
Subject: locking policy
Posted by [MUDKIPS](#) on Tue, 20 Sep 2011 20:55:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why are threads about atomix and st0rm allowed to rage on for page after page with everybody flaming and insulting eachother, more than half of which are lies

but when i make a thread about jarhead being the faggot that he is, and everyone agrees (except cocksucker azazel) the thread gets locked for "slander"

LOL

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Tue, 20 Sep 2011 21:03:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

inb4lock

Good point though.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Sean](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 13:46:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Because it is slander.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 14:21:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 08:46: I smell some more NS shit, so I came to kiss more ass.

I bet you eat more shit than a dung beetle.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [MUDKIPS](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 14:26:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 06:46: Because it is slander.

You still don't understand why nobody remotely values your opinion do you?

You're a weak faggot, and always will be.

Firstly, you cheated, because you cant take it that other people are better at the game than you, so you have to go fuck it up simply because your pathetic mind cannot accept it. While cheating you try to take part in a feud between two communities you knew (and still dont know) absolutely nothing about, just adding fuel to the fire so you could drive your tongue deeper into the anuses of the n00bstories admins. You sad little gayboy.

Then you got caught, and instead of coming completely clean and apologizing, you come up with some bullshit excuse (what a man you are) and when people dont buy it, instead of facing up to it, you just run away, HA. Coward.

Then when you come back you act as if nothing happened and like your posts aren't a complete waste of space.

Go bother some other game community, where there's abundant room for faggots like you.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 16:51:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

BIG JAKAS!

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [grant89uk](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 17:42:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MUDKIPS wrote on Tue, 20 September 2011 21:55why are threads about atomix and st0rm allowed to rage on for page after page with everybody flaming and insulting eachother, more than half of which are lies

but when i make a thread about jarhead being the faggot that he is, and everyone agrees (except cocksucker azazel) the thread gets locked for "slander"

LOL

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Sean](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 20:09:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MUDKIPS wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 07:26Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 06:46Because it is slander.Rageeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

I have to be honest, that made me smile.

It's still slander though.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 20:16:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 16:09MUDKIPS wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 07:26Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 06:46Because it is slander.Rageeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

I have to be honest, that made me smile.

It's still slander though.

Duh...

MUD's point is that the other threads are ALSO slander.. so why weren't THEY locked as well?

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Sean](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 20:28:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 13:16Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 16:09MUDKIPS wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 07:26Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 06:46Because it is slander.Rageeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

I have to be honest, that made me smile.

It's still slander though.

Duh...

MUD's point is that the other threads are ALSO slander.. so why weren't THEY locked as well?

Probably because it wasn't as personal as starting a thread about a single indivigal? I don't know.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [MUDKIPS](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 20:39:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 13:09MUDKIPS wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 07:26Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 06:46Because it is slander.Rageeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhh

I have to be honest, that made me smile.

It's still slander though.

File Attachments

1) [1312577339462.jpg](#), downloaded 1199 times



**FUCK, I CAN'T
THINK OF
ANYTHING TO
SAY BACK**

LOL I KNOW I'LL CALL HIM MAD



Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [iRANian](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 20:42:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

someone lock this topic

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [MUDKIPS](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 20:48:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 13:16Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 16:09MUDKIPS wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 07:26Azazel wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 06:46Because it is slander.Rageeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhh

I have to be honest, that made me smile.

It's still slander though.

Duh...

MUD's point is that the other threads are ALSO slander.. so why weren't THEY locked as well?

the point im making seems rather obvious

those threads dont involve friends of crimson

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Wed, 21 Sep 2011 23:59:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If the accusations were false, then it'd be slander.

However, they weren't at all false, and are backed up by proof. Also, it wasn't just one or two people complaining. There are a lot of complaints, and they have gone on for years.

And there are tons of threads about certain individuals, and communities, that did involve a lot of lies and false accusations, yet they were not locked.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 08:35:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Based on the forum rules I would speculate that the thread in question broke the following rule;

Quote:Harassment/Racism/Flaming: Harassment of other members, racism, or any hate speech in general will not be tolerated. Moderators will be the judge of what is intolerable, and what is not. Also, please refrain from flaming other members. If you really must, keep it inside of the Hot Issues forum.

