Subject: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 01:22:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So ive just installed Win7 and I was wondering if anyone else has and if so what do you make of it? How would you compare it to XP and then how would you compare it to Vista?

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Dave Anderson on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 01:29:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From what I have seen and read, I can say the following:

- I am displeased with the new shell
- I am displeased with the new taskbar
- They are trying to make simple things more complex (wordpad for example)

I'll stick with Server '08 for now.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Doitle on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 03:00:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Did you "acquire" a beta release of it or something?

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Lone0001 on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 03:21:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm guessing he downloaded Windows 7 off a torrent, because the only legal way(afaik) to get it was to be at PDC 2008, which was near the end of October.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by MGamer on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 03:52:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

whats so great about this new OS? it looks like vista

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Lone0001 on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 07:41:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It's supposed to be more stable than Vista and requires less than Vista(from what I've heard), they are also making a new version of paint and making a complete new version of the Calculator, tbh I would be interested to see the final product(for once).

PS. Pretty much anything you need to know about it, look here: http://www.winsupersite.com/win7/

PSS. Windows 7 is not the 7th version of Windows for those thinking it is just because it's called that.

"No matter how you count it, Windows 7 is not the seventh release of Windows." (http://www.winsupersite.com/showcase/win7\_name.asp).

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Dave Anderson on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 08:24:59 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Windows 7 is version (Major) 7. Vista=6, XP=5.

Versioning=Major.Minor.Build.Revision.

Quote:In any event, Microsoft is positioning Windows 7 as a major release of the OS though the company has yet to justify that description.

3.1 (base), 95 (build differentiation), 98 (build differentiation), = Major 3 2000 (base), ME (build differentiation), NT (build differentiation) = Major 4 XP (base) = Major 5 Vista (base) = Major 6 7 (base) = Major 7

I'm probably off a bit, but still. The creator of that site isn't one to question the developers making Windows. They have every right to version it how they want to.

The reason some will probably say its not justifyble to be a major release change is that its meant to be more of an upgrade in a sense that Microsoft is targeting Windows 7 at being fully compatible with previous drivers from Vista (among many other reasons). What you won't here about on the public side of things from ordinary people is about the deep details of Microsoft Cloud Services which is designed to bring an entirely new user experience. I'm sure Microsoft has a few other things up their sleeve at this point, but I won't go into my personal opinions in that aspect.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Lone0001 on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 08:36:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Windows 7(Version Wise) is 6.1(Microsoft said themselves somewhere and Vista was 6.0), but they just decided to slap on the 7.

Posted by nikki6ixx on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 09:08:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I've been buying MSFT for a while, and I'm looking forward to Windows 7's release. The fact that it can run very efficiently on 1GB of RAM, and a 1GHz processor (aka VIA powered netbook) warms the cockles of my heart. It means that Microsoft is indeed listening to their customers, and that they are taking the competition seriously, now, so we'll all win.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by JPNOD on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 10:19:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Haven't downloaded it yet. Once I finished my Linux course ile take a look at the new Microsoft stuff.

I must say, I haven't really used Vista as main OS. Just in virtual machine. And I noticed the huge memory/resource hog it was. It didn't even make it to one of my desktops.

So far Windows 7 looks alot more promising then Windows Vista.

One thing: Windows 7 and Intel Core I7 concidence

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 12:43:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ok so the I dont really care if this is the 7th version of windows or not and its not what I was asking. I was asking if people have installed it and what they thought of it.

My first impressions were that it was exactly like vista. I installed the Win7 Basic Edition and it looks just like my friends Vista basic. The new taskbar I like but I still prefer the old XP style probably because its what im use to.

Wordpad looks like a cut down version of Microsoft Word 2007 which is ugly.

It doesn't seem to like mIRC and prompts up an error when you close it down but thats to be expected. Its wifi detection is horrid, one moment it finds the connection and I have internet and the next it says its an unidentified connection and stops receiving packets.

Grrr this "oh I see a problem with your internet ill try and fix for you" is really annoying for 2 reasons; 1) it doesn't work. 2) It lags real bad.

