Subject: Medical Marijuana Posted by slosha on Sat, 25 Apr 2009 16:34:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Does anyone here think it should really be used for medical purposes? IMO we have enough pain killers. Don't get me wrong I want marijuana to be legalized, but this is just about Medical Marijuana. I think it's doing more harm than it is helping because it has more carcinogens than cigarettes, if I'm not mistaken. So it's really not smart for doctors to be handing their patients cancer. If someone wants to use it as a pain killer that's fine, but it really doesn't make sense that they would let doctors do this.

Discuss

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by cheesesoda on Sat, 25 Apr 2009 18:11:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Discuss the topic or how wrong you are?

If you do a Google search, you can find sources that state smoking marijuana DOES NOT raise cancer risks. Oh, and want the first link that I got? Why, it's none other than the clearly unbalanced-to-the-right FOXNews.

http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,196678,00.html

Edit: Carcinogens are not definitively linked to cancer. Here's a Yahoo Questions link that is answered by an actually INFORMED person who doesn't talk out of their ass, and she even links to a couple of sites.

http://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20071210222717AAqh3q3

And last, but certainly not least, I would highly suggest you read up on www.norml.org -- Yes, it's incredibly biased, but it doesn't follow annoying government propaganda and actually uses common sense findings.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by nikki6ixx on Sat, 25 Apr 2009 18:27:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

A lot of painkillers are addictive, and they are also detrimental to people's health. Frankly, if Marijuana helps people with debilitating conditions, I am for it, as long as they aren't selling it to other people. I really don't give a fuck. It doesn't affect me.

On the other hand, I hold it to be self-evident that what people put in their own bodies is their own business, so long as it doesn't affect other human beings... I don't in any way oppose this. I also don't in any way oppose the overall legalization of weed, so long as it is regulated the same way alcohol is. The world would not end, society would not go down the shitter (it's already there), and pot plants would not sprout out from between sidewalk cracks to strangle unsuspecting passers-by.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by Herr Surth on Sat, 25 Apr 2009 19:37:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nukelt15 wrote on Sat, 25 April 2009 12:32society would not go down the shitter (it's already there) hum? explain yourself

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by Hitman on Sat, 25 Apr 2009 19:42:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

boy would i like some marijuana to cure my cold

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by cheesesoda on Sat, 25 Apr 2009 20:17:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hitman wrote on Sat, 25 April 2009 15:42boy would i like some marijuana to cure my cold And you should be able to have that right.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by Canadacdn on Sat, 25 Apr 2009 20:35:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

2GLOCK9S wrote on Sat, 25 April 2009 11:34Does anyone here think it should really be used for medical purposes? IMO we have enough pain killers. Don't get me wrong I want marijuana to be legalized, but this is just about Medical Marijuana. I think it's doing more harm than it is helping

because it has more carcinogens than cigarettes, if I'm not mistaken. So it's really not smart for doctors to be handing their patients cancer. If someone wants to use it as a pain killer that's fine, but it really doesn't make sense that they would let doctors do this.

Discuss

I don't even know where to begin correcting this.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by slosha on Sat, 25 Apr 2009 22:18:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cheesesoda wrote on Sat, 25 April 2009 13:11Discuss the topic or how wrong you are?

If you do a Google search, you can find sources that state smoking marijuana DOES NOT raise cancer risks. Oh, and want the first link that I got? Why, it's none other than the clearly unbalanced-to-the-right FOXNews.

http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,196678,00.html

Edit: Carcinogens are not definitively linked to cancer. Here's a Yahoo Questions link that is answered by an actually INFORMED person who doesn't talk out of their ass, and she even links to a couple of sites.

http://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20071210222717AAqh3q3

And last, but certainly not least, I would highly suggest you read up on www.norml.org -- Yes, it's incredibly biased, but it doesn't follow annoying government propaganda and actually uses common sense findings.

thanks for the info, don't be such an ass about it. I'm not a super big-head genius.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by SlikRik on Tue, 05 May 2009 08:08:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

2GLOCK9S wrote on Sat, 25 April 2009 12:34Does anyone here think it should really be used for medical purposes? IMO we have enough pain killers. Don't get me wrong I want marijuana to be legalized, but this is just about Medical Marijuana. I think it's doing more harm than it is helping because it has more carcinogens than cigarettes, if I'm not mistaken. So it's really not smart for doctors to be handing their patients cancer. If someone wants to use it as a pain killer that's fine, but it really doesn't make sense that they would let doctors do this.

Discuss

You've obviously never smoked weed.

Haven't checked RenForums in a few weeks, just saw this now...