Creating a thread to abuse an individual clearly breaks this rule and is therefore a clear candidate for locking.

But, to reiterate, I am not a moderator and therefore this is pure speculation on my part.

FYI: Forum rules: <http://www.renegadeforums.com/index.php?t=msg&th=22550&start=0&rid=2893>

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [MUDKIPS](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 09:05:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

also, when ns takes 4.0, unban DrasticDR/Gonzalez

mainly because jarhead banned him without a shred of evidence (apart from the evidence that jarhead just sucked as a sniper and hated getting pwned by him all the time)

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 14:17:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lol, this shit is why Renegade is almost dead.

Everybody loves the gameplay, we always try to come back, BUT WE CAN'T STAND THE FUCKING MORONS.

And sadly the stupid people are everywhere in this game, there is no avoiding them, they could be a regular player, mod, admin or the owner of the community.

Sadly 4.0 can't fix the fucking retards, and so there is no true hope for this game. I actually can't wait till it completely dies, means to an end... and this community needs a fucking end.

Anyone wanna measure e-peens and then go piss into the wind? That's about all that is left here.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Gohax](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 14:26:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This topic is slander!!!!!!! LOCK IT!

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [iRANian](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 15:52:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gonzalez sucks

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Sean](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 15:58:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

danpaul88 wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 01:35Based on the forum rules I would speculate that the thread in question broke the following rule;

Quote:Harassment/Racism/Flaming: Harassment of other members, racism, or any hate speech in general will not be tolerated. Moderators will be the judge of what is intolerable, and what is not. Also, please refrain from flaming other members. If you really must, keep it inside of the Hot Issues forum.

Creating a thread to abuse an individual clearly breaks this rule and is therefore a clear candidate for locking.

But, to reiterate, I am not a moderator and therefore this is pure speculation on my part.

FYI: Forum rules: <http://www.renegadeforums.com/index.php?t=msg&th=22550&start=0&rid=2893>

Hit the nail on the head.

MUDKIPS wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 02:05also, when ns takes 4.0, unban DrasticDR/Gonzalez

mainly because jarhead banned him without a shred of evidence (apart from the evidence that jarhead just sucked as a sniper and hated getting pwned by him all the time)

See, this isn't about Jarhead or any locking policy, it's about your personal hatred of NS, as you keep showing. I think you need to move on sir. When NS starts running 4.0 all the "!forcerg" will obviously be removed, so those players that you've listed will be able to join anyways, as both was forcerg'd if I recall correctly.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [grant89uk](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 17:18:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nah they were banned.

But mudkips point remains the same. You cant lock a post for breaking the rules because it goes against your personal agenda then allow other rule breakers to continue breaking the rules purely because it doesnt concern you.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [MUDKIPS](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 17:44:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Azazel wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 08:58

See, this isn't about Jarhead or any locking policy, it's about your personal hatred of NS, as you keep showing. I think you need to move on sir. When NS starts running 4.0 all the "!forcerg" will obviously be removed, so those players that you've listed will be able to join anyways, as both was forcerg'd if I recall correctly.

You're the one who needs to move on kid. If you did any reading at all you'd have seen that I thought ns was doing a great job, and I was playing there quite a bit recently because of the excellent new settings, and some of the moderators have become actually capable of doing their job.

Then jarhead banned me because he has some grudge and he's just a stupid fuckface like you, and removed my posting permissions on ns forums when i made appeal threads. So I posted here about how much of a waste of space he really is, like you are.

You werent even around when DrasticDR got BANNED by Jarhead, neither for Mike, nor how he reacted on the forums. You don't know a shit azazel, keep trying to play it off like you know everything lol.

The whole renegade-exodus thing is so 2009. Everybody has already moved on a thousand miles man. You are seriously the only person I've seen it bringing up since 2010.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [MUDKIPS](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 17:45:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MUDKIPS wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 10:44Azazel wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 08:58

See, this isn't about Jarhead or any locking policy, it's about your personal hatred of NS, as you keep showing. I think you need to move on sir. When NS starts running 4.0 all the "!forcerg" will obviously be removed, so those players that you've listed will be able to join anyways, as both was forcerg'd if I recall correctly.