Internet Explorer 8 seems a lot easier and faster to use than Explorer 6. I never did bother to install 7 since I heard it was useless.

Here are a few SS's I have taken. If you would like to know what XYZ looks like please do ask and ill take a SS.

Posted by danpaul88 on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 12:50:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Windows 7 is still in pre-beta, so forming opinions of it at this early stage is utterly pointless, as everything could change between now and release. Hence why I see no point in actually obtaining it, since what you get now in no way reflects the final product.

But I must say I am looking forward to the new taskbar, and I personally think the new Wordpad looks a lot better than the old one, even though it does take up a shitload of screen space for a toolbar.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 13:10:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah you're correct, there is no guarantee that what I am using now will even remotely look and feel how the finished product does but 9 times out of 10 it is. Im expecting things not to work, I means its a beta so obviously its not going to work however things like the wordpad which have been stupidly stupefied so even a 2 year old can use it is a real put off for me. The same can be said for paint. I know this is in its early stages of development however it just feels like vista. Which for me and probably many others is a turn off... Take Solitaire for an example they had to make it look all pretty.. I just want to play the game not require a supercomputer to load the graphics for it. Thats what I hate about vista/this beta.

I just loaded the CPU/Ram usage gadget which is great however, when I drag the gadget to move it it uses 18% of processing power to do so. (That isn't what XP is detecting with taskman, its what Win7 is detecting)

Posted by danpaul88 on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 14:11:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Personally I like the look and feel of Vista...

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 14:20:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Can you explain a little more about what you like? I can give you a life story about what I dont like about it but before I get into that i'd like to hear what you like about it. I want to compare it to Win7.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Muad Dib15 on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 15:15:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't like IE8, ever since I downloaded it, our computer has been running much slower. About the only download speed has improved since we got a higher internet connection.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by danpaul88 on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 16:10:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Caveman wrote on Sun, 16 November 2008 14:20Can you explain a little more about what you like? I can give you a life story about what I dont like about it but before I get into that i'd like to hear what you like about it. I want to compare it to Win7.

No one particular thing really, I just like the colour scheme and overall package better than any previous version of Windows. I suppose a good example would be the All Programs shortcuts not using up the whole bloody screen just because it has a lot of items on it, and instead scrolling within the Windows menu.

I often get the impression that people say they hate Vista simply because they have heard someone else say they hate it.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Nukelt15 on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 18:41:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I will not upgrade unless:

- A. There is a minimum of obnoxious hand-holding in both the OS and its associated applications, or at least a simple, intuitive way to disable the hand-holding 'features' completely.
- B. The system requirements are reasonable.
- C. The DRM is no more obnoxious than XP's before WGAN appeared.
- D. There is a minimum of latency in performing basic tasks such as opening folders, copying files, and deleting files.
- E. I do not have to click through umpteen warnings and notifications to install a program or change a setting.
- F. There is built-in support for at the minimum applications dating to Win2k.

I very much doubt I will be upgrading again, ever.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by SSnipe on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 18:46:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If it runs better then vista im down,

I got stupid services and processes and only 2 start up programs disabled themes and everything else taking up shit i don't need and still runs like crap idk why

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 19:19:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nukelt15 wrote on Sun, 16 November 2008 18:41I will not upgrade unless:

- A. There is a minimum of obnoxious hand-holding in both the OS and its associated applications, or at least a simple, intuitive way to disable the hand-holding 'features' completely.
- B. The system requirements are reasonable.
- C. The DRM is no more obnoxious than XP's before WGAN appeared.
- D. There is a minimum of latency in performing basic tasks such as opening folders, copying files, and deleting files.
- E. I do not have to click through umpteen warnings and notifications to install a program or change a setting.

F. There is built-in support for at the minimum applications dating to Win2k.

I very much doubt I will be upgrading again, ever.

