

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [LTKirovy](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 03:29:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think we should know how big we are allowed to have the pics on our sigs.

And is the pic in the sig to big?

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 06:53:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think it's a little large, personally... at least it doesn't stretch the table. It kinda matter what everyone else thinks, too, though...

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 07:30:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

IMO a Sig/Signature by definition is a short message. That being said I think a signature image should not be taller than 4-5 lines of text, preferably smaller. Once its bigger than half an inch or so it stops being a signature and becomes "that big picture at the end of his posts"

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [XKMonkey](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 08:11:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I hate tall signatures.

Banners work nicely for sigs since they only take a couple lines, and as long as they aren't too long.

Does my opinion matter?

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Mats0174\[Ammo\]](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 08:31:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Blazer, can I use a normal sized banner? (400x90 or so)

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 09:02:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Mats0174[Ammo]Blazer, can I use a normal sized banner? (400x90 or so)

Don't see why not

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 09:07:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Personally, I really don't like images in sigs, but banner-sized ones are okay with me. I do think that the WW one is too large, as is Ack's image.

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Darkre1gn](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 09:57:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson: why not make a poll for 2 weeks?

It could be like this:

What should the maximum size of signature images be?

- a. 100 by 400.
  - b. 100 by 700
  - c. 300 by 400
  - d. NO PICTURES IN A SIGNATURE!
- 

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 10:54:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

About banner ad size, about 450x50 - 450-100

And ACK's image is too big...

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Deactivated](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 11:40:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think my sig is okay..

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Mats0174\[Ammo\]](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 11:55:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

How is mine?

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Rich\[HN\]](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 12:09:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Mats, your banner is ok

Quote:

Blazer Wrote:

Mats0174[Ammo] wrote:

Blazer, can I use a normal sized banner? (400x90 or so)

Don't see why not

Now to do mine!

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Chaos](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 12:15:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So, 400\*120 is okay??

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Darkre1gn](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 12:21:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Christine should make a poll about this....

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 13:38:34 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think any pictures that break the layout of the table horizontally should not be allowed. I personally don't want to see huge fucking pictures every time someone posts. And I sure as hell don't want to have to scroll to the right everytime I want to read a full post because a picture is stretching it.

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 13:44:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It's not just scrolling whn the pic is too big, it's also if some pics have colors that make me puke on the green background or take up half a screen without having to scroll...anyway small pics are fine with me.

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 09:11:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

El Bumpo

\*waits for TOS violation that will never be sent\*

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Xtrm2Matt](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 09:42:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

My sig is 350x50 :rolleyes:

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Majiin Vegeta](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 12:54:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NHJ BVIt's not just scrolling whn the pic is too big, it's also if some pics have colors that make me puke on the green background or take up half a screen without having to scroll...anyway small pics are fine with me.

my one make you wanna puke?

i makin a new one..this one will go soon

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 13:03:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Could you add to the img tag, the width= attribute, the height= attribut.

This would do away with the "I can't resize it, it's not my fault it's too big etc.etc.etc.'

If the image is too big for the posting area than the poster can add the width= attribute and have it scale to a width of 600-700, however wide the post area is...

if the user wants, he could put the image tag inside of a url tag and create a thumbnail by adding the width/height tags and making them 100x100 (for example)

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 19:33:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Please make a limit about the max. size of images in sigs in KB's. Currently there is some guy with half a movie in his sig...

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [John Shaft Jr.](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 19:35:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That would be me. Wow, I never knew it was half a movie though.

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 19:48:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Your signature approaches 2 megabytes, that's more than 6 minutes of loading time for a 56k modem. Please cut out those monsters.

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [John Shaft Jr.](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 19:51:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm guessing you didn't see the post John Shaft Jr. create by Sir Phoenix. Just read it and see what I said.

Oh yeah, one question, do you have 56K?

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 19:53:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

John Shaft Jr.I'm guessing you didn't see the post John Shaft Jr. create by Sir Phoenix. Just read it and see what I said.

Oh yeah, one question, do you have 56K?

I found the topic. And yes, I'm on 56k.

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Sk8rRIMuk](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 20:34:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think mines ok..

But I will say it again...

That matrix signature is soooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo kool.

-Sk8rRIMuk

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Creed3020](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 20:40:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Mine is okay....

It is the same as on Ren Evo. I've been tweaking it here and there but I doubt you would notice much difference...

---

---

Subject: Re: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Ingrownlip](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 20:43:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

LTKirovyl think we should know how big we are allowed to have the pics on our sigs.

And is the pic in the sig to big?

I think it's too much in height.

---

---

Subject: New Question About Pics In Sigs!  
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sat, 01 Mar 2003 22:34:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I addressed this more specifically in the FAQ/Rules thread. John Shaft contacted me about his sig and I explained that, while being very cool and within the physical dimensions of the guidelines, it was just too much data for folks on less than speedy connections to download in a reasonable amount of time.

Sk8rRIMuk, your sig is even larger at ~2.5Megabytes. My broadband connection barely notices it, but there are quite a few people here on 56k, or crappy ISPs and a 2.5Meg .gif file is a lot to swallow. I know it's cool but please find something smaller.

---