You're the one who needs to move on kid. If you did any reading at all you'd have seen that I thought ns was doing a great job, and I was playing there quite a bit recently because of the excellent new settings, and some of the moderators have become actually capable of doing their job.

Then jarhead banned me because he has some grudge and he's just a stupid fuckface like you, and removed my posting permissions on ns forums when i made appeal threads. So I posted here about how much of a waste of space he really is, like you are.

You werent even around when DrasticDR got BANNED by Jarhead, neither for Mike, nor how he reacted on the forums. You don't know a shit azazel, keep trying to play it off like you know everything lol.

The whole renegade-exodus thing is so 2009. Everybody has already moved on a thousand miles man. You are seriously the only person I've seen it bringing up since 2010.

I also forgot to mention that you love cocks more than anybody I've ever seen. You should put one in your mouth immediately, maybe it will shut you up.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Sean](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 17:55:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

grant89uk wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 10:18nah they were banned.

But mudkips point remains the same. You cant lock a post for breaking the rules because it goes against your personal agenda then allow other rule breakers to continue breaking the rules purely because it doesnt concern you.

I know poje got forcerg'd and I tried to get it removed, perhaps that's what i'm getting confused with..

I got in trouble when I started removing other mods forcerg's in 2009/10 as I personally thought that the players was legit..oh well. I remember jarhead didn't exactly agree with that decision, but I got him to see my side and he let it go, but advised me not to remove anymore without asking the staff member first that had placed the forcerg, I agreed to that.

As has previously been stated, Jarhead's thread only got locked because it was soley created

about him. Think of it as extreme slander? I don't know.

He's a good guy really, I'll ask him to give you a hug mudkips, perhaps then you'll feel a bit better.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 18:32:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nikki6ixx wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 16:59If the accusations were false, then it'd be slander.

However, they weren't at all false, and are backed up by proof. Also, it wasn't just one or two people complaining. There are a lot of complaints, and they have gone on for years.

And there are tons of threads about certain individuals, and communities, that did involve a lot of lies and false accusations, yet they were not locked.

And again, it's only slander if the accusations are false. But they aren't. Complaints stretch back years, primarily on NS' website, but one or two here, too.

http://www.renegadeforums.com/index.php?t=msg&goto=324537&rid=23108&srch=jarhead#msg_num_7

Also, the line from this post strikes me as odd:

Quote:Above all, I demand, yes DEMAND, that the people who we select to be moderators (actually we call them "n00b kickers") be treated with respect that they richly deserve. They sacrifice a bit of their own game time and fun to help keep things running smoothly and I will NOT tolerate them being treated like crap by the likes of you. Period.

That's a rule that should only pertain to the NS forums. Not here. Defamation is one thing, but actually bringing up a viewpoint, backed with evidence, and supported by a large group of people, is another.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 19:35:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe you just posted it in the wrong subforum? Spam != heated discussions.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 22:18:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i'll summarize the entire topic using 3 quotes

nikki6ixx wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 18:59 And there are tons of threads about certain individuals, and communities, that did involve a lot of lies and false accusations, yet they were not locked.

danpaul88 wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 03:35 Based on the forum rules I would speculate that the thread in question broke the following rule;

Quote:Harassment/Racism/Flaming: Harassment of other members, racism, or any hate speech in general will not be tolerated. Moderators will be the judge of what is intolerable, and what is not. Also, please refrain from flaming other members. If you really must, keep it inside of the Hot Issues forum.

MUDKIPS wrote on Wed, 21 September 2011 15:48 those threads dont involve friends of crimson

which brings us to

grant89uk wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 12:18 But mudkips point remains the same. You cant lock a post for breaking the rules because it goes against your personal agenda then allow other rule breakers to continue breaking the rules purely because it doesnt concern you.

uh, yes you can? she owns the place

you act as if she has to follow rules or some shit, she can literally do whatever the fuck she wants if it were Jelly or Wilo being bashed and not one of her n00bstories staff members she values the topic may not have come to her attention at all

nikki6ixx wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 13:32 Quote: Above all, I demand, yes DEMAND, that the people who we select to be moderators (actually we call them "n00b kickers") be treated with respect that they richly deserve. They sacrifice a bit of their own game time and fun to help keep things running smoothly and I will NOT tolerate them being treated like crap by the likes of you. Period.