- A) Ive read that this will be possible
- B) Requirements so far seem better then vista.
- C) Not too sure on that
- D) Things seem to open instantaneously, copying files from drive to drive seems to be as quick as XP.
- E) Refer to A)
- F) Can't comment on

Edit:

While opening files and copying seems to be alright, its taken me 10mins to install MSN Live. I dont know whether thats normal since I use 7.5 normally but its has taken quite a long time to install.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by BlueThen on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 19:45:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nukelt15 wrote on Sun, 16 November 2008 18:41

F. There is built-in support for at the minimum applications dating to Win2k.

I read an article mentioning that Windows 7 is built off of Windows Vista engine, making it compatible with everything that worked with Vista. Probably not as good as you'd like, but it's something.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Ma1kel on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 20:17:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'll stick to Ubuntu.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Ethenal on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 21:33:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Caveman wrote on Sun, 16 November 2008 13:19Edit:

While opening files and copying seems to be alright, its taken me 10mins to install MSN Live. I dont know whether thats normal since I use 7.5 normally but its has taken quite a long time to install.

Windows Live always takes forever to install for no particular reason as far as I can tell.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 21:41:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ethenal wrote on Sun, 16 November 2008 21:33Caveman wrote on Sun, 16 November 2008 13:19Edit:

While opening files and copying seems to be alright, its taken me 10mins to install MSN Live. I dont know whether thats normal since I use 7.5 normally but its has taken quite a long time to install.

Windows Live always takes forever to install for no particular reason as far as I can tell.

As I said I wasn't too sure since ive never installed it before. But it really did take 10minutes install.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Lone0001 on Sun, 16 Nov 2008 21:54:06 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would really only use Win7 if I was forced to(if it came with the computer) or I would just prefer using XP, Vista is too unstable imo, and I did try to live with that annoying UAC but at one point it stopped me from extracting files to a location so I have it turned off again.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by saberhawk on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 01:36:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Lone0001 wrote on Sun, 16 November 2008 15:54I would really only use Win7 if I was forced to (if it came with the computer) or I would just prefer using XP, Vista is too unstable imo, and I did try to live with that annoying UAC but at one point it stopped me from extracting files to a location so I have it turned off again.

Are there really so few people that have no problems with Vista? My copy has worked almost flawlessly, the \*only\* issue/BSOD that arose was with a sloppy NVIDIA sound card driver.

XP was just horrible here, constantly crashing and having serious slowdowns. Nevermind the lack of any basic networking drivers that work with my hardware, so after each "clean install" I'd be screwed until I found a driver disk. I'm never going back.

Posted by Dave Anderson on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 02:39:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I've used Vista Business x86 and x64, and I am now on Server 2008 x64. Used all of these "extensively", and I have had 'zero' problems with the 6.~ operating system series. When I here people trash Vista, it really is because they have a few problems with their computer. The major causes being unsupported hardware or lack of hardware. The funny part being: developers, or the like, are some of the most destructive users to their environments, yet have the least problems.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Carrierll on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 16:11:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I use both Vista and XP and see no reason to switch from either... (my own PC uses Vista and I sometimes use the family XP one)

Only things that haven't worked with Vista:

- 1) System Shock 2 TBH, i expected that, it was a little difficult to get it to run on XP...
- 2) More importantly: network printing from here to the Epson Stylus D88+ hosted on the family XP machine. This. Has. Never. Worked. It won't work from Vista Premium 32 and 64 bit and Vista basic 32 bit.

Vista is a lot of fun, and quite stable. (Does flip 3D for no good reason... heheehhehe, pretty...)

You're right, I develop software (in my infrequent spare time) and Vista is preferable.

As I've got a quad core and 2GB of RAM, performance was never going to be an issue, so I don't mind if Vista swallows up more CPU cycles than it should, I've got plenty.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by danpaul88 on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 17:30:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Saberhawk wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 01:36Are there really so few people that have no problems with Vista? My copy has worked almost flawlessly

Ditto. I often think people hate Vista just because other people do and they just follow the crowd like sheep.

Dave Anderson wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 02:39The funny part being: developers, or the

like, are some of the most destructive users to their environments, yet have the least problems.

Very true!

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 17:38:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

danpaul88 wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 17:30Saberhawk wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 01:36Are there really so few people that have no problems with Vista? My copy has worked almost flawlessly

Ditto. I often think people hate Vista just because other people do and they just follow the crowd like sheep.

Dave Anderson wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 02:39The funny part being: developers, or the like, are some of the most destructive users to their environments, yet have the least problems.

Very true!

I hate vista because it offers me nothing that XP doesn't yet it uses more harddrive space more ram more CPU. You play a game with XP and Vista on the same system and you get lower FPS with vista. Even if you have a decent computer.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by danpaul88 on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 17:43:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Vista has a lot of stuff XP does not, such as a half decent automatic backup system, even if it doesn't have much flexibility. Other things I quite like are the improved Windows menu, integrated Windows Update (and Microsoft Update if you opt-in to that) and superior reliability compared to XP in my personal experience.

I guess if you don't actually make use of the new features then no, Vista has nothing XP does not offer you. But, in the same way of thinking, does XP offer you anything that Windows 2000 didn't?

EDIT: And as for the FPS in games thing, I find Vista actually has a higher FPS in a lot of games than XP ever did. At launch Vista wasn't very good for gaming, but with all the updates since then it is on par with, if not better than, XP.

Posted by MGamer on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 17:43:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Caveman wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 11:38danpaul88 wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 17:30Saberhawk wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 01:36Are there really so few people that have no problems with Vista? My copy has worked almost flawlessly

Ditto. I often think people hate Vista just because other people do and they just follow the crowd like sheep.

Dave Anderson wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 02:39The funny part being: developers, or the like, are some of the most destructive users to their environments, yet have the least problems.

Very true!

I hate vista because it offers me nothing that XP doesn't yet it uses more harddrive space more ram more CPU. You play a game with XP and Vista on the same system and you get lower FPS with vista. Even if you have a decent computer.

you can disable some of the ram consuming features

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 17:59:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

danpaul88 wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 17:43Vista has a lot of stuff XP does not, such as a half decent automatic backup system, even if it doesn't have much flexibility. Other things I quite like are the improved Windows menu, integrated Windows Update (and Microsoft Update if you opt-in to that) and superior reliability compared to XP in my personal experience.

I guess if you don't actually make use of the new features then no, Vista has nothing XP does not offer you. But, in the same way of thinking, does XP offer you anything that Windows 2000 didn't?

EDIT: And as for the FPS in games thing, I find Vista actually has a higher FPS in a lot of games than XP ever did. At launch Vista wasn't very good for gaming, but with all the updates since then it is on par with, if not better than, XP.

Well yeah its down to what people use. I dont need an automatic backup system. I only backup really important things onto a pen drive. The only other time I backup files is when im formatting and I dont want to have to readd my favs so I copy them. Improved menu? I dont call a flash, resource hungry menu an improved one. Im not one for auto updates, the last time I let windows

update itself it downloaded a dodgy svchost and it took out my system, I was forced to format.

XP never crashes on me. I haven't had a BSOD in years that wasn't down to me. For example, overclocking my graphics card and windows didn't like the memory speed I set and BSOD'd midgame. I actually find that XP is very very stable. Less can be said for when I used vista, it locked up, refused to recognise hardware. I cannot compare XP to 2K since I never used it however I can compare it to 98SE... Ithink we all can.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Genesis2001 on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 19:09:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

danpaul88 wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 10:30Saberhawk wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 01:36Are there really so few people that have no problems with Vista? My copy has worked almost flawlessly

Ditto. I often think people hate Vista just because other people do and they just follow the crowd like sheep.

Much like everyone says they hate EA for when they've made good games? o.0?

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by danpaul88 on Mon. 17 Nov 2008 19:25:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Caveman wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 17:59I cannot compare XP to 2K since I never used it however I can compare it to 98SE... Ithink we all can.

98SE was the part of the 9x series of Windows, built on a completely different kernel. Comparing any of the 9x series with the NT series is kind of pointless since they are completely different codebases.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 19:45:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It was just an example, maybe a bad one. I can't compare 2K with XP. However I can compare it to vista and XP wins all the way.

Subject: Re: Windows7

## Posted by Dave Anderson on Tue, 18 Nov 2008 01:37:52 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:XP never crashes on me. I haven't had a BSOD in years that wasn't down to me. For example, overclocking my graphics card and windows didn't like the memory speed I set and BSOD'd midgame. I actually find that XP is very very stable. Less can be said for when I used vista, it locked up, refused to recognise hardware. I cannot compare XP to 2K since I never used it however I can compare it to 98SE... Ithink we all can.

Quote:When I here people trash Vista, it really is because they have a few problems with their computer. The major causes being unsupported hardware or lack of hardware.

A very poor metaphorical answer would be a house lock and house key. If you changed the locks to your house, you wouldn't get upset that your old house key is 'unsupported' by the new lock, right? Or if you tried to 'overclock' your key to work in the new lock, and it fit, but failed.. A very poor example indeed, but I think you should understand what I mean.

While seeing "Vista Certified" hardware does seem a bit cheesy, there is a reason its labeled so. You never saw XP "certified" hardware because there weren't so many drastic changes in the way things 'ticked'. In 6.~ there were quite a bit of changes, so the hardware industry had to play "research, try, fail, try again and succeed".

I'm not trying to down your personal opinions on Windows Vista, that's not my game. When it comes down to it though, a average end user cannot validly compare the stability of the operating system, merely since their views are mostly based on third party applications that crash, or old outdated hardware, or the lack of hardware. And no, I'm not saying you're just an 'average' user either; just using generalizations.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by mrãçÄ·z on Tue, 18 Nov 2008 11:39:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

GO XP!

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Tue, 18 Nov 2008 12:47:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dave Anderson wrote on Tue, 18 November 2008 01:37Quote:XP never crashes on me. I haven't had a BSOD in years that wasn't down to me. For example, overclocking my graphics card and windows didn't like the memory speed I set and BSOD'd midgame. I actually find that XP is very very stable. Less can be said for when I used vista, it locked up, refused to recognise hardware. I cannot compare XP to 2K since I never used it however I can compare it to 98SE... Ithink we all can.

Quote: When I here people trash Vista, it really is because they have a few problems with their

computer. The major causes being unsupported hardware or lack of hardware.

A very poor metaphorical answer would be a house lock and house key. If you changed the locks to your house, you wouldn't get upset that your old house key is 'unsupported' by the new lock, right? Or if you tried to 'overclock' your key to work in the new lock, and it fit, but failed.. A very poor example indeed, but I think you should understand what I mean.

While seeing "Vista Certified" hardware does seem a bit cheesy, there is a reason its labeled so. You never saw XP "certified" hardware because there weren't so many drastic changes in the way things 'ticked'. In 6.~ there were quite a bit of changes, so the hardware industry had to play "research, try, fail, try again and succeed".

I'm not trying to down your personal opinions on Windows Vista, that's not my game. When it comes down to it though, a average end user cannot validly compare the stability of the operating system, merely since their views are mostly based on third party applications that crash, or old outdated hardware, or the lack of hardware. And no, I'm not saying you're just an 'average' user either; just using generalizations.

Going with your example. Yes I made my XP crash because of something I did. If I didn't change the lock on my door my key would fit... However, I have never had any problems using my key in my normal lock. When I installed vista, my key often snapped or refused to engage the pins on the lock, that is why I dislike vista.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by saberhawk on Tue, 18 Nov 2008 16:14:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Caveman wrote on Tue, 18 November 2008 06:47

Going with your example. Yes I made my XP crash because of something I did. If I didn't change the lock on my door my key would fit... However, I have never had any problems using my key in my normal lock. When I installed vista, my key often snapped or refused to engage the pins on the lock, that is why I dislike vista.

And yet, when your key kept on snapping, you went and got the exact same incompatible type of key and expected it to not to snap instead of finding a compatible one.

Vista's kernel version is 6.0, XP's is 5.1 and 2000's is 5.0. The last major kernel version increase was from NT4 to Windows 2000 and that broke ALOT more drivers/programs. Heck, the last major OS switch for the consumer side was ME to XP, which broke \*all\* the device drivers.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Genesis2001 on Tue, 18 Nov 2008 18:22:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

When I get a new computer in the next month or so, I'm probably going to get XP as the main OS and have a virtual installation of Vista and Windows7 to test/etc.

It's not that I don't like Vista; I just like XP because it's familiar. I may upgrade to Vista. I'm still undecided about that atm.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Tue, 18 Nov 2008 20:39:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Saberhawk wrote on Tue, 18 November 2008 16:14Caveman wrote on Tue, 18 November 2008 06:47

Going with your example. Yes I made my XP crash because of something I did. If I didn't change the lock on my door my key would fit... However, I have never had any problems using my key in my normal lock. When I installed vista, my key often snapped or refused to engage the pins on the lock, that is why I dislike vista.

And yet, when your key kept on snapping, you went and got the exact same incompatible type of key and expected it to not to snap instead of finding a compatible one.

Vista's kernel version is 6.0, XP's is 5.1 and 2000's is 5.0. The last major kernel version increase was from NT4 to Windows 2000 and that broke ALOT more drivers/programs. Heck, the last major OS switch for the consumer side was ME to XP, which broke \*all\* the device drivers.

Wrong, I went out and got the proper key for the lock and it still snapped or refused to work. I installed the right drivers, I did all I could and yet things still refused to work. I shouldn't have to find special drivers so that my pen drive that works on 98SE and XP but not vista. I shouldn't have to press 50 security buttons just to make a connection to my own router.

Vista for me fails, they were so determined on making it so simple for the average user, they made it a PITA for people that know not to install dodgy files you might get sent in junk mail and whatnot.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by danpaul88 on Tue, 18 Nov 2008 20:46:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Caveman wrote on Tue, 18 November 2008 20:39I shouldn't have to press 50 security buttons just to make a connection to my own router.

Turn UAC off then. Personally I like it, although an 'Always allow this action' button would be better. Windows 7 significantly improves on the UAC system by having a slider to set what sort of things to notify you about, and can be set not to question user actions, only those initiated by a third party program.

Posted by Carrierll on Tue, 18 Nov 2008 20:48:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I currently have it on because I suspect there's some nasty software on my system somewhere... (I was at a LAN party recently and some people do not look after their systems)

I like the idea of it only warning me on the actions of third party programs...

Yeah, UAC is mostly a bitch.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Ma1kel on Wed, 19 Nov 2008 12:39:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nice pirating, I hope you feel good about yourselves. Damn godless communist bastards.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by danpaul88 on Wed, 19 Nov 2008 14:32:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ma1kel wrote on Wed, 19 November 2008 12:39Nice pirating, I hope you feel good about yourselves. Damn godless communist bastards.

Read topic status: Failed.

Only one person in this topic has actually said they downloaded Windows 7, just because we are discussing it does not mean we have all downloaded it, therefore your use of the plural 'yourselves' and 'bastards' is unjustified.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by saberhawk on Wed, 19 Nov 2008 14:50:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

danpaul88 wrote on Wed, 19 November 2008 08:32Ma1kel wrote on Wed, 19 November 2008 12:39Nice pirating, I hope you feel good about yourselves. Damn godless communist bastards.

Read topic status: Failed.

Only one person in this topic has actually said they downloaded Windows 7, just because we are discussing it does not mean we have all downloaded it, therefore your use of the plural 'yourselves' and 'bastards' is unjustified.

Furthermore, having Windows 7 does not mean we illegally acquired it. Microsoft was giving away copies at PDC08

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Caveman on Wed, 19 Nov 2008 15:38:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ma1kel wrote on Wed, 19 November 2008 12:39Nice pirating, I hope you feel good about yourselves. Damn godless communist bastards.

Who said anything about pirating? I never once said I downloaded an illegal copy of it.

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by z310 on Thu, 20 Nov 2008 01:13:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ma1kel wrote on Wed, 19 November 2008 04:39Nice pirating, I hope you feel good about yourselves. Damn godless communist bastards. lol irony:0

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by Ma1kel on Thu, 20 Nov 2008 21:02:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

z310 wrote on Wed, 19 November 2008 21:13Ma1kel wrote on Wed, 19 November 2008 04:39Nice pirating, I hope you feel good about yourselves. Damn godless communist bastards. lol irony

You forced me to.