Subject: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Starbuzzz on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 19:18:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Damn TFD Generals won't work in my Vista...after some Googling around, it looks like some long-standing problem that was never fixed.

That sucks becuase my Vista rig is best I got. Any solutions? I want to play this real bad...

-pawky

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Zion on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 19:21:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Compatability settings?

Maybe forums relating to Generals in general?

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Starbuzzz on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 19:37:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tried pretty much everything...compatabiliy, folder ownership...except asking in Generals forums. I am doing that now...

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Starbuzzz on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 19:55:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

WOW fixed it! http://www.cncgeneralsworld.com/forums/topic.asp?TOPIC_ID=7451

That guy ^ is awesome. So, you have to create a options.ini file and put in in the Generals file that is in My Documents. And paste this in:

Quote:AntiAliasing = DrawScrollAnchor = GameSpyIPAddress = 0.0.0.0 Gamma = 50 IPAddress = 0.0.0.0 IdealStaticGameLOD = High LanguageFilter = false MoveScrollAnchor = MusicVolume = 55 Resolution = 800 600 Retaliation = yes SFX3DVolume = 79 SFXVolume = 71 ScrollFactor = 50 SendDelay = no StaticGameLOD = Low UseAlternateMouse = no UseDoubleClickAttackMove = no VoiceVolume = 70

lol...nice...

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by sadukar09 on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 19:57:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Try updating to 1.02, if that doesn't work, try 1.03 here. I think it's EA endorsed, so it should be ok.

Edit: Screw you! Too slow.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Starbuzzz on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 20:20:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

sadukar09 wrote on Mon, 21 July 2008 14:57Try updating to 1.02, if that doesn't work, try 1.03 here. I think it's EA endorsed, so it should be ok.

Edit: Screw you! Too slow.

lollololol....TY very much anyway! Well, yes, I have to get that patch too!

Zion wrote on Mon, 21 July 2008 14:21Compatability settings?

Maybe forums relating to Generals in general?

TY for teeling me to look in the Generals forums lol.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Zion on Tue, 22 Jul 2008 01:45:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by JoeBro on Mon, 28 Jul 2008 20:12:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

pawkyfox wrote on Mon, 21 July 2008 14:55WOW fixed it! http://www.cncgeneralsworld.com/forums/topic.asp?TOPIC_ID=7451

That guy ^ is awesome. So, you have to create a options.ini file and put in in the Generals file that is in My Documents. And paste this in:

Quote:AntiAliasing = DrawScrollAnchor = GameSpyIPAddress = 0.0.0.0 Gamma = 50IPAddress = 0.0.0.0IdealStaticGameLOD = High LanguageFilter = false MoveScrollAnchor = MusicVolume = 55Resolution = 800600Retaliation = yes SFX3DVolume = 79 SFXVolume = 71 ScrollFactor = 50 SendDelay = no StaticGameLOD = Low UseAlternateMouse = no UseDoubleClickAttackMove = no VoiceVolume = 70

lol...nice...

great job! lol I was worrying if I got a Vista I wouldn't be able to play Generals, and now my worrying is over!

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by cheesesoda on Mon, 28 Jul 2008 20:43:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Generals isn't fit to be played, period.

/troll (Post Count +1)

Page 3 of 14 ---- Generated from Command and Conguer: Renegade Official Forums

Shockwave is!!!111!!!

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Starbuzzz on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 00:16:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cheesesoda wrote on Mon, 28 July 2008 15:43Generals isn't fit to be played, period.

/troll (Post Count +1)

lol?

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Nukelt15 on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 00:30:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

A game that should never have been made and an OS that should never have been made... Can't imagine a better combination!

Seriously, though, Vista doesn't like working with anything older than itself. It's worse than XP was back when it first came out, and if anybody remembers those compatibility nightmares...

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by nikki6ixx on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 02:46:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I don't understand the hate towards Generals, as it is a very good game in and of itself.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Starbuzzz on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 02:57:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

nikki6ixx wrote on Mon, 28 July 2008 21:46I don't understand the hate towards Generals, as it is a very good game in and of itself.