That's a rule that should only pertain to the NS forums. Not here. Defamation is one thing, but actually bringing up a viewpoint, backed with evidence, and supported by a large group of people, is another.

Quote: uh, yes you can? she owns the place

you act as if she has to follow rules or some shit, she can literally do whatever the fuck she wants if it were Jelly or Wilo being bashed and not one of her n00bstories staff members she values the topic may not have come to her attention at all

she can run this place however she wants, choosing to follow rules at her own discretion

it doesn't have to be fair; she owns the place
don't try to hold her to the forum rules when she's king of the forums

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 23:15:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nikki6ixx, you should be some sort of internet lawyer or something... maybe a superhero.

You always manage to keep a cool level head, but at the same time you obviously beat the opposing idea.

And liquid, you're completely right... The idea of it is not fair to the entire community, but that is how it is. That will never change. There will always be an obvious bias in NS favor here (anyone remember a certain word filter?).

And no, I AM NOT TRYING TO DIG THAT SHIT UP. It is just one small, but perfect example. I know I mentioned somewhere before, that this place needs a different person running it, someone not directly tied to 1 server, like she is to NS as the owner/founder/head bitch.

If she could run this place w/o the bias, it would be different... but until then this place shouldn't be the Renegade "Public" Forums.

At this rate it might as well be redirected to a sub-forum on the NS website.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 23:22:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chew wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 19:15this place shouldn't be the Renegade "Public" Forums.

We should all get mad over 10 year old forums

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Thu, 22 Sep 2011 23:26:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 18:22Chew wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 19:15this place shouldn't be the Renegade "Public" Forums.

We should all get mad over 10 year old forums

Actually, once again I am not mad, just stating a simple belief... One that I am pretty sure a lot of others share, whether they want to come out and say it or not... It doesn't even matter much anymore, not like changing shit now is gonna save this place.

That would be like giving a piece of well-done steak CPR when the waiter brings it to your table.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Fri, 23 Sep 2011 00:54:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 18:22We should all get mad over 10 year old forums
how do you pronounce "Fjord", and why is it that when i right click to fire with my orca or apache it does nothing but still uses up ammo? it should quack at me or something so i know it's not going to fire or is not meant to fire

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [shaitan](#) on Fri, 23 Sep 2011 04:05:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Which Atomix topic are you referring to? There's been a few over the years.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [MUDKIPS](#) on Fri, 23 Sep 2011 07:35:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

shaitan wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 21:05Which Atomix topic are you referring to? There's been a few over the years.

Which even strengthens my point lol

Also yeah, chew is right. These should become Crimson's Public Forums.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [iRANian](#) on Fri, 23 Sep 2011 10:35:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/en/1/1e/Fi%CB%90%C9%94rd.ogg>

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Fri, 07 Oct 2011 22:01:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

iRANian wrote on Fri, 23 September 2011

04:35<http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/en/1/1e/Fi%CB%90%C9%94rd.ogg>

So what does an .ogg file saying Fiord have to do with this conversation?

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [ehhh](#) on Fri, 07 Oct 2011 22:06:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Fri, 07 Oct 2011 22:09:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh I just noticed you guys were talking about the map, my bad.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [C C_guy](#) on Sat, 08 Oct 2011 00:20:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MUDKIPS wrote on Fri, 23 September 2011 00:35shaitan wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 21:05Which Atomix topic are you referring to? There's been a few over the years.

Which even strengthens my point lol

Also yeah, chew is right. These should become Crimson's Public Forums.

It already is just that, with her/moron retard followers that get what out of it? lol, there all jokes , amazes me how stupid the lot of them are , i should post a private email i got from her/(it) and reborn , believe you me , it would show most people what this site and there server and mods and her little clan are really all about , and why dont i post it you ask yourself?

Think about it what do you really think would happen? duh! and nah i aint interested in sinking to that kinda low, after all i am 43 years young, and i dont have time to waste on selfish egotistical ignorant kids such as them, it is posted just not on this site. like i said above no time for imature kids. anyone that read the post on that other site knows what i am talking about. oh and reborn i really dont care what you say or do your not any better then them. so rememeber (like i care morons).

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 08 Oct 2011 02:27:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I should post a private e-mail I got from C C_guy, believe you me, it would show me people what he's really all about. Why don't I post it though, you ask yourself?

