

---

Subject: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 09:04:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Prulez

Goztow wrote on Sun, 27 December 2009 12:42 When we donate money towards a cause we like it to go to that cause and not for it to be forwarded to another cause, though we obviously support charity.

So why was Exodus expected to do so otherwise by some people? If [NE] wanted it to go to charity, [NE] would have done so themself.

/End of Rant about the RGCT prize

Continue in here. Further offtopic is a warning/ban/molestation by angry ferrets.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [CarrierII](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 09:06:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[quote title = [NE]Fobby[GEN]]

Prulez wrote on Sun, 27 December 2009 09:42 Goztow wrote on Sun, 27 December 2009 12:42 When we donate money towards a cause we like it to go to that cause and not for it to be forwarded to another cause, though we obviously support charity.

So why was Exodus expected to do so otherwise by some people? If [NE] wanted it to go to charity, [NE] would have done so themself.

/End of Rant about the RGCT prize

Also, very nice of you to do so, Goz and KOSs2

Best not to compare.

[/quote]

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Prulez](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 09:21:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

CarrierII wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 10:04 Continue in here. Further offtopic is a molestation by angry ferrets. Oh dear

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 09:23:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Just to reply to the original topic: try to consider Crimson's prize money as a donation to NE, then you got the answer.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Prulez](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 16:14:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

goztow wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 10:23 Just to reply to the original topic: try to consider Crimson's prize money as a donation to NE, then you got the answer.  
Except that it was not a donation, but it was prize money.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 16:18:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Goztow wrote on Sun, 27 December 2009 12:42 When we donate money towards a cause we like it to go to that cause and not for it to be forwarded to another cause, though we obviously support charity.

Oh puhleeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeze... That's splitting hairs, and you know it.

The group who donated to Atomix and Exodus even had a thread where they decided what to do with their coin.

It was either each participating member got 8 bucks apiece, or they use it for a Ren-X tourney prize, or hand the winnings to the pair of communities. We all KNOW for a fact that giving each member 8 bucks (which doesn't even buy a decent Subway meal) is NOT a cause.

Please, do us all a favor and call a spade a spade at least once in a while. This is embarrassing.

Plus, the timing of your community's 'donation' is dubious at best. Why on Earth would you agree with Crimson's remarks that [NE] should donate to a charity (of her choice, of course), and yet turn around a couple days later and donate money to a place that doesn't even need donations, and is not a charity either. The irony here is killing me.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Cunin](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 16:24:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

She bitched to Fobby for that, which is the person which earned the money, and you agreed with

her. You donated money to crimson for renegade, so she's expected to donate them to charity, but also shows that you can't keep up with your own words.

First you say money shouldn't be donated to communities around renegade but to charity, then you donate to a renegade community...sorry but that's very odd.

I'm not saying your donation is wrong, but only that you can't say that what Fobby did was wrong and then do the exact same thing. The RGCT prize was a gift, it was nowhere said that it MUST have been used for something specific, so the winner can surely use it as they wants to.

Also, donations to charity should be anonymous. I personally don't like when people go around stating they donate money to charity, because that make those money as a "pay for your public image"-thing. It's also very easy to say you donated to charity, but that doesn't automatically make it true. (not talking about someone in particular here, just generally)

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate

Posted by [SPIKDUM](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 16:47:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, you'd be able to get a nice blowjob from a prozzie for 20 dollars, but instead he gave the money to a starting-up community.

Who cares what anybody does with their own deserved money, they won it, they decide what happens with it, we've had enough shitty flamewars about the whole Exodus/NS issue, and we don't need another one. Grow up and get over it.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate

Posted by [Carrierll](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 17:01:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SPIKDUM wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 16:47Well, you'd be able to get a nice blowjob from a prozzie for 20 dollars, but instead he gave the money to a starting-up community.

Who cares what anybody does with their own deserved money, they won it, they decide what happens with it, we've had enough shitty flamewars about the whole Exodus/NS issue, and we don't need another one. Grow up and get over it.

You have to have the record for the most constructive set of first posts in the history of internet forums.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate

Posted by [resistor1](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 17:06:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Guys guys! Everyone knows Noobstories is better and more successful than Exodus, the facts are staring you in the face! Noobstories is nearly always full, while exodus has like 8 players! It's understandable that you would defend your community that you like, but Noobstories > Exodus.

---

Noobstories has been an overall more succesful community and everyone can see that. Exodus had good people in their community but not many people play, they also had a very good administrator " cunin " they should be more successful but idk. im just loki

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 17:11:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

CarrierII wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 10:01SPIKDUM wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 16:47Well, you'd be able to get a nice blowjob from a prozzie for 20 dollars, but instead he gave the money to a starting-up community.

Who cares what anybody does with their own deserved money, they won it, they decide what happens with it, we've had enough shitty flamewars about the whole Exodus/NS issue, and we don't need another one. Grow up and get over it.

You have to have the record for the most constructive set of first posts in the history of internet forums.

That's because it's actually MUDKIPS evading a ban he thinks he has.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate

Posted by [SPIKDUM](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 17:16:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 18:11CarrierII wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 10:01SPIKDUM wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 16:47Well, you'd be able to get a nice blowjob from a prozzie for 20 dollars, but instead he gave the money to a starting-up community.

Who cares what anybody does with their own deserved money, they won it, they decide what happens with it, we've had enough shitty flamewars about the whole Exodus/NS issue, and we don't need another one. Grow up and get over it.

You have to have the record for the most constructive set of first posts in the history of internet forums.

That's because it's actually MUDKIPS evading a ban he thinks he has.


This happens when I log in.

---

#### File Attachments

1) [lolban.jpg](#), downloaded 745 times

---



---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 17:19:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Delete your cookies then. Your ban expired a long time ago.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [SPIKDUM](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 17:22:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Crimson wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 11:19Delete your cookies then. Your ban expired a long time ago.

Well, I'm spending christmas with my parents and I highly doubt they got banned from reneforums :-/

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [kadoosh](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 17:27:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

your parents are naughty mud.... naughty naughty naughty

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 19:39:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Prulez wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 17:14goztow wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 10:23Just to reply to the original topic: try to consider Crimson's prize money as a donation to NE,

then you got the answer.

Except that it was not a donation, but it was prize money.

I didn't say it was, I said "try considering it as". Then you'll understand my reasoning, that's all.

About timing: this isn't a "Goztow donates money to renforums" topic. TK2 donated twice towards a Renegade project: once in 2k5 to Renguard and once now. The reason why I put up a public thread about it this time is of course related to recent events. I have the feeling that people tend to take this place for granted a bit too much. The very fact someone wrote in this topic "this place doesn't even need donations" shows this very well. Do you have any idea what it costs to keep this place running? It's not because there's no huge "DONATE TO US TO KEEP US ALIVE" button that it means Crimson doesn't appreciate / need donations. Do you think that Westwood ever thought she'd still be paying for running these forums 7 years later? Heck, even xwis' serevrs are being paid for by EA.

Do you realize that Exodus has distributed a considerable amount of prize money since they started? Do you really consider they need your donations if they can do this? I know n00bstories also does something like this, which is one of the reasons why I would never donate to that project. But this is personal opinion / preference of course and a bit unrelated to this discussion.

All in all the donation was more a symbolic thing to do than something else, as our donation doesn't even cover half a month of renforums running costs. Just like NE's donation to exodus seems like it was a symbolic thing as well as it doesn't even cover 1/10th of Exodus' server running cost AFAIK but he did seem eager to post about it on these forums.

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate

Posted by [Cunin](#) on Mon, 28 Dec 2009 23:25:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:I know n00bstories also does something like this, which is one of the reasons why I would never donate to that project.

as both things are paid by the same person, I can't see a big difference if you donate to one or another project.

The reason why nikki said "this place doesn't even need donations" is because she always says, in almost every post, how her business is "booming" and the fact that she is giving prizes around shows that she doesn't need donations, as much as we don't need them, as we're also giving away prizes often. I'm not saying that it's true, that's what you said, but obviously the situation is different depending on which side you're watching at.

Quote:Do you think that Westwood ever thought she'd still be paying for running these forums 7 years later? Heck, even xwis' serevrs are being paid for by EA.

I think westwood would have at least hoped that these forums would really have been "official", but they're not, so it's not anything westwood would care for. XWIS is a different thing. It's necessary for having the master server running, and that's an official thing, while these forums aren't.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 29 Dec 2009 08:04:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Not official? Ermmm why do you think it has the word official on top of it? The official Renegade forums, run by Westwood, were handed over by the responsible people back in the days to Crimson. They're as official as they get. The fact EA revived C&C x years later and decided to put a Renegade related forum on their forums for command and conquer doesn't make these less official to me...

Xwis is as "official" as these forums in my mind. Xwis is a 3rd party project (yes, a clone...) that just happens to be supported by EA. While renforums.com is an original project that's continued by Crimson. I really don't see the difference... We also got EAapoc's aprooval for renegadecommunity.com before launching it. Seems like EA also endorses that site (and surely the forum linked to it) then, don't u think?

As to the first part of your reply: you seem to completely ignore the last paragraph of what I wrote in my previous post. Moreover, may I ask why exactly it should be taken as granted that Crimson's revenues of her booming business are invested in renegadeforums.com ? In the end, she gets little gratefulness for it and people (attempt to) use it against her at occasions. If (a part of) the community wants renforums.com to become "less Crimson", which is what I read in several posts, then it might need to start considering paying (a part of?) the bill. You can't seriously claim to want one without the other.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [SPIKDUM](#) on Tue, 29 Dec 2009 12:49:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

goztow wrote on Tue, 29 December 2009 02:04 The official Renegade forums, run by Westwood, were handed over by the responsible people back in the days to Crimson. ... .. If (a part of) the community wants renforums.com to become "less Crimson", which is what I read in several posts, then it might need to start considering paying (a part of?) the bill. You can't seriously claim to want one without the other.

I hope you can see that official game forums ran by producers are a neutral/free platform for customers to discuss and express themselves, and provide their input regarding a product. You can't honestly claim that these forms are still official when they get handed over to someone else who (technically) gets to lord over the posters simply because she is paying the bill. This is not to say that Crimson has ever done anything of the sort to my knowledge (except for "THE" event).  
Do you see the distinction as well?

---

---



Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Cunin](#) on Tue, 29 Dec 2009 16:14:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SPIKDUM wrote on Tue, 29 December 2009 06:49goztow wrote on Tue, 29 December 2009 02:04 The official Renegade forums, run by Westwood, were handed over by the responsible people back in the days to Crimson. ... .. If (a part of) the community wants renforums.com to become "less Crimson", which is what I read in several posts, then it might need to start considering paying (a part of?) the bill. You can't seriously claim to want one without the other.

I hope you can see that official game forums ran by producers are a neutral/free platform for customers to discuss and express themselves, and provide their input regarding a product. You can't honestly claim that these forms are still official when they get handed over to someone else who (technically) gets to lord over the posters simply because she is paying the bill. This is not to say that Crimson has ever done anything of the sort to my knowledge (except for "THE" event).  
Do you see the distinction as well?

that and also she admitted these forums aren't official in another thread.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Tue, 29 Dec 2009 16:42:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SPIKDUM wrote on Tue, 29 December 2009 13:49goztow wrote on Tue, 29 December 2009 02:04 The official Renegade forums, run by Westwood, were handed over by the responsible people back in the days to Crimson. ... .. If (a part of) the community wants renforums.com to become "less Crimson", which is what I read in several posts, then it might need to start considering paying (a part of?) the bill. You can't seriously claim to want one without the other.

I hope you can see that official game forums ran by producers are a neutral/free platform for customers to discuss and express themselves, and provide their input regarding a product. You can't honestly claim that these forms are still official when they get handed over to someone else who (technically) gets to lord over the posters simply because she is paying the bill. This is not to say that Crimson has ever done anything of the sort to my knowledge (except for "THE" event).  
Do you see the distinction as well?

AFAIK the free expression was way more limited back in the days (when WW moderated) than it is now. Maybe someone who was around back then could confirm?

Also, EA gave out moderating rights to regular and trusted users on their own official forum.



These people also "lord over the posters", if I may take your terms. So isn't that forum official for the new generation of games anymore, then? It makes sense for older games that the community aspect gets outsourced to one or a handful of persons. That doesn't make the support they provide any less "official". If you want, I'll say it's "as official as it gets".

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 29 Dec 2009 17:16:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

When Westwood hosted the forums, you couldn't say anything about other games or anything disparaging about Renegade, Westwood, or EA. You also couldn't swear.

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [dr3w2](#) on Tue, 29 Dec 2009 17:27:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

fuckiting fuckatash

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 29 Dec 2009 17:31:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Fuck Fuckity Fuck Fuck

---

---

Subject: Re: The RGCT Prize debate  
Posted by [\[NE\]Fobby\[GEN\]](#) on Wed, 06 Jan 2010 23:49:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Goztow wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 14:39Prulez wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 17:14goztow wrote on Mon, 28 December 2009 10:23Just to reply to the original topic: try to consider Crimson's prize money as a donation to NE, then you got the answer. Except that it was not a donation, but it was prize money.

All in all the donation was more a symbolic thing to do than something else, as our donation doesn't even cover half a month of renforums running costs. Just like NE's donation to exodus seems like it was a symbolic thing as well as it doesn't even cover 1/10th of Exodus' server running cost AFAIK but he did seem eager to post about it on these forums.

My action was symbolic? Hardly. It was free money given to us, and we didn't have anything better to do with it, as NE has no real expenses. I had made the thread because I did not know who was responsible for donations on Atomix or Exodus.

I think both donations should be free of criticism - Goztow and his group did a great and honourable thing by helping what I see as the official C&C Renegade forums. Renegade's nearing its 8th birthday and only a few servers get populated these days - it's best to not criticize what's left of our community; no matter how small it may be. Whether it be these forums or any server people regularly play on, this is a community that needs to depend on each other in order to survive. Small communities should almost always be interdependent.

---