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by <u>SSnipe</u> on Tue, 05 May 2009 14:19:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think also depends on whos smoking it (I dont know how how the could find out but anyways..)

My friends smoke and they become the most stupid fucking idots ever..just last night after smoking went swimming with there phone in there front pocket...but like my sister and her freind they smoke a lot but they just get calmed down and they still very very smart

so some people smoke and become stupid slow and usually a bitch while others just calm down and still smart as they was before...it all depends for me

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by cheesesoda on Tue, 05 May 2009 17:48:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SSnipe wrote on Tue, 05 May 2009 10:19I think also depends on whos smoking it (I dont know how how the could find out but anyways..)

My friends smoke and they become the most stupid fucking idots ever..just last night after smoking went swimming with there phone in there front pocket...but like my sister and her freind they smoke a lot but they just get calmed down and they still very very smart

so some people smoke and become stupid slow and usually a bitch while others just calm down and still smart as they was before...it all depends for me The same thing happens with alcohol, too. Same for caffeine and sugar. People have different

tolerances for substances and substances affects peoples' brains differently.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by slosha on Tue, 05 May 2009 20:00:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

SlikRik wrote on Tue, 05 May 2009 03:082GLOCK9S wrote on Sat, 25 April 2009 12:34Does anyone here think it should really be used for medical purposes? IMO we have enough pain killers. Don't get me wrong I want marijuana to be legalized, but this is just about Medical Marijuana. I think it's doing more harm than it is helping because it has more carcinogens than cigarettes, if I'm not mistaken. So it's really not smart for doctors to be handing their patients cancer. If someone wants to use it as a pain killer that's fine, but it really doesn't make sense that they would let doctors do this.

Discuss

You've obviously never smoked weed.

Haven't checked RenForums in a few weeks, just saw this now...

yeah i must never smoke.. shut the fuck up.. i'm just saying this shit isn't need for medical use.. it doesn't ease pain for me

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by luv2pb on Wed, 06 May 2009 18:49:46 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I want the government to get the tax money from it.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by nikki6ixx on Wed, 06 May 2009 19:01:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

luv2pb wrote on Wed, 06 May 2009 13:49I want the government to get the tax money from it.

In Canada, taxes from pot revenues would bring in over two billion dollars.

However, I think it should be heavily regulated, like cigarettes, hopefully putting much of its production in the hands of tobacco manufacturers.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by slosha on Wed, 06 May 2009 19:33:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nikki6ixx wrote on Wed, 06 May 2009 14:01luv2pb wrote on Wed, 06 May 2009 13:49I want the government to get the tax money from it.

In Canada, taxes from pot revenues would bring in over two billion dollars.

However, I think it should be heavily regulated, like cigarettes, hopefully putting much of its production in the hands of tobacco manufacturers.

no no no no no no!!!!!!!!!!! we cannot let marijuana be regulated by the damn government, they control enough already.. it should be distributed just like it is now.. i don't want to pay tax to get

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by nikki6ixx on Wed, 06 May 2009 19:41:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

2GLOCK9S wrote on Wed, 06 May 2009 14:33 no no no no no no no no!!!!!!!!!!! we cannot let marijuana be regulated by the damn government, they control enough already.. it should be distributed just like it is now.. i don't want to pay tax to get high, tax would be through the fucking roof.

But everything else is taxed, like tobacco, and liquor. Marijuana should be taxed because it's a luxury. The taxes could be put towards infrastructure, or even paying for a medicare plan that would subsidize pills for people that need pain medication.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by Doitle on Thu, 07 May 2009 01:10:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cheesesoda wrote on Sat, 25 April 2009 13:11 Carcinogens are not definitively linked to cancer.

Definition: A substance or agent known to cause cancer. ?

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by cheesesoda on Thu, 07 May 2009 01:23:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This isn't from any reputable source, but this sums up my thoughts on carcinogens, too...

Quote: The definition of carcinogens is ridiculous. In short, we cannot figure out what exactly causes cancer, so we just do some correlations. If you've been reading yahoo news recently, the overnight shift at work is also one of those things that could potentially cause cancer. Don't get me wrong, I'm NOT stating that smoking doesn't cause cancer, it's just the definition of carcinogens is one of those 'loaded words' that we like to stick on things so that people don't do it.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana

Posted by ballstein on Thu, 07 May 2009 05:06:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I personally think it should be legalized.....the bs propaganda movie Reefer Madness is the ONLY reason weed is illegal. The biggest scare tactic ever....I'd go on but this is about MM.