Thank you my friend. Yes, Generals is a great game. Only zealous wannbe C&C fans keep bashing it. I am playing it right now and it is great I tell you. I do not yet know of any imbalances with the game units as I just started playing.

Who cares if there were not video scenes...every mission has a small animation sequence before it anyway. I found the HUD very easy to use and not up there in my face on the right side. All the buttons and upgrades and the tech tree buttons are right there in the HUD...and it is easy to use. Only the purists are having trouble with it...and that EA has made it.

EA is doing a damn good job with C&C I think, especially with the RTS titles.

Anyone not playing Generals are missing out on some good stuff...there are a lot of unique units in the game. The infantry units are awesome I think...my favorite so far is the Pathfinder sniper...

JoeBro wrote on Mon, 28 July 2008 15:12great job! lol I was worrying if I got a Vista I wouldn't be able to play Generals, and now my worrying is over!

If you play tell me your nick!! I still have not gone online in Generals...but I will get a nick soon.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by sadukar09 on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 11:51:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No, EA's not doing good with RTS, Generals is good, but it should not be named, *C&C*. And, that's just generic Starcraft HUD.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by cheesesoda on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 13:19:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

pawkyfoxThank you my friend. Yes, Generals is a great game. Only zealous wannbe C&C fans keep bashing it. I am playing it right now and it is great I tell you. I do not yet know of any imbalances with the game units as I just started playing.

If someone is obviously pretending to be something that they're not, do you hang out with them? Most likely, you wouldn't.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Nukelt15 on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 14:04:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It shouldn't be called C&C... but I dislike and don't play it for a simpler reason- I tried it and hated it.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by JoeBro on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 14:18:17 GMT pawkyfox wrote on Mon, 28 July 2008 21:57nikki6ixx wrote on Mon, 28 July 2008 21:46I don't understand the hate towards Generals, as it is a very good game in and of itself.

Thank you my friend. Yes, Generals is a great game. Only zealous wannbe C&C fans keep bashing it. I am playing it right now and it is great I tell you. I do not yet know of any imbalances with the game units as I just started playing.

Who cares if there were not video scenes...every mission has a small animation sequence before it anyway. I found the HUD very easy to use and not up there in my face on the right side. All the buttons and upgrades and the tech tree buttons are right there in the HUD...and it is easy to use. Only the purists are having trouble with it...and that EA has made it.

EA is doing a damn good job with C&C I think, especially with the RTS titles.

Anyone not playing Generals are missing out on some good stuff...there are a lot of unique units in the game. The infantry units are awesome I think...my favorite so far is the Pathfinder sniper...

JoeBro wrote on Mon, 28 July 2008 15:12great job! lol I was worrying if I got a Vista I wouldn't be able to play Generals, and now my worrying is over!

If you play tell me your nick!! I still have not gone online in Generals...but I will get a nick soon.

Yes, Generals is a GREAT... no, AWESOME GAME!!! I don't know why many C&C fans have a problem with it. Why is it wrong for EA to try something different?! C'mon guys. I even read reviews about C&C3 about how repetitive it is. Generals is something completely different and I like different. Besides, Sci-Fi gets boring if you play it too long.

P.S. To Pawkyfox: you're the first 1 that asked me that! Iol. Anyway, my name is JoeBro or JoeJoeBro. Can't really remember. If you see me, tell me on the chat thing. Also, on Renegade, my name is WolfWarrior2 (foxes, wolves, what next? Iol). What's your name on Renegade (not web name. i mean when you're actually playing Renegade. people get that confused)? Bye!

P.S. like your attitude. cool lol (I just love saying lol lol lol lol lol)

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Nukelt15 on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 17:32:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote: Why is it wrong for EA to try something different?!

I may be talking out of my ass, but I believe it was the fact that it was EA doing something different that pissed so many people off. If Westwood had done something different I doubt there'd have been a peep about it.

The game lacks several features which, IMHO, made the true C&C games better- among them

being tech level limits, radio buttons to disable superweapons (let's be honest... superweapons become the whole point of the game whenever they show up), multi-engineer captures, no-base matches (anybody remember those?) and a host of other features. The build-anywhere approach to base construction changed the whole base building equation (allowing placement of defense structures near or within enemy bases without cross-the-map expansion or a local outpost- that worked for Starcraft, but not for Generals IMHO), the interface was vastly inferior to RA2's (which IMHO was just about perfect- if it had been mated to the original RA, TD, or even TS I'd still be having a non-stop nerdgasm), and the fact that it was released so quickly on the heels of WW's demise really doesn't endear it to the more rabid series fans.

All opinion, of course.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by nikki6ixx on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 19:15:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'll bet that if Red Alert 1 were released today, there'd be a near endless supply of people moaning about how the game is not a 'Command and Conquer' game, and shouldn't be a part of the franchise.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Starbuzzz on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 19:53:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

OMG IT HAS C&C ON IT BUT HAS NO TIBERIUM OR GDI OR NOD OMG OMG ITS A INFERIOR PRODUCT AND A LIE! SARCASM!!!!!!!

Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 10:04It shouldn't be called C&C... but I dislike and don't play it for a simpler reason- I tried it and hated it.

Why not sure it did not continue the storyline but you gotta remeber that the MINUTE WW decided to make C&C: Covert Operations...AT that point, Command & Conquer became a BRAND under which other games set in the original C&C universe were made.

That pretty much justifies the possiblity that you can make any game with any storyline and still put C&C on it. haha!

But you have tried the game and did not like it so you actually have a good reason to hate it.

Those who bash EA are those little kids who mindlessly jump on the bandwagon that appeals to themm. EVERYBODYS GETTING ON THE BANDWAGON SO I AM GETTING ON IT TOOO haha!!!!!!

And the sad part about it is that the facts gets all lost and smeared over the place. And we are left with 2 groups beating the poor strawman.

sadukar09 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 06:51No, EA's not doing good with RTS,

OK now you have to tell me in bulleted points why EA is not doing good with RTS

sadukar09 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 06:51And, that's just generic Starcraft HUD.

Sure the HUD drifted from previous practice but c'mon lol...but agreed that they could have stuck with the classic C&C hud.

Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 12:32The build-anywhere approach to base construction changed the whole base building equation (allowing placement of defense structures near or within enemy bases without cross-the-map expansion or a local outpost- that worked for Starcraft, but not for Generals IMHO),

LOL you can't simply build structures anywhere...you gotta send a Dozer or Worker first. And you can buy a Hummer or Gattling tank or Tehcnical to take out any incoming dozers/workers. Heck, this actually encourages people to get the heck out of base and explore and expand and attack quickly rather than just build a "OMG SEE MY BASE!!".. That's what is so much fun about this game...even the skirmish on Brutal AI is a lot of fun...

I am barely through this game so if there is a special in-game structuire or soemthing that allows you to build your stuff anywhere on the map without dozer/workers, then I don't know about it and you have a point.

JoeBro wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 09:18Yes, Generals is a GREAT... no, AWESOME GAME!!! I don't know why many C&C fans have a problem with it. Why is it wrong for EA to try something different?! C'mon guys. I even read reviews about C&C3 about how repetitive it is. Generals is something completely different and I like different. Besides, Sci-Fi gets boring if you play it too long.

P.S. To Pawkyfox: you're the first 1 that asked me that! Iol. Anyway, my name is JoeBro or JoeJoeBro. Can't really remember. If you see me, tell me on the chat thing. Also, on Renegade, my name is WolfWarrior2 (foxes, wolves, what next? Iol). What's your name on Renegade (not web name. i mean when you're actually playing Renegade. people get that confused)? Bye!

P.S. like your attitude. cool lol (I just love saying lol lol lol lol lol)

hehe glad to see another Generals fan...and yes, I lol a lot too. My Ren nick is pawkyfox...it is same as my web name too. And you see, Generals came in and cashed in the right time in the market when RTS gamers were looking for a game with modern units. Other modern RTS games did not do so well but Generals came and filled the gap...