Think about it. What do you really think would happen? Duh! And no, I'm not interested in sinking to that kind of low. After all, I am 27 years young and I don't have time to waste on selfish egotistical ignorant kids such as him. It's posted, just not on this site. Like I said, no time for immature kids.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 08 Oct 2011 03:30:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MUDKIPS wrote on Thu, 22 September 2011 02:05also, when ns takes 4.0, unban DrasticDR/Gonzalez

mainly because jarhead banned him without a shred of evidence (apart from the evidence that jarhead just sucked as a sniper and hated getting pwned by him all the time)

DrasticDR will never be unbanned from NS and never allowed on anything I can keep him from accessing. He lost this privilege after harassing me by calling my business repeatedly.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sat, 08 Oct 2011 03:31:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

oh come on, wasn't that a little bit clever
at least the first time?

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 08 Oct 2011 03:37:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Who the fuck is CCGuy?

You want to know why there is a "bias"? Because I don't have the time or inclination to read every single post or every single thread on these forums. That's why there are other moderators. If someone from Atomix or st0rm contacts me about the threads and wants them locked, then I will make a judgement on it.

In this case, the admin from n00bstories contacted me and I did something about it. It happened to be a quick and painless process since it happens to be me.

There is never going to be someone else running these forums unless I decide to give them to someone else. I have been running them for 9 years in February. I was given the blessing from Westwood Studios, and I own the domain(s).

If there isn't sufficient moderation going on, you could also propose adding more moderators and suggest people to do so. It's a community forum, sometimes it takes effort from the community, not just me, to make sure everything is being handled.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Sean](#) on Sat, 08 Oct 2011 13:32:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Fri, 07 October 2011 20:37Who the fuck is CCGuy?

You want to know why there is a "bias"? Because I don't have the time or inclination to read every single post or every single thread on these forums. That's why there are other moderators. If someone from Atomix or st0rm contacts me about the threads and wants them locked, then I will make a judgement on it.

In this case, the admin from n00bstories contacted me and I did something about it. It happened to be a quick and painless process since it happens to be me.

There is never going to be someone else running these forums unless I decide to give them to someone else. I have been running them for 9 years in February. I was given the blessing from Westwood Studios, and I own the domain(s).

If there isn't sufficient moderation going on, you could also propose adding more moderators and suggest people to do so. It's a community forum, sometimes it takes effort from the community, not just me, to make sure everything is being handled.

!vote ACK for moderator.

On a serious note, the likes of danpaul would be a good addition to the existing moderators.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sun, 09 Oct 2011 18:36:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wonder why everyone has an opinion about moderation but noone uses the fucking "report a message" nowadays...

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Sun, 09 Oct 2011 22:35:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Sun, 09 October 2011 14:36l wonder why everyone has an opinion about moderation but noone uses the fucking "report a message" nowadays...

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Mon, 10 Oct 2011 03:31:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Sun, 09 October 2011 11:36l wonder why everyone has an opinion about moderation but noone uses the fucking "report a message" nowadays...

It's overzealous, biased, and self-interested moderation that this thread is about.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Mon, 10 Oct 2011 10:31:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nikki6ixx wrote on Mon, 10 October 2011 05:31Goztow wrote on Sun, 09 October 2011 11:36l wonder why everyone has an opinion about moderation but noone uses the fucking "report a message" nowadays...

It's overzealous, biased, and self-interested moderation that this thread is about.
Sorry for being off topic. :\$

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Tue, 11 Oct 2011 02:37:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Meh... Load of shit doesn't even matter anyways... By some fucking miracle this game is still alive, and people still gather here as a whole community. Sooner or later it will eventually die. Then you will have to find some other way to feel almighty on the internet... lol.

As long as you admit there is a obvious bias...

Not like adding more mods to these forums will do any good, they can't stop you from being a power tripping bitch.

LOLS SUM1 GUNA REPORTD MY MESSAGE

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 11 Oct 2011 14:00:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't know who you're talking to because I certainly don't give a shit about being a "power tripping bitch on the internet". I actually have a life outside of this place which is why it's so neglected at times.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [ChewML](#) on Tue, 11 Oct 2011 23:39:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Tue, 11 October 2011 09:00I don't know who you're talking to because I certainly don't give a shit about being a "power tripping bitch on the internet". I actually have a life outside of this place which is why it's so neglected at times.

