
Subject: Fail.

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 15 Apr 2011 12:45:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The 'protest' against the Quran burning ended up destroying more Qurans than that Florida nitwit did.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 15 Apr 2011 15:59:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://thebestpageintheuniverse.net/c.cgi?u=epic>

Scroll down to the "fail" section.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Fri, 15 Apr 2011 16:06:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Fri, 15 April 2011 08:59<http://thebestpageintheuniverse.net/c.cgi?u=epic>

Scroll down to the "fail" section.

go away

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Hypnos](#) on Fri, 15 Apr 2011 16:24:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The irony

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Fri, 15 Apr 2011 19:59:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hey guys let's burn a book to show our disdain for mass immigration (or whatever issue you have with a group of people)

THAT'LL SHOW 'EM LOL

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Fri, 15 Apr 2011 20:17:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

basically, deeply religious people are idiots

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [HaTe](#) on Fri, 15 Apr 2011 20:40:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herr Surth wrote on Fri, 15 April 2011 13:17 basically, all religious people are idiots
Fixed

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Fri, 15 Apr 2011 22:21:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And of course fire was involved

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [blunts](#) on Sat, 16 Apr 2011 04:21:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herr Surth wrote on Fri, 15 April 2011 16:17 basically, deeply religious people are idiots

agreed

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Mon, 18 Apr 2011 03:32:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herr Surth wrote on Fri, 15 April 2011 16:17 basically, deeply religious people are idiots
That's why they are religious.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Mon, 18 Apr 2011 03:46:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's not much better at the other end of the pole, either

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 00:36:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you have a fallback belief for something, you are much less likely to adapt more knowledge or tolerance.

For example, if you start to look at your own abilities and credit your shortcomings for mental limitations, then you therefore will lose all your resolve to try and better yourself because you will start believing that you can never improve.

Religious folk see religion as their main way of life. In this case, it's their limitation. As such, they have no true desire to attempt learning the ways of the world and the universe not to mention the ways of other people.

In my opinion, that's a very selfish way of thinking; believing that as long as they commit to their religion, everything else in the world is secondary or beneath their appeal.

"If I follow my holy book, there is no reason for me accept these peons. Maybe I'll play along with them for my enjoyment, but when it comes right down to it, I'm the one who will be going to heaven after all."

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 01:20:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:That's why they are religious.

Quote:you are much less likely to adapt more knowledge or tolerance.

Quote:tolerance.

I could rewrite your post and put in "science" or "scientific consensus" in the place of "religion" or "religious" and it would sound pretty similar.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 01:38:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think I said anything that gave the impression that I was all "pro-science."

I have absolutely no problem with people who are religious. The point I was trying to make was that it's possible for you to become so focused on what you believe to be 100% certain that you lose perspective of whatever else is going on in the world.

I centered the idea of my last post around religion because that's what this thread is about. But I

purposely through a non-religious example in there to show that my point applies in many areas outside of religion.

I'm not trying to hate on religion or people that believe in it. Nor am I trying to categorize people who follow religions into a stereotype. I'm merely pointing out that if you tell yourself to start believing something, you eventually will and you will eventually limit yourself in the long run.

If there is some type of person I am against, it's the kind of person who purposely limits their understanding of others because of their own short sighted ambitions.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 01:47:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is a balance, though. It isn't just black and white.

I don't block out every scientific advance simply because I am religious; I just take it with a grain of salt. It isn't always "one is right and one is wrong."

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 02:37:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, yes, of course. That goes without saying.

But have you ever seen those religious people who try to fight science with science? I remember seeing a video once where someone was trying to disprove the theory of evolution by claiming that life can miraculously develop inside a sealed jar of peanut butter.

There are people that will have opinions that transcend the notions of right and wrong. What they have spent their life focused on consumes them and becomes their primary truth. Everything else, right or wrong, is secondary and isn't really of any true importance to them.

Look at physical religious conflicts as an example. Each combatant becomes so obsessed with their beliefs that they cancel out their desire to tolerate the rest of the world. If Christianity is a religion about peace on Earth and good will towards other men, then why is it that there were so many religious crusades for Christianity in the 11th, 12th, and 13th centuries? How does one preach peace but then turn around and start war?

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 02:57:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This thread isn't about religion vs science, it's about the utter stupidity in burning a bunch of books

to try and prove anything at all.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 03:05:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yet people decided it was easier to oversimplify the issue by saying "everyone religious is an idiot". Which is obviously not the case. Believing in something that you do not is not an indication of intelligence or personal worth.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 03:12:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 20:05 Yet people decided it was easier to oversimplify the issue by saying "everyone religious is an idiot". Which is obviously not the case. Believing in something that you do not is not an indication of intelligence or personal worth. Surth said "basically, deeply religious people are idiots", which is actually true- people so damn deep in their religion contribute pretty much nothing and only serve to become a stone in the way of progress.

Oh sure, there are exceptions, but exceptions don't define anything.

And if you're implying people deep into science are just as bad... just, no. I'd be inclined to agree that they're still shutting their minds off to other possibilities, but they still contribute and help the world progress. Deeply religious people do not.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 04:34:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 19:37 If Christianity is a religion about peace on Earth and good will towards other men, then why is it that there were so many religious crusades for Christianity in the 11th, 12th, and 13th centuries? How does one preach peace but then turn around and start war?

With that, you make the assumption that all or most Christians believe that the Crusades were valid/righteous/etc.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 20:12 And if you're implying people deep into science are just as bad... just, no. I'd be inclined to agree that they're still shutting their minds off to other possibilities, but they still contribute and help the world progress. Deeply religious people do not.

Why not?

A deeply religious person may simply believe, without doubt and with full certainty, that he should live to help others above himself. Is that not progress?

And what if a man believes in science so much that he performs research with its priority above human or animal life, or personal safety, trying to find an answer? Is that progress?

Aircraftkiller wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 20:05 Yet people decided it was easier to oversimplify the issue by saying "everyone religious is an idiot". Which is obviously not the case. Believing in something that you do not is not an indication of intelligence or personal worth.

Well said.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 04:58:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Altzan wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 21:34

Why not?

A deeply religious person may simply believe, without doubt and with full certainty, that he should live to help others above himself. Is that not progress?

And what if a man believes in science so much that he performs research with its priority above human or animal life, or personal safety, trying to find an answer? Is that progress?

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 20:12 there are exceptions, but exceptions don't define anything.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 05:55:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 20:12 people deep into science... still contribute and help the world progress. Deeply religious people do not.

Your statement has an "absolute" overtone, regardless.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 06:30:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 23:12

Surth said "basically, n1ggers are idiots", which is actually true - people so damn deep in their hoodrat antics contribute pretty much nothing and only serve to become a stone in the way of

progress.

Oh sure, there are exceptions, but exceptions don't define anything.

And if you're implying that black people who act white are just as bad... just, no. I'd be inclined to agree that they're still shutting their minds off to other possibilities, but they still contribute and help the world progress. N1ggers do not.

See how that sounds if you change a few key phrases around? You're targeting a group of people because you disagree with them, and see it as a binary issue. Either they're stupid and religious or they're not stupid and aren't religious.

Before someone hops in and says BUT AIRcRAFTKILLAR! U MUST BE TEH RELAGOIUS! I find most of modern Christianity to be abhorrent to the ideals of Christ. And I myself am not a shining example of how a Christian should act. It's one of many reasons why I try to avoid religious discussions, I don't like speaking about subjects where my weaknesses are obvious.

There are many "deeply religious" people who aren't idiots. Painting everyone with a broad brush is silly. You're smarter than that.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 08:00:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HOW DO YOU KNOW IM SMARTER THAN THAT

This is very hilarious because everybody inserts his own meaning for "deeply religious".

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 13:36:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herr Surth wrote on Tue, 19 April 2011 01:00This is very hilarious because everybody inserts his own meaning for "deeply religious".

What's yours?

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 14:11:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

well, for the purpose of this thread:

1. the florida nitwit

2. the persons violently protesting against said nitwit

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 14:11:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Altzan wrote on Tue, 19 April 2011 00:34R315r4z0r wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 19:37If Christianity is a religion about peace on Earth and good will towards other men, then why is it that there were so many religious crusades for Christianity in the 11th, 12th, and 13th centuries? How does one preach peace but then turn around and start war?

With that, you make the assumption that all or most Christians believe that the Crusades were valid/righteous/etc.

No. No I did not. I said no such thing in even the slightest form.

What I did was ask how someone can preach peace but then start a war. I'm not talking about anything specific like Christianity or even religion in general.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 14:23:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i cringe a little when i hear people say either that being religious makes someone an idiot, or that some great scientist was religious as if that proved anything. both comments seem to be a waste of time to read

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 14:30:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

oh you silly agnostic!

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 15:11:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 19 April 2011 07:11Altzan wrote on Tue, 19 April 2011 00:34R315r4z0r wrote on Mon, 18 April 2011 19:37If Christianity is a religion about peace on Earth and good will towards other men, then why is it that there were so many religious crusades for Christianity in the 11th, 12th, and 13th centuries? How does one preach peace but then turn around and start war?

With that, you make the assumption that all or most Christians believe that the Crusades were

valid/righteous/etc.

No. No I did not. I said no such thing in even the slightest form.

What I did was ask how someone can preach peace but then start a war. I'm not talking about anything specific like Christianity or even religion in general.

Hmm. Perhaps I misread. My apologies.

Too many people make that assumption, though, and try to pin stupid stuff like the crusades on someone merely because they admit to being a Christian... and falsely assuming they support it.

Spoony wrote on Tue, 19 April 2011 07:23i cringe a little when i hear people say either that being religious makes someone an idiot, or that some great scientist was religious as if that proved anything. both comments seem to be a waste of time to read

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 17:04:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tbh religious people are smart, employ critical thinking, analysis, and usually are almost always the first ones to listen to new information and compare it to whatever they already know. This way they find themselves in a perpetual path of learning and individual progress. Hence, their views on reality will subtly change over the course of their lives as they develop new ways of thinking to deal with the questions of existence. Since they are so intellectually shrewd, they are very hard to be taken advantage of by cunning conmen, rogue ideologies, and immoral dogmas that unknowingly play on the most glaring weakness of a human: fear.

Due to the absolute mental strength of their various belief systems, religious people are the most fit to mentally face the surely emerging future human conflicts, social cataclysms and global wars; their strong individual fortitude, sense of human vulnerability in the universe, and preparedness charges them to not take any action whatsoever as they have the superior foreknowledge of divine intervention (The Second Coming of Jesus Christ, for example) at the time of imminent doom.

The secret to the intellectual strength of the religious can be traced back to the time of their births. Born into totally neutral family environments that were best suited for intellectual growth and having had no outside ideological influences (from parental or religious authorities) to hinder their intellectual development since, they have made a conscious educated decision, out of their own findings and based on the plethora of solid evidence found in the world, to take up the religious ideology of their choosing as they grew into adults. This development system (that ensures individual intellect in the vital decision making process) ensures steady growth in the religious population across the world and may account for the recent startling dramatic increase in secular movements across the world currently.

The facts are laid bare; to ignore the religious is to ignore our collective peril.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 17:11:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Sun, 17 April 2011 23:32Herr Surth wrote on Fri, 15 April 2011

16:17basically, deeply religious people are idiots

That's why they are religious.

This was just a failed attempt at being funny, by the way.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Tue, 19 Apr 2011 17:19:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Starbuzz wrote on Tue, 19 April 2011 10:04words

Looks like you ran out of sarcasm.

brb, going to get more

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [slosha](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2011 07:40:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony: You are an overzealous lunatic. Worry about yourself.

Hate: You're an ignorant idiot. Quit trying to be edgy.

The rest of you: This debate has ocured thousands of times before. Give it a rest.

And as for me, I wish there was a God or some other higher power. It'd make leading an honest life much more redeeming. Oh well, I guess it's not very good to think you need a reward for every fucking thing you do.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2011 12:00:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Spoony: You are an overzealous lunatic.

I invite you to justify that.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2011 19:12:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

YOU SUCK.

- God

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2011 19:13:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wtf? Why did you use my account to say that? Make your own damn account.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [slosha](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2011 00:35:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Mon, 25 April 2011 07:00Quote:Spoony: You are an overzealous lunatic. I invite you to justify that.

This is, what, the 100th topic you've posted about trolol religion.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2011 00:40:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ah, so it's nothing at all to do with what I said, then?

because otherwise, you'd have me pointing out that people who say they were outraged at their holy book being burnt (or threatened to be burnt) went on a rampage which as well as murdering innocent bystanders (again) destroyed lots of their holy book.... and you saying that me saying so makes me an "overzealous lunatic". so how fortunate that the fact you think that's what i am has nothing at all to do with what i said.

Subject: Re: Fail.

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2011 01:26:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Glock wrote on Mon, 25 April 2011 20:35

This is, what, the 100th topic you've posted about trolol religion.

Well, this is the Heated Discussions and Debates forum. So naturally, things that would cause heated discussions and/or debates should go in said forum. So what was your point here? That you can count? Congratulations!