As far as that goes it's the first step in legalizing Marijuana imo. Studies have shown it to help relieve pressure caused by Glaucoma.

http://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20090423221216AAsl3ue&grp_name=m edicalmarijuanafreedom&grp_spid=1600854053&grp_cat=/Health____Wellness/Dr ugs_and_Medications/Marijuana/Legalization&grp_user=0check out the 2 links in the best answer answer....pretty interesting stuff....especially the 2nd one about the pharmaceutical companies studies.

But then again other studies have shown differently (based on the length of time THC relieves the pressure, and the side effects).

http://www.medem.com/medlib/article/ZZZXIEOMH4C http://www.richmondeye.com/eyehealth_drugs.asp

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by slosha on Fri, 08 May 2009 01:58:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

just don't let ur mom find it in the washer because u forgot to take it out of ur pants...

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by Genesis2001 on Fri, 08 May 2009 18:01:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The only reason I feel it should be legalized is the money that the government can make from it...The US can make a major attempt to get out of their (what was it?) 6 trillion dollar deficit? or whatever it is currently.

That's just my opinion after hearing on the radio that some border patrol agents confescated about \$600,000 worth of marajuana in the desert here...

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by Herr Surth on Fri, 08 May 2009 18:17:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by cheesesoda on Fri, 08 May 2009 22:40:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Zack wrote on Fri, 08 May 2009 14:01The only reason I feel it should be legalized is the money that the government can make from it...The US can make a major attempt to get out of their (what was it?) 6 trillion dollar deficit? or whatever it is currently.

That's just my opinion after hearing on the radio that some border patrol agents confescated about \$600,000 worth of marajuana in the desert here... You don't care about individual liberty... just the tax revenue... nice.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by slosha on Sat, 09 May 2009 02:26:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cheesesoda wrote on Fri, 08 May 2009 17:40Zack wrote on Fri, 08 May 2009 14:01The only reason I feel it should be legalized is the money that the government can make from it...The US can make a major attempt to get out of their (what was it?) 6 trillion dollar deficit? or whatever it is currently.

That's just my opinion after hearing on the radio that some border patrol agents confescated about \$600,000 worth of marajuana in the desert here...

You don't care about individual liberty... just the tax revenue... nice.

who knows how high the tax would be.. obviously some drugs are bad, but marijuana doesn't make some1 go fucking crazy and kill somebody... i don't see what the big effin deal about it is.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by nikki6ixx on Sat, 09 May 2009 03:45:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

2GLOCK9S wrote on Fri, 08 May 2009 21:26 who knows how high the tax would be.. obviously some drugs are bad, but marijuana doesn't make some1 go fucking crazy and kill somebody... i don't see what the big effin deal about it is.

Neither do alcohol or tobacco, but because they are things you don't need (luxuries), you should pay a tax on them.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana

Posted by AmunRa on Sat, 09 May 2009 14:40:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nikki6ixx wrote on Fri, 08 May 2009 23:452GLOCK9S wrote on Fri, 08 May 2009 21:26 who knows how high the tax would be.. obviously some drugs are bad, but marijuana doesn't make some1 go fucking crazy and kill somebody... i don't see what the big effin deal about it is.

Neither do alcohol or tobacco, but because they are things you don't need (luxuries), you should pay a tax on them.

alcohol could make someone go crazy and kill someone

My position on this is, it has a good few medical properties, obviously, I can't remember them off the top of my head, but it should be decriminalized or legalized nationally. The US Government needs to get its stupid fucking head out of its ass.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by Gen_Blacky on Tue, 12 May 2009 05:16:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I really don't see how weed helps cancer patients or help with any pain related issues. I smoke weed almost everyday and it never has stopped a headache or relive any other type of pain.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by slosha on Tue, 12 May 2009 19:41:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Gen_Blacky wrote on Tue, 12 May 2009 00:16l really don't see how weed helps cancer patients or help with any pain related issues. I smoke weed almost everyday and it never has stopped a headache or relive any other type of pain.

I only smoke on the weekends... but that's during the school year. I can't say I've every had a headache before smoking, and have never really done anything to hurt myself, I like to chill.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by Genesis2001 on Wed, 13 May 2009 01:16:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cheesesoda wrote on Fri, 08 May 2009 15:40Zack wrote on Fri, 08 May 2009 14:01The only reason I feel it should be legalized is the money that the government can make from it...The US can make a major attempt to get out of their (what was it?) 6 trillion dollar deficit? or whatever it is currently.

That's just my opinion after hearing on the radio that some border patrol agents confescated about \$600,000 worth of marajuana in the desert here...

You don't care about individual liberty... just the tax revenue... nice.

I'm wishy-washy. I'm for it being legalized because of the tax revenue. I'm against it because it would be abused... Example: Alcohol.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by cheesesoda on Wed, 13 May 2009 02:27:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Zack wrote on Tue, 12 May 2009 21:16cheesesoda wrote on Fri, 08 May 2009 15:40Zack wrote on Fri, 08 May 2009 14:01The only reason I feel it should be legalized is the money that the government can make from it...The US can make a major attempt to get out of their (what was it?) 6 trillion dollar deficit? or whatever it is currently.

That's just my opinion after hearing on the radio that some border patrol agents confescated about \$600,000 worth of marajuana in the desert here...

You don't care about individual liberty... just the tax revenue... nice.

I'm wishy-washy. I'm for it being legalized because of the tax revenue. I'm against it because it would be abused... Example: Alcohol.

Who cares if it's abused? It's not your (or my) choice to tell others how to moderate their usage of any substance, drug or otherwise. As long as their usage doesn't impede on the rights of others, it should not be regulated. Should we start telling people how much food they can eat, too? How much they can be outside? How much tobacco they're allowed to smoke? People die from cancer and heart disease (brought on by obesity) more than they do from alcohol.

Besides, alcoholism was WORSE during Prohibition. The same principle should be applied to this War on Drugs. Drugs are more dangerous when you can't go to a reliable source because anybody who deals illegally probably isn't as trustworthy as your neighborhood pharmacy.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by Genesis2001 on Wed, 13 May 2009 02:56:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Drugs (not all however) cause your inhibitions to be lowered. The same thing alcohol does to your body; it lowers your inbititions. You are impaired the same/similar way by drugs as you are by alcohol.

As stated by AmunRa in this thread, Alcohol could/would make someone "go crazy". I'm sure we all have different definitions of the word 'crazy'. I'll use 'crazy' in this context as doing something that a sane(and sober) person wouldn't do.

Closest definition I could find to match the above1. mentally deranged; demented; insane. 6. Informal. unusual; bizarre; singular:

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by cheesesoda on Wed, 13 May 2009 03:35:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

sigh

I know, I know, a conservative/libertarian's favorite two-word phrase, "personal responsibility".

People are responsible for their actions, sober or intoxicated. If you are unsure of how you will handle a substance, do it in moderation or under supervision. If you know you are unstable while intoxicated, then it is up to YOU (not the government) to regulate YOURSELF.

Simply shooting up heroin, smoking crack, or drinking alcohol does not impede on someone else's rights. It's not a guarantee that I will impede on another's rights. Does being intoxicated make you more vulnerable to impeding on someone else's rights? Sure, but I refer you back to my previous paragraph.

Besides, most of the people who would be more likely to abuse substances are already abusing them. Just like with gun control (and any other senseless government regulation), you're only preventing the responsible users/owners from access. You're doing nothing to prevent abuse.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by Herr Surth on Wed, 13 May 2009 11:50:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Zack wrote on Tue, 12 May 2009 21:56Drugs (not all however) cause your inhibitions to be lowered. The same thing alcohol does to your body; it lowers your inbititions. You are impaired the same/similar way by drugs as you are by alcohol.

As stated by AmunRa in this thread, Alcohol could/would make someone "go crazy". I'm sure we all have different definitions of the word 'crazy'. I'll use 'crazy' in this context as doing something that a sane(and sober) person wouldn't do.

Closest definition I could find to match the above1. mentally deranged; demented; insane. 6. Informal. unusual; bizarre; singular:

Source

http://www.time.com/time/health/article/0,8599,1893946,00.html why are we still debating this?

Zack wrote on Tue, 12 May 2009 22:56Drugs (not all however) cause your inhibitions to be lowered. The same thing alcohol does to your body; it lowers your inbititions. You are impaired the same/similar way by drugs as you are by alcohol.

As stated by AmunRa in this thread, Alcohol could/would make someone "go crazy". I'm sure we all have different definitions of the word 'crazy'. I'll use 'crazy' in this context as doing something that a sane(and sober) person wouldn't do.

Closest definition I could find to match the above1. mentally deranged; demented; insane. 6. Informal. unusual; bizarre; singular:

Source I was speaking from personal experience when I said that, btw.

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by renohol on Fri, 15 May 2009 07:43:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

2GLOCK9S wrote on Sat, 25 April 2009 09:34Does anyone here think it should really be used for medical purposes? IMO we have enough pain killers. Don't get me wrong I want marijuana to be legalized, but this is just about Medical Marijuana. I think it's doing more harm than it is helping because it has more carcinogens than cigarettes, if I'm not mistaken. So it's really not smart for doctors to be handing their patients cancer. If someone wants to use it as a pain killer that's fine, but it really doesn't make sense that they would let doctors do this.

Discuss

Sadly, as the great Jim Morrison said, "No ONE HERE Gets OUT ALIVE", it almost boils down to "Pick your Poison", if you suffer from physical or mental pain. Unfortunatley most of us will suffer from both throughout our lives as I should know being 40 years old and having worked manual labor. But to get right to your question, I first decided to check and see if your statement that we have enough pain killers is true and by walking down the ile of the local drug lord, the drug store, I saw a huge variety of pain killers and figured that there is more than enuf, but I was still courius about pain killers that actually kill REAL pain, so I went web surfing and found this site:

http://www.lasvegassun.com/news/2008/jul/06/painful-truth-about-painkillers/

"I think it's doing more harm than it is helping"

I wanted to see if other pain killers with the strength to kill more pain than the mild head or tooth ache did less harm and was apolled! Quotes from article for those who dont want to read it all or r scared that links contain malware...

More people in Clark County die of prescription narcotics overdoses than of overdoses of illicit drugs or from vehicle accidents.

The National Institute on Drug Abuse reports the number of opiate prescriptions escalated from about 40 million in 1991 to 180 million in 2007 — a 350 percent increase at a time when the nation's population increased by 19 percent.

. In 1997, the Food and Drug Administration allowed drug companies to hype their brand-name medicines directly to consumers, which has helped remove any stigma attached to their use. Doctors say patients are now demanding drugs by name.

"So it's really not smart for doctors to be handing their patients cancer."

http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs297/en/index.html

Cancer arises from one single cell. The transformation from a normal cell into a tumour cell is a multistage process, typically a progression from a pre-cancerous lesion to malignant tumours. These changes are the result of the interaction between a person's genetic factors and three categories of external agents, including:

•physical carcinogens, such as ultraviolet and ionizing radiation
•chemical carcinogens, such as asbestos, components of tobacco smoke, aflatoxin (a food contaminant) and arsenic (a drinking water contaminant)
•biological carcinogens, such as infections from certain viruses, bacteria or parasites. Some examples of infections associated with certain cancers:

•Viruses: hepatitis B and liver cancer, Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) and cervical cancer, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and Kaposi sarcoma.

•Bacteria: Helicobacter pylori and stomach cancer.

•Parasites: schistosomiasis and bladder cancer.

Ageing is another fundamental factor for the development of cancer. The incidence of cancer rises dramatically with age, most likely due to a buildup of risks for specific cancers that increase with age. The overall risk accumulation is combined with the tendency for cellular repair mechanisms to be less effective as a person grows older.

"If someone wants to use it as a pain killer that's fine, but it really doesn't make sense that they would let doctors do this."

I think that even our most retarted, IoI, or retarded persons like me may even partially believe that smoke may have the .00001% potential to cause a lung cell to mutate and become cancerous but we all know its fictious like that "Magical animal that gives us Bacon, Ham, and Porck Chops." Dream on Lisa!

In closing, I shall leave u a list of just how much "legit" drug companies make off our pain and if they weren't so greedy they would spend a little more of that cash stamping out any compition like the evil, brainwashing, terrorist supporting, axe murdering causing, weed that, as a 1920's piece of propaganda hate literture spewed, "Allows big lipped Negros along with their "Jazz" music to seduce white women"...anyways, I will cover why a human needs to "gain" a prescrition in the first place to grow a plant that kills pain.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_pharmaceutical_companies

Subject: Re: Medical Marijuana Posted by renohol on Fri, 15 May 2009 08:24:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.naihc.org/hemp_information/hemp_facts.html

George Washington and Thomas Jefferson both grew hemp. Ben Franklin owned a mill that made hemp paper. Jefferson drafted the Declaration of Independence on hemp paper.

Hemp is english for the word marijuana which is strangely the word we use here in English speaking America.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legal_history_of_marijuana_in_the_United_States

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comprehensive_Drug_Abuse_Prevention_and_Control_Act _of_1970

basically it boils down to the Golden rule, "He who has the Gold makes the Rules."

So why should we need to gain a note from a Doctor, which by the way has no meaning to Obama and his Drug Czar and his Stormtroopers.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Czar

My belief is basic greed. Why should people let other people grow a plant that kills pain for free when they can force them to pay over \$60 for an eigth of an once of the product? Disagree, let's hear it!

Page 14 of 14 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums