 2003 02:03:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

it was called that because it again divided the country. it even came to blows a few times with angry residents fighting national guard troops there to desegregate schools and such.

Subject: the draft Posted by Epeyon on Sun, 22 Jun 2003 16:59:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ahh well still war was never declared and it never broke apart and yes I do know what a civil war is but it was never a civil war. Now to get back to the draft. If the draft is ever reinacted then the same thing that happened during the vietnam war will probably happen again though if the war does have strong backing to it like world war two did then it won't happen.

Subject: the draft Posted by spotelmo on Sun, 22 Jun 2003 21:07:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

regardless of what a few headline grabbing democrats say they want to do, i doubt the draft will ever be reinstated unless there was a major world wide conflict or if the us was invaded. as i've stated before though... if called i will gladly serve.

Subject: the draft Posted by Epyon on Sun, 22 Jun 2003 21:15:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The draft is there incase of a war that would be the scale of something like WWII. It is not really

necessary anymore considering we can obliterate countries and not even have to go outside anymore. Even if that happened, this country has so many lazy slobs that take advantage of everything they can in it, that Canada's population would double if there was a draft. I do not like the idea of death, but I would serve if called upon, unlike most of this country gone wrong. Want to know why they wanted to reinstate the draft? Some racist ass senators thought it would be nice to "have some white boys get their asses shot so everything will be equal". Its nothing more than an extension of that affirmative action bullshit that causes so many problems. Its nothing more than giving people unfair chances and making the racial divide even wider. The draft will never come into play really. We are so far superior to any country that we can take a war by ourselves. The only reason we ask for allies to get them to help with the costs and be the clean up crew.

fucking ass out. That pisses me off more than anything. These fucking people who sit around and collect welfare, or sue people for ridiculous shit, or try and tell other people how to raise children and try to tell them that they cant watch TV, or play video games.

there are countries like France where you will fit in just right, with the rest of the stinking trash.

Subject: the draft Posted by spotelmo on Mon, 23 Jun 2003 06:42:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

you are wrong, the senator that wanted it reinstated was a black vetern(from newjersey i think) democrat who wanted it reinstated because he was against the war and figures that if senators sons had to go to war, they would be less likely to vote to attack anyone in the future. he was an idiot and will probably not be re-elected.

Subject: the draft Posted by Epeyon on Mon, 23 Jun 2003 16:19:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hear Hear Epyon!!! I'm behind yah LET'S GIVE CANADA THE PUSSEYS WHO WANT TO KEEP ME FROM MY T.V. AND VIDEO GAMES CANADA WANTS THEM SO LETS GIVE EM TO IT! I'm actually going to try to go to the Air Force academy when I'm in college so I wouldn't have to worry about I draft I'd already be in the Military. Plus I would be proud to serve the country.

Subject: the draft Posted by Commando no. 448 on Mon, 23 Jun 2003 21:12:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Send them hear we could use a few more incomes to tax and give us money to spend in our

Prime Ministery's "legacy project". Jean wants to leave his mark on Canada. I say he should improve free health care. And maybe they will decide to stay after they lose quilification for the draft.

Subject: the draft Posted by Epeyon on Tue, 24 Jun 2003 02:49:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They probably would stay cause we'll be in this war for along time cause it's against terrorism and since terrorism is everywhere it'll probably take a century before it's over.

Subject: the draft Posted by setstyle on Thu, 24 Jul 2003 04:39:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ass out

^ We are have a birth-given right to express our opinions and resist those who seek to crush them. Should something really be done for the "good of the country" (as deemed by others) if it involves suppressing the opinions that make up democracy?

Page 26 of 26 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums