
Subject: 2012 movie

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 13 Nov 2009 16:34:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just watched it for 60 Rupees (less than \$1.50) here in the movie theater.

Quiet epic. Despite awful errors that would make the scientific community disappointed, the stunning visuals kept you at the edge of the seat. The action was awesome. This will probably be the disaster movie that will never be beaten.

The massive ships were damn cool and nicely designed.

Anyone who watched it post here...

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [Sladewill](#) on Fri, 13 Nov 2009 16:48:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i wanna see it when its out, not out till 20th here same with the new twilight saga.

It sounds likes its a bit like war of the worlds and the day after 2morrow

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [jnz](#) on Fri, 13 Nov 2009 17:56:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As soon as someone takes a camera into a cinema and uploads to the net I will watch it.

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [luv2pb](#) on Fri, 13 Nov 2009 18:17:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It was done by the same people who did "The Day After Tomorrow" so I had high hopes for it. I'll get around to checking it out one of these days.

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [Sladewill](#) on Fri, 13 Nov 2009 19:58:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hmm that explains a lot, lol at jnz

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [Wiener](#) on Fri, 13 Nov 2009 21:33:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Its an emmerich film so the story is weak and the dialogues simple. But even those who generally like these kind of films say that 2012 is nothing more than a couple of nice pictures.

Not going to waste any time nor money on this film.

Quote:As soon as someone takes a camera into a cinema and uploads to the net I will watch it.

How could someone enjoy that. I still buy the films I like on dvd (blueray)

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [Omar007](#) on Fri, 13 Nov 2009 22:22:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luv2pb wrote on Fri, 13 November 2009 19:17It was done by the same people who did "The Day After Tomorrow" so I had high hopes for it. I'll get around to checking it out one of these days. Same here

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [YazooGang](#) on Sat, 14 Nov 2009 01:42:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Theaters suck. I like to watch movies at like 11-1 am, when its quiet and no one bothers you!

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Sat, 14 Nov 2009 03:52:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wiener wrote on Fri, 13 November 2009 15:33Its an emmerich film

Otacon makes terrible movies.

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [genetix](#) on Sat, 14 Nov 2009 04:52:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jnz wrote on Fri, 13 November 2009 11:56As soon as someone takes a camera into a cinema and uploads to the net I will watch it.

So what do you think? Someone did that a few days ago now.

Personally I usually wait for DVD copy's but this one I might watch in theatres

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Sat, 14 Nov 2009 06:30:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"The disaster movie that will never be beaten?"

Not likely. Good action makes a good action movie. Good special effects make good eye candy. Intelligent writing featuring human tragedy and will to survive... that makes a good disaster movie. Action is entirely superfluous when the ideal focus of the genre is not what people do but why they do it. which is, incidentally, why we have an entire action genre for when folks just want to watch shit blow up. 2012 is not a disaster movie, it is an action movie with a disaster in place of a human protagonist.

Here's an example of doing it right.

The only reason- and I mean the only reason- why 2012 is getting so much attention is because it is shamelessly cashing in on the latest societal armageddon prophecy fad. That's why Day After Tomorrow did so well, too. These are not movies which will endure past our own generation. I'm sure it was entertaining enough, but I really don't see anything particularly revolutionary here. Don't get me wrong, watching mass destruction is really cool, but this is not the best thing that's ever happened in the field of Earth-smashing.

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [halo2pac](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2009 15:43:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

jnz wrote on Fri, 13 November 2009 11:56As soon as someone takes a camera into a cinema and uploads to the net I will watch it.

I'm literally rolling on the Floor laughing. Hearing that come from Jnz.

Subject: Re: 2012 movie

Posted by [Renx](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2009 16:57:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Emmerich never has, and never will make a good movie. He's a "creative" writer (that doesn't mean good), but he is an absolutely terrible director. What he's good at is making half-assed movies with a lot of explosions, explosions make good trailers, good trailers make for big opening weekends. Then after that those movies fall back down into the gutter to forever be forgotten, thankfully.

Stargate is open to interpretation. The idea was good, but thank god he didn't have anything to do with the show.