The first part in red:

You could fool a lot of people in this community... Some people might not say it, because sadly you are in control of the biggest forum for them to gather at.

The second part in yellow:

I imagine most people have a life outside this place, but you sure find your way back here real fast when it involves the reputation of you and your personal community.

But if you are saying you don't have sufficient time to properly run this place, maybe you should consider passing it on to someone else. Instead of just delegating, then coming back and using your authority to protect people that are part of your personal community. (Yes, I already know this will never happen, just saying)

I wouldn't actually call this place neglected either, I personally think Gozy does a wonderful job, and he is not bias (from what I can tell at least). I figure most would agree with that. Without him I think this forum would have just went to spam and shit a long time ago.

Yes, most people have a life outside of the online world, I believe Gozy even has a kid, right? At least he still finds time to keep these forums somewhat in line, you only seem to come on when it involves you and your personal community/image.

There is a huge difference between paying the bills and actually running a place. I thought you would have known that, as I recall you ran your own business in real life...

Yea, there is a huge difference, but then there are some similarities as well.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [TankClash](#) on Tue, 11 Oct 2011 23:53:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nod is red, GDI is yellow.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 00:20:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TankClash wrote on Tue, 11 October 2011 18:53Nod is red, GDI is yellow.
Thanks for the info...

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [TankClash](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 00:31:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're welcome, I am glad.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 00:36:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

no, you're tankclash
glad quit ren a while ago
nice try

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 03:46:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chew wrote on Tue, 11 October 2011 16:39The first part in red:

You could fool a lot of people in this community... Some people might not say it, because sadly you are in control of the biggest forum for them to gather at.

I didn't know that was in dispute.

Quote:The second part in yellow:

I imagine most people have a life outside this place, but you sure find your way back here real fast when it involves the reputation of you and your personal community.

But if you are saying you don't have sufficient time to properly run this place, maybe you should consider passing it on to someone else. Instead of just delegating, then coming back and using your authority to protect people that are part of your personal community. (Yes, I already know this will never happen, just saying)

I wouldn't actually call this place neglected either, I personally think Gozy does a wonderful job, and he is not bias (from what I can tell at least). I figure most would agree with that. Without him I think this forum would have just went to spam and shit a long time ago.

Yes, most people have a life outside of the online world, I believe Gozy even has a kid, right? At least he still finds time to keep these forums somewhat in line, you only seem to come on when it involves you and your personal community/image.

There is a huge difference between paying the bills and actually running a place. I thought you would have known that, as I recall you ran your own business in real life...

Yea, there is a huge difference, but then there are some similarities as well.

Yep, Gozy does an excellent job. But to say this forum isn't neglected would be a huge fallacy. Just to start, I desperately need to update the software to the latest version. On top of that, I need to move the forums to a more reliable server. That's neglect.

Running a place also includes knowing when to delegate and who to delegate to. Gozy does an excellent job, but I wouldn't expect him to step in when my own name is being trashed, just as Wilo, Jelly, and others come in when they are being trashed. They come to defend themselves. I don't get why you think this is a bad thing.

You obviously are butt-hurt about something else, so why not just come out and say it?

Wilo asked me to remove the thread between him and shaitan and I agreed. (It's in the Silo.) If he asks me to remove the new one, I will as well.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 04:17:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nah... I am nowhere near "butt-hurt"... Honestly I hardly even play Renegade anymore. I just check the forums out of habit, and also don't have much other use for my computer. I just state my opinion.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 04:18:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chew wrote on Wed, 12 October 2011 00:17I just state my opinion.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 05:27:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Your opinion is wrong.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Tunaman](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 05:34:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Sun, 09 October 2011 14:36I wonder why everyone has an opinion about moderation but noone uses the fucking "report a message" nowadays...
Wow, I've literally never seen that option before.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 06:28:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's only in the bottom right corner of every single post.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 07:05:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wouldn't volunteer to take this place over from Crimson in the first place. To be frank: a few weeks ago, I resigned as a global moderator because I didn't feel like I had sufficient time and motivation left to keep doing it. It also felt terribly wrong to be a global moderator here while not playing Renegade anymore. But a while after, I restarted playing on NS and came back here so I asked to put the resignation on hold.

I don't always agree with how Crimson reacts, though this is probably due to cultural differences and different personalities, but she has been showing a very long lasting commitment in this project and for that we should be thankful to her. I also think she's the right person to keep doing this, i see no real reason to change it. Yes, there some bias due to her also running NS, but there will also be some bias one way or the other.

Maybe you can also delegate the forum update, Crimson?

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 13:28:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was gonna leave this thread alone, but after last night i've gotta say jarhead is unusually cantankerous, incapable of having a civilised conversation, and not at all serious about his admin job.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [ChewML](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 13:44:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gozy, I am not saying that you should take over the forums. I was just saying that you do a great job for being the only staff that is actually active.

Thanks again ACK valuable input as always...

I said "I just state my opinion" not to say this was just my personal belief, but in response to me being "butt-hurt".

While the fact is true that this is my opinion, I am fairly sure there is a group of others that share it. So your picture post doesn't really server much purpose.

Don't get me completely wrong, I am thankful to an extent for Crimson's contribution(s) to the Renegade community as a whole, but there needs to be standards set and maintained. Not just prioritize by what helps personal images, because honestly when you do that, you completely do the opposite.

It is a little late in the life span of this place to actually change people, I just wish that the people that can make a difference, would. Maybe the time left here will be more enjoyable, and if you really get a miracle and all the bullshit goes away people might become active again.

Put personal interest aside, and enforce rules fairly.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [grant89uk](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 18:17:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 12 October 2011 14:28I was gonna leave this thread alone, but after last night i've gotta say jarhead is unusually cantankerous, incapable of having a civilised conversation, and not at all serious about his admin job.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [shaitan](#) on Wed, 12 Oct 2011 19:39:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Tue, 11 October 2011 21:46
Wilo asked me to remove the thread between him and shaitan and I agreed. (It's in the Silo.) If he asks me to remove the new one, I will as well.
Why would you go and do that? There's no private information being thrown about this time by him and me throwing back. The only logs on there are the ones he put up.

I'm not asking you to remove it, and if you do that "if he asks me"...why would you choose to remove mine when you left his up for days? His was way worse of a topic than my own.

That thread is just a "rubbing it in his face" deal, nothing more.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 00:56:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My real name starts with an R. That is private information. This thread should now be locked.

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 04:33:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Tue, 11 October 2011 22:46Gozy does an excellent job, but I wouldn't expect him to step in when my own name is being trashed, just as Wilo, Jelly, and others come in when they are being trashed. They come to defend themselves. I don't get why you think this is a bad thing.

the jarhead topic made a lot of people feel you were biased
had wilo posted a picture of jarhead's mother and jarhead's full name, phone number, and address i'm sure you would have stepped in instantly instead of letting it go
the reason it continued was because it was not someone of importance to you

that's the difference

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [raven](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 05:01:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

liquidv2 wrote on Tue, 11 October 2011 17:36no, you're tankclash
glad quit ren a while ago
nice try

Imao

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 10:17:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I removed all personal information about anyone as soon as I was made aware of it. There's a "report this post" button for a reason. Not only did I remove it from that post, but I went through all the replies to make sure it wasn't quoted, and when it was, I removed it there, too.

Thousands of posts, thousands of users. If you see something that doesn't belong, report it. It's that simple.

I removed the thread from public viewing because of all the references to police and lawsuits. So when Wilo asked to remove it for that reason, I agreed. Now I can make sure that no one involved can edit their words away if it becomes necessary. Also, removing the thread might prevent legal issues from arising between Wilo and/or shaitan.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Wilo](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 11:34:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson, they are all butthurt because things are not going in their favor. I have learned over the years you can't make everyone happy, they will bitch no matter what. Second, don't worry about legal issues at this point. There won't be a lolsuit or anything else from Shai, there is no lawyer or court that would take a case like this right now with the state of the economy and just the fact its retarded. If people fail to report a post or have issues with information posted, its not your fault, you can't be everywhere at once.

Last: the pictures I posted of his mother were uploaded to her facebook. Please take the time to read Facebooks TOS and you will see that all pictures uploaded become the property of FB. Also, shais personal information is not protected by any laws as it can be found in many public records. I look forward to this lolsuit.

waits for trolls

And no I am not mad.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 12:36:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wilo wrote on Thu, 13 October 2011 12:34 Please take the time to read Facebooks TOS and you will see that all pictures uploaded become the property of FB.

Actually, last I looked Facebook had a disclaimer in the user privacy settings that specifically noted that all images and videos remained the property of the uploader. Just a minor point.

Also, for the record, all these storm did this / whoever did that / wilo said something nasty hissy fit argument topics are getting really tedious, can't everyone just talk to each other in private and settle things like adults instead of resorting to public shit flinging like common monkeys?

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [ehhh](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 13:05:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote: You own all of the content and information you post on Facebook, and you can control how it is shared through your privacy and application settings. In addition:

For content that is covered by intellectual property rights, like photos and videos (IP content), you specifically give us the following permission, subject to your privacy and application settings: you grant us a non-exclusive, transferable, sub-licensable, royalty-free, worldwide license to use any IP content that you post on or in connection with Facebook (IP License). This IP License ends when you delete your IP content or your account unless your content has been shared with others, and they have not deleted it.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Wilo](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 13:34:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was mistaken then, sorry about that. Still, I linked to her facebook that was set to public. So I am still in the clean, you don't want people seeing your pictures, set it to private.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [ehhh](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 14:27:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yup, It's amazing how stupid people can be, I know people who put they address and phone numbers and keep their profile public |:

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [shaitan](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 15:21:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As soon as you put it up on the internet it's not yours anymore. They can also take your pics and post them on porn sites if they want. Sad but true, that's what I was informed too.

What I'd like to know, is if you could place little disclaimer deals on them and keep them safe? Sort of like how people do it with programs. After all, it's essentially the same idea, isn't it?

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2011 18:22:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Liquid amuses me..just sayin

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [ChewML](#) on Fri, 14 Oct 2011 00:11:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wilo wrote on Thu, 13 October 2011 06:34Crimson, they are all butthurt because things are not going in their favor. I have learned over the years you can't make everyone happy, they will bitch no matter what.

While it is normally true that you can NOT make EVERYONE happy, it still makes sense to try to appeal to the majority when providing a service of any sort...

Crimson, probably doesn't feel the need to to as she has a "monopoly" of sorts on the Renegade related forum business. Now really we should just be glad that we don't actually pay for such service, as I imagine most would just go without. Yes she pays the bills, so she can do what she wants... but that is still not a very good way to go about business when providing service of any sort.

Kinda like America's government, yes Obama got the most votes so he is President... seems like it was our choice, but now he has the power to fuck us with shit like "Obama care"... Luckily for us we can get rid of him in 2012. Sadly we can not vote for someone else to provide us with a decent forum to gather at.

In short, you should appeal to the majority... Unless they have nowhere else to go, in that case fuck'em dry in the ass.

Maybe we are "butt-hurt?"

Subject: Re: locking policy
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 14 Oct 2011 00:27:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Who's to say you're of the majority opinion?

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Fri, 14 Oct 2011 00:30:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 13 October 2011 17:27Who's to say you're of the majority opinion?

I'd assume the majority of Renegade Forums wants the forum to remain an environment where grievances about servers and their staff can be aired.

Especially when those people's PM and posting rights have been revoked on the server's forums.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [ChewML](#) on Fri, 14 Oct 2011 01:26:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Thu, 13 October 2011 19:27Who's to say you're of the majority opinion?

Would you like to put it to a vote?

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Fri, 14 Oct 2011 02:18:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 14 Oct 2011 04:13:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chew wrote on Thu, 13 October 2011 18:26Crimson wrote on Thu, 13 October 2011 19:27Who's to say you're of the majority opinion?

Would you like to put it to a vote?

No... I wasn't challenging it. It was a rhetorical question. It might be, it might not be.

Subject: Re: locking policy

Posted by [MUDKIPS](#) on Fri, 14 Oct 2011 09:24:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 12 October 2011 06:28I was gonna leave this thread alone, but after last night i've gotta say jarhead is unusually cantankerous, incapable of having a civilised conversation, and not at all serious about his admin job.

Let's get back on topic.
