
Subject: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!

Posted by [TD](#) on Sat, 23 Jan 2010 22:50:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony, you had Mike, liquid and another jelly guy on your team and you know more than anyone about pointsfixed maps and the hg2 and mesa2 maps than anyone.

How can you even sperm over this win? Zell left early in the game and RC wasnt even on TS for 3 maps. It was basically me and t0rn trying to lead the game with people that probaly never played pointsfix OR knew the difference between hourglass and hourglass2, and how to PLAY on it.

.. gg's, it was a fun lobby war

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sat, 23 Jan 2010 22:57:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Sat, 23 January 2010 23:50Spoony, you had Mike, liquid and another jelly guy on your team and you know more than anyone about pointsfixed maps and the hg2 and mesa2 maps than anyone.

How can you even sperm over this win? Zell left early in the game and RC wasnt even on TS for 3 maps. It was basically me and t0rn trying to lead the game with people that probaly never played pointsfix OR knew the difference between hourglass and hourglass2, and how to PLAY on it.

.. gg's, it was a fun lobby war

I don't think anyone is bragging over anything. Yes: we had 2 unplanned players to even up teams but it was also the first time we ever put these 13 people in one team and you had the home advantage. And it's obvious u didn't show up with your strongest players, but who really cares? In the end, it doesn't matter who won, but that it were friendly games with a lot of sportsmanship.

I hope we can organize some more of these games sooner or later.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 23 Jan 2010 23:23:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Sat, 23 January 2010 16:50Spoony, you had Mike, liquid and another jelly guy on your team and you know more than anyone about pointsfixed maps and the hg2 and mesa2 maps than anyone.

How can you even sperm over this win? Zell left early in the game and RC wasnt even on TS for 3 maps. It was basically me and t0rn trying to lead the game with people that probaly never played pointsfix OR knew the difference between hourglass and hourglass2, and how to PLAY on it.

.. gg's, it was a fun lobby war

settle down. sperm over the win? not really, but i will express how impressed i was with the team's organisation.

we knew from the beginning we'd probably have liquid on our team... i wouldn't really have minded anyone else making up the last 2 players, with the exception of zell and maybe rcmorr. i certainly didn't pick who came over to our side, apart from saying i didn't want zell (people may remember saying that). but still, if you want to say having mike and liquid explains the result, then go ahead... doesn't look that way to me. consider volcano, for example... quite obviously it was my strategy that won it. same with mesa.

not "knowing" pointfix is not much of an excuse, you just have to unlearn all the bullshit you picked up thanks to the point mod you are using at jelly that lets you get points for no reason.

as for mesa2 and hourglass2, well, nobody has ever played hourglass2 before in a proper game. that's why i wanted to test it out, something i said right from the beginning when we organised it. jelly, liquid and goztow all agreed. as for mesa2 i think a lot of people know about how that works out; i've certainly gone to enough trouble to let them know.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Sun, 24 Jan 2010 21:13:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Sun, 24 January 2010 15:52well, i wouldn't say we had the edge in terms of individual skill. in terms of organisation, certainly. my team was far more quick to follow my lead than the clanwars team usually is.

I will give you some credit spoony I am sure your team was better off with you leading, but I still say your team had better players.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 24 Jan 2010 23:10:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rcmorr09 wrote on Sun, 24 January 2010 15:13I will give you some credit spoony I am sure your team was better off with you leading, but I still say your team had better players. i disagree, but even if it's true it doesn't amount to all that much.

eg non00bs vs clanwars. me leading non00bs both times (this was before i became a clanwars admin), clanwars had the "better players"... clanwars lost both series. they tried to blame that loss on the fact we had "some clanwars players for us", meaning myself, ahteuhhh and remek. well, that's 3 "clanwars players" (who were also non00bs players) vs an entire team of clanwars players... they just had poor teamwork. seems like what happened yesterday, hmm.

clanwars vs OS a few weeks ago. clanwars had by far the better players (kill, nunega, whiskey etc) - but clanwars lost, because that was the one and only comm match i've led where my team totally didn't listen to the leader.

you occasionally hear idiots like karmai saying the only reason the jelly team has such a good record in community matches is because they have a couple of clanwars players for them (clearshot, zell etc). totally untrue... every time there's been a question of the importance of individual skill vs organisation in community matches, everyone else harped on about individual skill, i took the organisation side, and... false humility aside... i've been proven right every time

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Sun, 24 Jan 2010 23:20:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Sun, 24 January 2010 18:10rcmorr09 wrote on Sun, 24 January 2010 15:13I will give you some credit spoony I am sure your team was better off with you leading, but I still say your team had better players.

i disagree, but even if it's true it doesn't amount to all that much.

eg non00bs vs clanwars. me leading non00bs both times (this was before i became a clanwars admin), clanwars had the "better players"... clanwars lost both series.

they tried to blame that loss on the fact we had "some clanwars players for us", meaning myself, ahteuhhh and remek. well, that's 3 "clanwars players" (who were also non00bs players) vs an entire team of clanwars players... they just had poor teamwork. seems like what happened yesterday, hmm.

clanwars vs OS a few weeks ago. clanwars had by far the better players (kill, nunega, whiskey etc) - but clanwars lost, because that was the one and only comm match i've led where my team totally didn't listen to the leader.

you occasionally hear idiots like karmai saying the only reason the jelly team has such a good record in community matches is because they have a couple of clanwars players for them (clearshot, zell etc). totally untrue... every time there's been a question of the importance of individual skill vs organisation in community matches, everyone else harped on about individual skill, i took the organisation side, and... false humility aside... i've been proven right every time

It takes good leadership/teamwork to win on volcano as nod in a 13vs13 I agree. It also takes good leadership to win on hourglass by taking the hill. Not to mention the great teamwork needed on mesa with artys in the middle and bridge. I did not play field but I'm sure it was your leadership and superior teamwork that won the game.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sun, 24 Jan 2010 23:33:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rcmorr09 wrote on Sun, 24 January 2010 17:20It takes good leadership/teamwork to win on volcano as nod in a 13vs13 I agree.

are you saying nod has the advantage on volcano? well, i don't think it was us who picked the map, but i'd have been quite happy as gdi. and my strategy worked flawlessly on volcano...

Quote:It also takes good leadership to win on hourglass by taking the hill.

well, let me rephrase that slightly, and say it takes a poor leader not to take the hill. i don't think i'm going to let you get a mass of arties up there (which you were trying to do, remember...we had the same idea as you, but we did it better... again, thanks to superior leadership and teamwork)

Quote:Not to mention the great teamwork needed on mesa with artys in the middle and bridge. yes. we were playing mesa2, which is totally fair between gdi and nod. mesa1 maybe nod has an advantage, but i'd have been quite happy to have been gdi. again, we had the better organisation... i didn't see any of your guys going for the box, for example, nor did anyone try grenading the strip for a faster med, nor any real effort to control the bridge or the sides.

Quote:I did not play field but I'm sure it was your leadership and superior teamwork that won the game.

yes. your team did have a good start since you killed our harv, but they made a crucial mistake after that. we sent everybody to the field... we had one person defending, everyone else was either tanks or techs. your team was more like 60-70% focused on the field, so we took it. so yes, it indeed was my leadership and our superior teamwork that won the game.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 00:29:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wrote on Mon, 25 January 2010 14:00Can we just keep it at: "we had good games which were good fun"? After all, that's all I wanted.

i think it's quite important not to have people spread the nonsense that we'll only get anywhere if we have "top players" on our side. was a big turnoff when the clanwars idiots always said it after every community match (even when all the evidence was pointing the other way)

more people are probably going to want to take part if they know they don't have to be kill or uded to be valuable to the team, just so long as they follow the leader we won't go too far wrong.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 00:49:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Mon, 25 January 2010 18:29Goztow wrote on Mon, 25 January 2010 14:00Can we just keep it at: "we had good games which were good fun"? After all, that's all I wanted.

i think it's quite important not to have people spread the nonsense that we'll only get anywhere if we have "top players" on our side. was a big turnoff when the clanwars idiots always said it after every community match (even when all the evidence was pointing the other way)

more people are probably going to want to take part if they know they don't have to be kill or uded to be valuable to the team, just so long as they follow the leader we won't go too far wrong.
agreed

It was a fun game, and the fact that a team who doesn't know eachother atall was able to pull off any wins is kinda cool IMO.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [HaTe](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 00:51:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just take the compliment and walk away....why try getting more credit from someone saying you lead good? Even if 1 sides teams were better, it was a compliment, and you had to start an argument out of that....i swear to god you just love to argue.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 01:07:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i was just repudiating what td and rcmorr said, as well as making a very important point about community matches which, quite possibly, will lead to more people wanting to be involved with them.

by great contrast, what was it you said in the signup thread? something like it'll all go wrong if you let spoony play? (nice prediction) and that was just because you were bitter over losing a pointsfix debate and just wanted to flame me somehow.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 02:44:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i can't explain why but the way you defend yourself makes you look like more of a douchebag than anyone accusing or insulting you

the renforums team had far better organization; that's entirely what won the match
skill-wise i can't honestly be sure, but i did jelly no favors in having mike and kippie play for renforums

we can do another series but have Renforums play against Exodus first; i'd like to play in that as well

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [HaTe](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 03:33:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I said something along the lines that some certain people will not play for a team that you lead. I was right. Call it harsh if you want, but i don't like you, so i refuse to play under your leadership. I was offered to play, and turned it down for that sole reason.

I never said anything will go wrong, you read what you wanted to read, because you love to argue. Same old Spooky. Still have it in your head that i "lost" the "argument", when I left the argument because of the fact that one side was blinded by his own arrogance, and was to afraid to attempt to step into the other sides shoes to understand where he is coming from.

"Lost" and "argument" are what you call it. I call it giving up on a lost cause, and a joke of an excuse of a hobby.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 03:42:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe wrote on Mon, 25 January 2010 21:33I said something along the lines that some certain people will not play for a team that you lead. I was right. Call it harsh if you want, but i don't like you, so i refuse to play under your leadership. I was offered to play, and turned it down for that sole reason.

actually no you weren't offered to play, and given your appalling attitude i wouldn't have let you play if you did want to.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 11:04:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He was offered to play as I PM'ed a multitude of active forum members to get a decent number of players.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [HaTe](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 15:26:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wasn't aware that you were the decider of who gets to play, and who doesn't. If you indeed had that role, then that's a rather poor decision on your part. If i wanted to play, i would have.....you saying he cannot play because of his attitude towards me, and the fact that i know he is right, wouldn't have changed anything.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [Tiesto](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 15:50:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 03:33I said something along the lines that some certain people will not play for a team that you lead. I was right. Call it harsh if you want, but i don't like you, so i refuse to play under your leadership. I was offered to play, and turned it down for that sole reason.

I never said anything will go wrong, you read what you wanted to read, because you love to argue. Same old Spooky. Still have it in your head that i "lost" the "argument", when I left the argument because of the fact that one side was blinded by his own arrogance, and was to afraid to attempt to step into the other sides shoes to understand where he is coming from.

"Lost" and "argument" are what you call it. I call it giving up on a lost cause, and a joke of an excuse of a hobby.

I don't see why you have to like someone to play as part of a team. Sure it helps, but its not like you are having a chat about life and talking about meeting for a drink during a commwar..

I'm sure there are people who don't like me at Exodus, but i'm also sure it won't stop them from signing up for wars there (knowing that i'll be leading) either. Yeah, if we all get along like one happy family its a bonus, but i couldn't careless either way.

I just don't see how you could use that as an excuse IMO obviously. Just more like you have no intention of playing but thought you'd have a dig at spooky.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 16:08:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe wrote on Mon, 25 January 2010 21:33I said something along the lines that some certain people will not play for a team that you lead. I was right. Call it harsh if you want, but i don't like you, so i refuse to play under your leadership. I was offered to play, and turned it down for that sole reason.

Pretty sure you're the only one there (a few others being similar minded people who think it's cool to constantly be retarded in arguments against Spooky). The rest of us were ok with it, lol.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 16:13:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 09:26I wasn't aware that you were the decider of who gets to play, and who doesn't. If you indeed had that role, then that's a rather poor decision on your part. If i wanted to play, i would have.....you saying he cannot play because of his attitude towards me, and the fact that i know he is right, wouldn't have changed anything.

so you said a few people wouldn't play if i was on the team? well, who? you, loki and nunega? none of which are a great loss tbh, especially when set against the fact i'm sure quite a few other players - better ones - were positively encouraged to play by the prospect of me leading the team.

and it would not be a poor decision at all to avoid picking you, trust me. if your attitude ingame is anything like your attitude on forums (and it is) then it would be more trouble than it's worth.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [Starbuzzz](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 17:15:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe

<http://redwing.hutman.net/~mreed/warriorshtm/jerk.htm>

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 18:50:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Any renforums member could sign up. I only refused one, as he was a known and exposed cheater.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [rcmorr09](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 19:14:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:well, let me rephrase that slightly, and say it takes a poor leader not to take the hill. i don't think i'm going to let you get a mass of arties up there (which you were trying to do, remember...we had the same idea as you, but we did it better... again, thanks to superior leadership and teamwork)

I disagree here, taking the hill is more based off talent than planning imo, and you did it better due to more talent and better players. You can have the the better plan or strategy but you still need decent players to execute it.

It does not matter anyway, the game is done and it was fun to an extent. I hope we can do another real soon.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 21:13:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rcmorr09 wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 13:14

Quote:well, let me rephrase that slightly, and say it takes a poor leader not to take the hill. i don't think i'm going to let you get a mass of arties up there (which you were trying to do, remember...we had the same idea as you, but we did it better... again, thanks to superior leadership and teamwork)

I disagree here, taking the hill is more based off talent than planning imo, and you did it better due to more talent and better players. You can have the the better plan or strategy but you still need decent players to execute it.

...yet if i didn't tell as many people to take the hill as i did, your arties would've overcome the MRLSs earlier. we only just killed the obelisk before the MRLSs were beaten back. fewer MRLS and fewer hotwires, even one or two, probably = ob survives

Quote:It does not matter anyway, the game is done and it was fun to an extent. I hope we can do another real soon.

Certainly.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [HaTe](#) on Tue, 26 Jan 2010 23:42:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony, you have played with me maybe a max of 10 times while knowing it was me, don't start acting like you know how i play. I said that CERTAIN people will not play, and that is true. I didn't REFUSE to play, i chose not to. One main reason is that i know that if something went wrong, Spoony would immediately target the players he dislikes first. I'm not going to play for someone who I disagree with in tactics, and in basically everything else, both Renegade related, and attitude/personality. If you do think that my attitude is truly bad in game, then give me proof please, logs should do. Otherwise you are just attempting to prove something untrue again.

Spoony has never been more than a person who gets his kicks in arguing, and a person who's hobby is to get people to join his side against others. I don't want that sort of person to lead me, call me a "jerk" if you will, i don't care. Now, go ahead and try and beat me in an argument I'm not a part of again, it should amuse us all once again. I don't like loki, i don't know Nunega, so don't associate me with them because you think that you are the top man of this forums. No offense, i think that doing what you do is honestly something you enjoy, but for 99% of the population, sitting on a forums, just for the reason to argue when possible, is not there idea of a "life".

This was basically what started you randomly attacking me in the pointsfix debate:

Me: "I see what you mean, and the pointsfix is for the better, sometimes, in my opinion"

You: EVERYTHING ABOUT THE POINTSFIX IS BETTER, AND I WILL PROVE IT BY QUOTING YOU AND SPAMMING YOU WITH HOW WRONG YOU ARE. I WILL SIT ON THE FORUMS ALL DAY AND FIND EVERY LITTLE THING WRONG WITH WHAT YOU POSTED WHILE DOING SO. I WILL THEN CONVINCING THE RENEGADE COMMUNITY THAT I AM ONLY TRYING TO IMPROVE THE GAME OF RENEGADE, WHEN I AM ACTUALLY JUST HERE TO ARGUE.

Minus the caps, of course.

And then again here:

rcmorr09: "I will give you some credit spoony I am sure your team was better off with you leading, but I still say your team had better players."

You: No, the renforums team was not better, it was solely the fact that i led the team, that we won. I will now go ahead and point out everything that would have gone wrong if i was not the leader.

Seriously, learn to SHUT THE FUCK UP. Then get yourself a new hobby, maybe then will someone actually be able to have a peaceful conversation with you.

We get it, the fact that you led, helped to win the games for renforums, there is no need to keep proving your point, you are only making yourself look like more of a douchebag than you actually are.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [HaTe](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 03:07:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony's well thought out huge quote reply inc in less than 5 minutes.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 03:14:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 17:42Spoony, you have played with me maybe a max of 10 times while knowing it was me, don't start acting like you know how i play. and you acted arrogantly and unpleasantly in all of them...

Quote:I said that CERTAIN people will not play, and that is true. I didn't REFUSE to play, i chose not to. One main reason is that i know that if something went wrong, Spoony would immediately target the players he dislikes first.

lol, where the HELL are you getting this from? don't just make stuff up, it does more harm to your case than you think.

Quote:If you do think that my attitude is truly bad in game, then give me proof please, logs should do. Otherwise you are just attempting to prove something untrue again.

i don't have logs, sorry, but every time i've seen you in game you tried to start flaming some other player for no reason at all... usually trying to round it off by the whole "1v1?" crap.

Quote:Spoony has never been more than a person who gets his kicks in arguing, and a person who's hobby is to get people to join his side against others.

again, you're flailing wildly here. i don't particularly care if anybody joins my side; i'm perfectly happy if nobody agrees with me at all in debates like this. frequently, nobody does. it's no problem.

Quote:Now, go ahead and try and beat me in an argument I'm not a part of again, it should amuse us all once again.

the amusing thing is when people get so angry at losing that they pretend it never happened. you occasionally get it in renegade, and you get it ALL THE TIME in forum debates.

Quote:I don't like loki, i don't know Nunega, so don't associate me with them because you think that you are the top man of this forums.

i wasn't associating you with them; simply making a list of people who were the top contenders for not wanting to play if spoony was in charge.

Quote:No offense, i think that doing what you do is honestly something you enjoy well, i quite like debates... being proven right is nice, being proven wrong is worthwhile too since you come out knowing more than you did when you went in (which is why i think it's funny how angry some people get when it happens).

Quote:but for 99% of the population, sitting on a forums, just for the reason to argue when possible, is not there idea of a "life".

...but this is where your sentence, which started okay, crashes and burns. "just for the reason to argue when possible"? no. i don't debate just for debate's sake... i debate things i think are relevant. and it's not my idea of a "life" either... in my case that would be putting on gigs, seeing others, hanging out with friends and playing pool

Quote:This was basically what started you randomly attacking me in the pointsfix debate:

Me: "I see what you mean, and the pointsfix is for the better, sometimes, in my opinion"

You: EVERYTHING ABOUT THE POINTSFIX IS BETTER, AND I WILL PROVE IT BY QUOTING YOU AND SPAMMING YOU WITH HOW WRONG YOU ARE. I WILL SIT ON THE FORUMS ALL DAY AND FIND EVERY LITTLE THING WRONG WITH WHAT YOU POSTED WHILE DOING SO. I WILL THEN CONVINCED THE RENEGADE COMMUNITY THAT I AM ONLY TRYING TO IMPROVE THE GAME OF RENEGADE, WHEN I AM ACTUALLY JUST HERE TO ARGUE.

i didn't randomly attack you. i just disproved a lot of what you said (i wasn't the only one) and you started attacking me, because you can't handle being wrong. i think it belies a vast intellectual insecurity what you're doing here... if you lose a debate, you think the opponent did something wrong in defeating you. you see this in renegade every now and again, but nowhere near as often as we see it in pointsfix debates.

Quote:rcmorr09: "I will give you some credit spoony I am sure your team was better off with you leading, but I still say your team had better players."

You: No, the renforums team was not better, it was solely the fact that i led the team, that we won. I will now go ahead and point out everything that would have gone wrong if i was not the leader. well, firstly a summary of this debate would not be complete without td's whining on page one.

secondly i did not say it was solely the fact i led the team that we won. i said you need two main things to win comm matches: good strategy (that's me), AND a team who flawlessly carries out the strategy (that was everyone else). thus it's totally wrong of you to act like i was saying it was all me. i've been very clear about my praise for everyone on my team, which was genuine.

thirdly, it would be totally wrong to say i said "the renforums team was not better". more organised = better. what i said was a rebuttal to TD that we just had players with more individual skill. not the same thing at all.

Quote:Seriously, learn to SHUT THE FUCK UP. Then get yourself a new hobby, maybe then will someone actually be able to have a peaceful conversation with you.
the original pointsfix debate, the one in which you started your furious renerage against me despite me doing nothing wrong to you, was quite peaceful until you started bawling like a baby at being wrong. pointsfix debates tend to go that way. and if you react badly to being refuted, it does not automatically follow that the opponent did something unfair in the process, any more than losing a game of renegade means the enemy must have cheated.

Quote:We get it, the fact that you led, helped to win the games for renforums, there is no need to keep proving your point
but there is a need to repudiate what TD and rcmorr said.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [HaTe](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 03:26:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:and you acted arrogantly and unpleasantly in all of them...

I like how you don't have any logs to back this up, you are clearly mistaking me for someone else.

Quote:

lol, where the HELL are you getting this from? don't just make stuff up, it does more harm to your case than you think.

So you would blame yourself? Doubtful in all meanings of the word.

Quote:i don't have logs, sorry, but every time i've seen you in game you tried to start flaming some other player for no reason at all... usually trying to round it off by the whole "1v1?" crap.

CLEARLY you are mistaking me for someone else, as i ask for 1v1s only against my ren buddies, never in an argument, or to prove something. You really don't know me at all Spooky.

Quote:

again, you're flailing wildly here. i don't particularly care if anybody joins my side; i'm perfectly happy if nobody agrees with me at all in debates like this. frequently, nobody does. it's no problem.

Quote:well, i quite like debates... being proven right is nice, being proven wrong is worthwhile too since you come out knowing more than you did when you went in (which is why i think it's funny how angry some people get when it happens).

You don't mind when no1 joins your side, yet you like to be proven wrong, and being proven right? In other words, you get enjoyment out of every argument you go into? Interesting.

Quote:the amusing thing is when people get so angry at losing that they pretend it never happened. you occasionally get it in renegade, and you get it ALL THE TIME in forum debates.

Once again implying that it is amusing to argue, and that you like to get people angry...so much for helping the cause i suppose.

Quote:i wasn't associating you with them; simply making a list of people who were the top contenders for not wanting to play if spoony was in charge.

Quote:well, who? you, loki and nunega? none of which are a great loss tbh

You put us all together, as if all in an anti-spoony club together, when we do not talk at all. Just clearing that up.

Quote:well, i quite like debates... being proven right is nice, being proven wrong is worthwhile too since you come out knowing more than you did when you went in (which is why i think it's funny how angry some people get when it happens).

Quote:

the amusing thing is when people get so angry at losing that they pretend it never happened.

Quote:again, you're flailing wildly here. i don't particularly care if anybody joins my side; i'm perfectly happy if nobody agrees with me at all in debates like this. frequently, nobody does.

Quote: no. i don't debate just for debate's sake... i debate things i think are relevant. and it's not my idea of a "life" either... in my case that would be putting on gigs, seeing others, hanging out with friends and playing pool

You lost me. So you like to be proven wrong in debates, and you like to be proven right in debates, yet you don't debate for debating sake? I don't see how you getting all defensive, and attacking others is a "relevant" debate. The fact that you led the renforums team, and they congratulated you on a job well done, and the fact that you thought that it wasn't cleared up enough makes me think you are the one insecure here. It's like you were begging people to see that you were a big aspect in the win.....if that is a "relevant" argument for you, than you need to go play more pool.

Quote:i didn't randomly attack you. i just disproved a lot of what you said (i wasn't the only one) and you started attacking me, because you can't handle being wrong. i think it belies a vast intellectual insecurity what you're doing here... if you lose a debate, you think the opponent did something wrong in defeating you. you see this in renegade every now and again, but nowhere near as often as we see it in pointsfix debates.

I'm beginning to wonder if you can read properly or not. LEAVING AN ARGUMENT BECAUSE OF A LOST CAUSE, IS NOT LOSING an argument. There is no defined winner or loser in an "argument" like we had, which i still personally don't see as an argument. Read the topic again, i simply posted that i agree with you in most cases, that simply wasn't enough for you, so you turned against me. How is this ME ATTACKING YOU!? Seriously, are you that insecure that when one person says they don't agree with you fully, you feel the need to attack them? Man, that sucks. I'm much like you in that i don't mind being proven wrong, as long as the person proving me wrong isn't making a fool out of me as well. I don't know if that's what you felt i did or what, but you have seemed to never get over it since then.

Quote:secondly i did not say it was solely the fact i led the team that we won. i said you need two main things to win comm matches: good strategy (that's me), AND a team who flawlessly carries

out the strategy (that was everyone else). thus it's totally wrong of you to act like i was saying it was all me. i've been very clear about my praise for everyone on my team, which was genuine.

So in other words, it was 50% you, 50% the other 11 or so people? I wasn't there, so it very well could have been, just sounds to me like it was more of a team effort, than a win because the commander was good. I very much think that there is more to winning comm matches than just strategy, and a team that carries it out, like adapting, skills, etc, etc? Or am i alone on that one?

Quote:the original pointsfix debate, the one in which you started your furious renerage against me despite me doing nothing wrong to you, was quite peaceful until you started bawling like a baby at being wrong

I lol'd. if your memory is that bad...well, just re read the topic, i was the one trying to keep the points fix debate to a minimum, before being attacked by you.

Quote:

it does not automatically follow that the opponent did something unfair in the process, Unfair in an argument on a forums for an 8 year old game? Wasn't aware that there were laid out rules to our argument, or that either of us broke any of them.

Quote:but there is a need to repudiate what TD and rcmorr said.

The need for you to take more credit, and to attempt to make them look foolish, or the need for joy in your life?

I would be the first to admit if i lost an argument, you on the other hand, are not willing to end it at that.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [HaTe](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 04:02:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony is a mod of this section, no? Why hasn't it been moved yet...?

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 05:15:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe wrote on Tue, 26 January 2010 21:26Quote:and you acted arrogantly and unpleasantly in all of them...

I like how you don't have any logs to back this up, you are clearly mistaking me for someone else./quote

will come back to this.

[quote title=Quote:]

lol, where the HELL are you getting this from? don't just make stuff up, it does more harm to your case than you think./quote]

So you would blame yourself? Doubtful in all meanings of the word.
that is not the only other option.

here's what you originally said:

"One main reason is that i know that if something went wrong, Spooky would immediately target the players he dislikes first."

anyone who knows anything about me could point out that i don't make excuses for losing, nor handle losing badly at all, so long as the winner played fairly. i'm also not so illogical as to attach blame purely based on an separate dislike.

Quote:Quote:i don't have logs, sorry, but every time i've seen you in game you tried to start flaming some other player for no reason at all... usually trying to round it off by the whole "1v1?" crap.

CLEARLY you are mistaking me for someone else, as i ask for 1v1s only against my ren buddies, never in an argument, or to prove something. You really don't know me at all Spooky.

here's what i said i'd come back to. you said i have no logs? perhaps... but here's a specific example. i distinctly remember game on Under, i think it was in exodus server. i was on gdi. you were flaming some guy and eventually challenged him to a 1v1. i thought you were freaking out, but i do also recall interjecting with my opinion that 1v1s are not so worthless as some people in the server asserted.

am i making that particular instance up, then? let me elaborate. we also pm'd each other asking who the other was.

Quote:You don't mind when no1 joins your side
correct

Quote:yet you like to be proven wrong, and being proven right?
yes, for different reasons though.

Quote:In other words, you get enjoyment out of every argument you go into? Interesting.
well, it's better than being angry when proven wrong, and participating in debates despite the fact you hate them...

Quote:Quote:the amusing thing is when people get so angry at losing that they pretend it never happened. you occasionally get it in renegade, and you get it ALL THE TIME in forum debates. Once again implying that it is amusing to argue, and that you like to get people angry...so much for helping the cause i suppose.

i didn't say it was amusing to debate, i said it was amusing when people behave as pathetically as e.g. you did in the pointsfix debate, although you are by no means the only one. i also did not intentionally try to make you angry... you got angry all by yourself.

Quote:Quote:i wasn't associating you with them; simply making a list of people who were the top contenders for not wanting to play if spooky was in charge.

Quote:well, who? you, loki and nunega? none of which are a great loss tbh

You put us all together, as if all in an anti-spoony club together, when we do not talk at all. Just clearing that up.

i did not say you are in an anti-spoony club, or associated with each other at all. i will say, however, that for all three of you, your reasons for despising me are equally feeble.

Quote:You lost me. So you like to be proven wrong in debates, and you like to be proven right in debates, yet you don't debate for debating sake?

Correct.

Quote:I don't see how you getting all defensive, and attacking others is a "relevant" debate. i suppose it wouldn't be. thankfully that isn't what happened at all, so your post isn't relevant either.

Quote:The fact that you led the renforums team, and they congratulated you on a job well done, and the fact that you thought that it wasn't cleared up enough makes me think you are the one insecure here.

go back and read the post of TD's i was originally replying to. there's nothing insecure in that. i didn't particularly feel i needed to stick up for my own leadership, but i sure was going to stick up for my team's organisation. i think it's a point that needed to be made. i've heard over and over again the kind of nonsense TD spouted, usually from clanwars players. i refuted it every time i heard it.

Quote:It's like you were begging people to see that you were a big aspect in the win..... i don't particularly care whether anybody sees that.

Quote:I'm beginning to wonder if you can read properly or not. LEAVING AN ARGUMENT BECAUSE OF A LOST CAUSE, IS NOT LOSING an argument. There is no defined winner or loser in an "argument" like we had, which i still personally don't see as an argument seeing as how you were proven wrong again and again and again and again (by not just me), and you couldn't refute what your opponents were saying, it certainly was a lost cause for you. pretty weird to say you didn't lose, though.

Quote:Read the topic again, i simply posted that i agree with you in most cases, that simply wasn't enough for you, so you turned against me.

i think you're the one who needs to read the topic again, buddy. you posted a huge list of entirely wrong statements, and me and a couple of others pointed that out. then you started freaking out.

Quote:Seriously, are you that insecure that when one person says they don't agree with you fully, you feel the need to attack them?

No?

Quote:I'm much like you in that i don't mind being proven wrong, as long as the person proving me wrong isn't making a fool out of me as well.

you made a fool out of yourself, champ. like i said at the time, there's no shame at all in admitting you were wrong about something, even if you were wrong as categorically and as often as you were in that thread. the shame comes when you try to pretend it never happened, or act like your opponent did something wrong just because he outwitted you.

Quote:but you have seemed to never get over it since then.

... interesting, since you're the one who keeps posting in random threads trying to attack me

(despite the fact i've done no wrong to you), not the other way around.

Quote:Quote:secondly i did not say it was solely the fact i led the team that we won. i said you need two main things to win comm matches: good strategy (that's me), AND a team who flawlessly carries out the strategy (that was everyone else). thus it's totally wrong of you to act like i was saying it was all me. i've been very clear about my praise for everyone on my team, which was genuine.

So in other words, it was 50% you, 50% the other 11 or so people? I wasn't there, so it very well could have been, just sounds to me like it was more of a team effort, than a win because the commander was good. I very much think that there is more to winning comm matches than just strategy, and a team that carries it out, like adapting, skills, etc, etc? Or am i alone on that one? yes, but individual skill is much less important than the other two.

Quote:Quote:the original pointsfix debate, the one in which you started your furious renerage against me despite me doing nothing wrong to you, was quite peaceful until you started bawling like a baby at being wrong

I lol'd. if your memory is that bad...well, just re read the topic, i was the one trying to keep the points fix debate to a minimum, before being attacked by you.

well, you didn't want to turn the thread into a pointsfix debate at all, but you weren't attacked.

Quote:Quote:

it does not automatically follow that the opponent did something unfair in the process, Unfair in an argument on a forums for an 8 year old game? Wasn't aware that there were laid out rules to our argument, or that either of us broke any of them. then i'd love to know why you've spent months and months in tears at the thought that i somehow did something terribly wrong in the pointsfix debate.

Quote:Quote:but there is a need to repudiate what TD and rcmorr said.

The need for you to take more credit, and to attempt to make them look foolish, or the need for joy in your life?

take more credit? don't really care

make them look foolish? redundant

need for joy in my life? ummmmmmmmmmm no

stick up for my team, and re-assert the superb performance of my guys in terms of teamplay? and re-assert my long-held view that organisation trumps individual skill (which i've pointed out many many many times before)? yeah that'd be it.

i would think it quite odd that that ^ would offend you, but then you seem to get offended really easily, for no reason at all... look at your current renerage towards me. i've certainly done you no wrong.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [HaTe](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 15:39:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:here's what i said i'd come back to. you said i have no logs? perhaps... but here's a specific

example. i distinctly remember game on Under, i think it was in exodus server. i was on gdi. you were flaming some guy and eventually challenged him to a 1v1. i thought you were freaking out, but i do also recall interjecting with my opinion that 1v1s are not so worthless as some people in the server asserted.

am i making that particular instance up, then? let me elaborate. we also pm'd each other asking who the other was.

You are by no means making it up, you are just remembering the instance completely wrong. The guy asked me to a 1v1, because he said that i was something along the lines of "a noob cheater." Then when i accepted, he said that he didn't want to anymore, because 1v1s proved shit. You then joined in the conversation, stating that 1v1s do prove something, and they are difficult to get good at. I then agreed with you, so we pm'd each other, telling each other our real identities.

Quote:Quote:

You don't mind when no1 joins your side

correct

Sorry, couldn't help but laugh.

Quote:In other words, you get enjoyment out of every argument you go into? Interesting.

well, it's better than being angry when proven wrong, and participating in debates despite the fact you hate them...

My cause is to attempt to eventually get it in your head that you are being an ass by debating every little thing that may or may not be wrong, in your opinion. If that fails, at least everyone else can see through the bs easier, hopefully.

Quote:i didn't say it was amusing to debate, i said it was amusing when people behave as pathetically as e.g. you did in the pointsfix debate, although you are by no means the only one. i also did not intentionally try to make you angry... you got angry all by yourself. You should be a comedian. While everyone else was attempting to avoid another pointsfix debate, you were looking to start another one, to try and clear a few things up, that weren't cleared up in the previous topics.

Quote:

i did not say you are in an anti-spoony club, or associated with each other at all. i will say, however, that for all three of you, your reasons for despising me are equally feeble.

Loki hates you because you won't let him play cw.cc, nunega hates you because you are an ass. I hate you because you must start an argument every chance you get. Equally feeble?

Quote:

i suppose it wouldn't be. thankfully that isn't what happened at all, so your post isn't relevant either.

You still think that someone else said something about you to start the debate, and you were just there defending yourself? Are you even reading the same topic as me?

Quote:go back and read the post of TD's i was originally replying to. there's nothing insecure in that. i didn't particularly feel i needed to stick up for my own leadership, but i sure was going to stick up for my team's organisation.

Quote:

It's like you were begging people to see that you were a big aspect in the win.....

i don't particularly care whether anybody sees that.

Quote:

because that was the one and only comm match i've led where my team totally didn't listen to the leader.

Quote:

Quote: and my strategy worked flawlessly on volcano...

but we did it better... again, thanks to superior leadership and teamwork

Quote: it indeed was my leadership and our superior teamwork that won the game.

Quote: just so long as they follow the leader we won't go too far wrong.

Quote: the fact i'm sure quite a few other players - better ones - were positively encouraged to play by the prospect of me leading the team.

Quote:...yet if i didn't tell as many people to take the hill as i did, your arties would've overcome the MRLSs earlier. we only just killed the obelisk before the MRLSs were beaten back. fewer MRLS and fewer hotwires, even one or two, probably = ob survives

I seem to be lost once again. You don't care, yet you need to keep telling everyone over and over again....what did i miss?

Quote:i think you're the one who needs to read the topic again, buddy. you posted a huge list of entirely wrong statements, and me and a couple of others pointed that out. then you started freaking out.

What was something i posted wrong? It was an argument over OPINIONS, you cannot have a WRONG opinion. The facts that i posted backing up my opinions were not wrong at all. I could say that you were proven wrong, because my opinion was superior to yours too, but honestly, i'm not that desperate to "win" an argument.

Quote:you made a fool out of yourself, champ. like i said at the time, there's no shame at all in admitting you were wrong about something, even if you were wrong as categorically and as often as you were in that thread. the shame comes when you try to pretend it never happened, or act like your opponent did something wrong just because he outwitted you.

What? Outwitted? It's not like we were playing a sport, it was basically me stating my opinion, you getting all angry because you didn't agree, so you quote spammed me for a good 4 pages. I left for a weekend, and came back to find you claiming you "beat" me. Yet you don'r care if you win or lose an argument? What?

Quote:

... interesting, since you're the one who keeps posting in random threads trying to attack me (despite the fact i've done no wrong to you), not the other way around.

This happens to be the ONLY thread where i was the one to start an argument with you. I felt it necessary, as I really want you to see what you are doing, in making a douchebag out of yourself, and others can see it as well. It's not hard to see through what you are trying to do, I'm sure by now nearly everyone can see it...

Quote:

the original pointsfix debate, the one in which you started your furious renerage against me despite me doing nothing wrong to you, was quite peaceful until you started bawling like a baby at being wrong.

Let me go back to my lettering usage:

A. Opinion's cannot be wrong.

B. There was no debate before your post, that had anything to do with the pointsfix, besides the word "pointsfix", you felt you needed to clear more things up, so started it again there.

C. Bawling like a baby, please, bring proof, quote me "bawling like a baby." Making stuff up is rather pathetic Spooky.

Quote:and if you react badly to being refuted, it does not automatically follow that the opponent did something unfair in the process, any more than losing a game of renegade means the enemy must have cheated.

This is the like, what, third time you have posted this? Yet you fail to respond to me saying that I don't get how you can cheat in an argument on a forums, for a 8 year old game. I never once said anything you did was unfair, i never once said you "cheated", and i never once said i lost or won the argument, it was between people stating how they think the pointsfix is for the better, and for the worse....not a winning or losing case. I still think my points made outweighed your points made sufficiently too, honestly. Yet i don't go saying i won 100 times over again, just to attempt to anger the opponent.

Quote:Quote:

We get it, the fact that you led, helped to win the games for renforums, there is no need to keep proving your point

but there is a need to repudiate what TD and rcmorr said.

Yet you clearly haven't acknowledged what we have said, so yes, yes there is.

I'm almost done feeding birdseed to the already fatass bird, don't worry.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 20:37:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JESUS CHRIST I THOUGHT WE WERE PLAYING FOR FUN!

CLEARLY I WAS WRONG!

I hereby give permission for this to be spammed to hell with "serious busniz" pictures. move this to the Heated Discussions subforum.

An actual, serious note: Spooky is unable to move topics out of the forums for which he is a moderator, therefore his only option would've been to request a move, which I'm not sure even works.

I've done it now, but there are no grounds (given the utterly stupid technical limitations of the forums) to question his moderation position.

Spooky is only a moderator of the Tactics and Strategies subforum, not the Clan/Community subforum.

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [Dover](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 22:50:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Wed, 27 January 2010 12:37I hereby give permission for this to be spammed to hell with "serious busniz" pictures.

Oh, please?

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 22:54:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe wrote on Wed, 27 January 2010 09:39Quote:here's what i said i'd come back to. you said i have no logs? perhaps... but here's a specific example. i distinctly remember game on Under, i think it was in exodus server. i was on gdi. you were flaming some guy and eventually challenged him to a 1v1. i thought you were freaking out, but i do also recall interjecting with my opinion that 1v1s are not so worthless as some people in the server asserted.

am i making that particular instance up, then? let me elaborate. we also pm'd each other asking who the other was.

You are by no means making it up, you are just remembering the instance completely wrong. The guy asked me to a 1v1, because he said that i was something along the lines of "a noob cheater." Then when i accepted, he said that he didn't want to anymore, because 1v1s proved shit. You then joined in the conversation, stating that 1v1s do prove something, and they are difficult to get good at. I then agreed with you, so we pm'd each other, telling each other our real identities.

Quote:Quote:

You don't mind when no1 joins your side

correct

Sorry, couldn't help but laugh.

Quote:In other words, you get enjoyment out of every argument you go into? Interesting.

well, it's better than being angry when proven wrong, and participating in debates despite the fact you hate them...

My cause is to attempt to eventually get it in your head that you are being an ass by debating every little thing that may or may not be wrong, in your opinion. If that fails, at least everyone else can see through the bs easier, hopefully.

Quote:i didn't say it was amusing to debate, i said it was amusing when people behave as pathetically as e.g. you did in the pointsfix debate, although you are by no means the only one. i also did not intentionally try to make you angry... you got angry all by yourself. You should be a comedian. While everyone else was attempting to avoid another pointsfix debate, you were looking to start another one, to try and clear a few things up, that weren't cleared up in the previous topics.

Quote:
i did not say you are in an anti-spoony club, or associated with each other at all. i will say, however, that for all three of you, your reasons for despising me are equally feeble. Loki hates you because you won't let him play cw.cc, nunega hates you because you are an ass. I hate you because you must start an argument every chance you get. Equally feeble?

Quote:
i suppose it wouldn't be. thankfully that isn't what happened at all, so your post isn't relevant either. You still think that someone else said something about you to start the debate, and you were just there defending yourself? Are you even reading the same topic as me?

Quote:go back and read the post of TD's i was originally replying to. there's nothing insecure in that. i didn't particularly feel i needed to stick up for my own leadership, but i sure was going to stick up for my team's organisation.

Quote:

It's like you were begging people to see that you were a big aspect in the win.....

i don't particularly care whether anybody sees that.

Quote:
because that was the one and only comm match i've led where my team totally didn't listen to the leader.

Quote:

Quote: and my strategy worked flawlessly on volcano...

but we did it better... again, thanks to superior leadership and teamwork

Quote: it indeed was my leadership and our superior teamwork that won the game.

Quote: just so long as they follow the leader we won't go too far wrong.

Quote: the fact i'm sure quite a few other players - better ones - were positively encouraged to play by the prospect of me leading the team.

Quote:...yet if i didn't tell as many people to take the hill as i did, your arties would've overcome the MRLSs earlier. we only just killed the obelisk before the MRLSs were beaten back. fewer MRLS and fewer hotwires, even one or two, probably = ob survives
I seem to be lost once again. You don't care, yet you need to keep telling everyone over and over again....what did i miss?

Quote:i think you're the one who needs to read the topic again, buddy. you posted a huge list of entirely wrong statements, and me and a couple of others pointed that out. then you started freaking out.

What was something i posted wrong? It was an argument over OPINIONS, you cannot have a WRONG opinion. The facts that i posted backing up my opinions were not wrong at all. I could say that you were proven wrong, because my opinion was superior to yours too, but honestly, i'm not that desperate to "win" an argument.

Quote:you made a fool out of yourself, champ. like i said at the time, there's no shame at all in admitting you were wrong about something, even if you were wrong as categorically and as often as you were in that thread. the shame comes when you try to pretend it never happened, or act like your opponent did something wrong just because he outwitted you.

What? Outwitted? It's not like we were playing a sport, it was basically me stating my opinion, you getting all angry because you didn't agree, so you quote spammed me for a good 4 pages. I left for a weekend, and came back to find you claiming you "beat" me. Yet you don'r care if you win or lose an argument? What?

Quote:

... interesting, since you're the one who keeps posting in random threads trying to attack me (despite the fact i've done no wrong to you), not the other way around.

This happens to be the ONLY thread where i was the one to start an argument with you. I felt it necessary, as I really want you to see what you are doing, in making a douchebag out of yourself, and others can see it as well. It's not hard to see through what you are trying to do, I'm sure by now nearly everyone can see it...

Quote:

the original pointsfix debate, the one in which you started your furious renerage against me despite me doing nothing wrong to you, was quite peaceful until you started bawling like a baby at being wrong.

Let me go back to my lettering usage:

A. Opinion's cannot be wrong.

B. There was no debate before your post, that had anything to do with the pointsfix, besides the word "pointsfix", you felt you needed to clear more things up, so started it again there.

C. Bawling like a baby, please, bring proof, quote me "bawling like a baby." Making stuff up is rather pathetic Spooky.

Quote:and if you react badly to being refuted, it does not automatically follow that the opponent did something unfair in the process, any more than losing a game of renegade means the enemy must have cheated.

This is the like, what, third time you have posted this? Yet you fail to respond to me saying that I don't get how you can cheat in an argument on a forums, for a 8 year old game. I never once said

anything you did was unfair, i never once said you "cheated", and i never once said i lost or won the argument, it was between people stating how they think the pointsfix is for the better, and for the worse....not a winning or losing case. I still think my points made outweighed your points made sufficiently too, honestly. Yet i don't go saying i won 100 times over again, just to attempt to anger the opponent.

Quote:Quote:

We get it, the fact that you led, helped to win the games for renforums, there is no need to keep proving your point

but there is a need to repudiate what TD and rcmorr said.

Yet you clearly haven't acknowledged what we have said, so yes, yes there is.

I'm almost done feeding birdseed to the already fatass bird, don't worry.

File Attachments

1) [dumbass.jpg](#), downloaded 1077 times



Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 22:58:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Serious. Business.

B-|

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!

Posted by [HaTe](#) on Wed, 27 Jan 2010 23:11:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't even know you Starbuzz....are you just another Spooky lover or what?
I have better things to do than argue with someone who lives for it anyway. Congrats Spooky, you can now have the pride in thinking you have won 2 arguments against me. Man you must be happy.

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!

Posted by [DRNG](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 00:09:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Go Spooky! Congratulations you won!

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 01:23:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

People who like Spooky are gay, Spooky lovers, must have sex with him on a daily basis, etc

People who dislike Spooky are true Renegade players

the logic in this is outstanding

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [Sean](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 01:51:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HaTe wrote on Wed, 27 January 2010 14:02 Spooky is a mod of this section, no? Why hasn't it been moved yet...?

Because he answers any/all arguments very well indeed.

I'd lose to him anyway.

also lol @ joker

Subject: Re: Renforums vs Jelly results

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 02:00:52 GMT

File Attachments

1) [Spoony.PNG](#), downloaded 797 times



SPOONY

Just when you thought you could get away with your bullshit.

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!

Posted by [Rocko](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 08:40:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

spoony won dis here argument forsure, what prize he won nobody knows

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 12:38:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!
Posted by [TORN](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 13:02:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't know why I posted this,it doesn't make any sense...

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!
Posted by [Sean](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 21:41:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TORN wrote on Thu, 28 January 2010 14:02

I don't know why I posted this,it doesn't make any sense...

qft.

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 21:49:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!
Posted by [DRNG](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 21:55:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!
Posted by [Sean](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 22:37:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

upside down? xD

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!

Posted by [SPIKDUM](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 22:57:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Knock this shit off you faggots.

File Attachments

1) [olmec2.gif](#), downloaded 705 times



Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!

Posted by [DRNG](#) on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 23:45:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Azazel wrote on Thu, 28 January 2010 16:37DRNG wrote on Thu, 28 January 2010

upside down? xD

No, it's Russian.

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!
Posted by [Gen_Blacky](#) on Sun, 28 Feb 2010 06:47:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renegade is Serious Business

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!
Posted by [Altzan](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2010 05:50:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:this arguement

THIS IS WHY WE CAN'T HAVE NICE THINGS

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!
Posted by [DRNG](#) on Mon, 01 Mar 2010 17:01:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Altzan wrote on Sun, 28 February 2010 23:50Quote:this arguement

THIS IS WHY WE CAN'T HAVE NICE THINGS

Subject: Re: COMMUNITY FUN WARS ARE VERY SERIOUS!
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Tue, 02 Mar 2010 00:17:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rocko wrote on Thu, 28 January 2010 02:40spoony won dis here argument forsure, what prize he won nobody knows

sheeeeit...rockos back, what up blood?