Personally I like Generals a lot as I use to have trouble adjusting to playing in a 3D setting...it seemed very fantastic but also difficult to manage. But Generals eased me out on that one as I played so I think I am more adapted to playing 3D RTS games...I think I will reinstall C&C3 and actually enjoy it.

hehe...we have 2 new 3D RTS coming out lol StarCraft2 and RA3...

Oh yes muhahaha I, lol too much sometimes mods warn me not to lol too much. I think those mods lead sad lonely lives.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by JoeBro on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 20:28:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

pawkyfox wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 hehe glad to see another Generals fan...and yes, I lol a lot too.

My Ren nick is pawkyfox...it is same as my web name too.

And you see, Generals came in and cashed in the right time in the market when RTS gamers were looking for a game with modern units. Other modern RTS games did not do so well but Generals came and filled the gap...

Personally I like Generals a lot as I use to have trouble adjusting to playing in a 3D setting...it seemed very fantastic but also difficult to manage. But Generals eased me out on that one as I played so I think I am more adapted to playing 3D RTS games...I think I will reinstall C&C3 and actually enjoy it.

hehe...we have 2 new 3D RTS coming out lol StarCraft2 and RA3...

Oh yes muhahaha I ,lol too much sometimes mods warn me not to lol too much. I think those mods lead sad lonely lives.

By the way, what server do you play on? lol

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Starbuzzz on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 20:54:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Usually on Jelly AOW, St0rm AOW, and KOSs2 AOW...

Quote:LOL you can't simply build structures anywhere...you gotta send a Dozer or Worker first.

twitch

That's what MCVs are supposed to be for. Tech up, shell out the money, and you get this spiffy vehicle that goes anywhere you want it to and deploys into the foundation of a new base. Depending on the game settings in later titles, you could even pack it back up and move it elsewhere while continuing to expand that base. Every single C&C game save for Generals works that way, even C&C3 (which has the Outpost also, which is sort of MCV Lite- IMHO poorly implemented and too easy to acquire). Said vehicle is expensive, slow, and unable to defend itself. TS Firestorm even added the Mobile War Factory into the mix, along with other deployables that could be used to augment the new base's abilities- but they still had to be built remotely and moved into position. It worked very well this way, because nobody could sneak off with basic units and set up production ability or base defenses on their enemy's doorstep without putting considerable thought and effort into doing so.

With Generals, you can send an ACV, build a Barracks behind enemy lines, and pump out 20 or so Marines to go harass your enemy's resourcing op... whoops, I'm sorry, that's StarCraft. Or you could send the Probe- I mean, Dozer or Worker- and build a few proton cannons in good positions to intercept enemy forces just as they leave their own base. Whoops, that's StarCraft again! Silly me. Funny how the same tactics apply, isn't it? Trouble is, Generals didn't pull it off as well, and pretends to be two completely different RTS games at once. Is it C&C or (title here)Craft? It's neither and both, and it has more superweapons! That must make it good, right? The result is a game that plays like it has MPD with lots of pretty shiny toys where might always triumphs over strategy and tactics. I'd love to see a game that successfully blended C&C style play with 'Craft, but Generals didn't quite make it.

IMHO, of course.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Starbuzzz on Wed, 30 Jul 2008 05:44:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 19:48That's what MCVs are supposed to be for. Tech up, shell out the money, and you get this spiffy vehicle that goes anywhere you want it to and deploys into the foundation of a new base. Depending on the game settings in later titles, you could even pack it back up and move it elsewhere while continuing to expand that base. Every single C&C game save for Generals works that way, even C&C3 (which has the Outpost also, which is sort of MCV Lite- IMHO poorly implemented and too easy to acquire). Said vehicle is expensive, slow, and unable to defend itself. TS Firestorm even added the Mobile War Factory into the mix, along with other deployables that could be used to augment the new base's abilities- but they still had to be built remotely and moved into position.

Remember that Generals is a realistic military RTS...Generals is NOT a Sci-Fi RTS. It is a RTS that successfully filled an existing gap in the market when it came to RTS games with modern current military weapons...except Generals featured reimagined units.

It would be unwise to have some silly transformer like vehicle-building hybrid in a realistic military game like Generals. Dozers and workers are more realistic along with their ability to build anywhere IF they have accessibility to said area.

Also, dozers and workers are EASILY countered. If you ever seen the scores of highly skilled competitive players play 1v1 games in for example, RA2, you will see the first units they usually build is the Attack Dogs and lots of them: to QUICKLY scout territory, gain information on the enemy and hasteing their expansion of the map. You will also see them build basic infantry and set up patrols in a very wide area around their base...VERY important as if those far patrols are attacked, then you know the enemy is near.

The same applies in Generals..and you have overlooked the important factor here: skill

If you are skilled in what your doing, then you would not have to worry AT ALL about the enemy creaping up on you and building a Barracks...you would be the one telling him how to play...IF you are skilled enough.

Skill defines EVERYTHING...Skill determines who wins. You can pair up 2 complete n00bs in a 1v1 but the n00b with the higher skillset would win...very simple to understand. I can only imagine the embarrasing outcomes when a highly skilled player crushes someone inferior using EVERY bit of the game to his advantage.

ANY game provides a FAIR and LEVEL standard which you must have the skill to reach. If the game allows you to build anywhere, you do so if that is what it takes to win. Still having a problem? Build infantry and pair em up and start a patrol. Once you got a war factory going, build a couple Technicals or Hummers (divide em into groups and hot key them) and start a patrol. They wil lcome in handly even later in the game if your opponent decides to change strategy. Get Raptors and Mig patrols too once you have the resources...the idea is to maintain a vigiliant mighty presence in the map so that it the enemy reacting to your moves NOT you to him! There is a counter to everything.

Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 19:48lt worked very well this way, because nobody could sneak off with basic units and set up production ability or base defenses on their enemy's doorstep without putting considerable thought and effort into doing so.

In the same way, you can mass-produce GI's and even massproduce Soviet Conscripts in RA2 in the beggining of a match and rush all in...I have won a fair amount of games that way. I don't think that required considerable thought and effort...select all --> attack.

tbh and this is my opinion, mass-producing units in ANY C&C RTS usually results in victory. That cannot be done THAT successfully in StarCraft due to 2 types of dwindling resources and a host of other game mechanisms. This is why StarCraft still holds the honor of being an excellent RTS.

Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 19:48With Generals, you can send an ACV, build a Barracks behind enemy lines, and pump out 20 or so Marines to go harass your enemy's resourcing op... whoops, I'm sorry, that's StarCraft. Or you could send the Probe- I mean, Dozer or Worker- and build a few proton cannons in good positions to intercept enemy forces just as they leave their own base. Whoops, that's StarCraft again! Silly me. Funny how the same tactics apply, isn't it?

People across all industries have been influenced by each others ideas but I highly doubt EA was trying to copy anyone. Every FPS game could be traced back to the first ever FPS. Generals was executed differently in a style (atleaast when it came to some gameplay mechanisms) that was reminiscent of RTS games that came outside the norm of traditional C&C.

Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 19:48Trouble is, Generals didn't pull it off as well, and pretends to be two completely different RTS games at once.!

No c'mon Generals does not pretend to anything else but rather is accused of pretending to be something else just because a few gameplay mechanisms are similar. I belive Generals is a unique game of it's own...the most important word here is "Generals"

Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 19:48Is it C&C or (title here)Craft? It's neither and both, and it has more superweapons!

It has only 3 superweapons. Nuclear missile (classic C&C superweapon) for China, Scud Strom for GLA, and Particle Cannon for USA which is not that far off from GDI's Ion Cannon. superweapons are those that are a part of the eventual buildings you will get to as you advance the tech tree.

I would not classify any of the other "Generals Abilities" as superweapons....you know why? Simple: Because you have to EARN them. They don't come standard like the 3 individual superweapons after you go through the tech tree! You have to fight and earn the Generals Points through which you can get the other Generals Abilities like artillery strike, carpet bombing, A-10 bombing, etc.

Which leads to another very important point: if you have to fight to earn those Generals Abilities (which you call superweapons-they are not) then you also have the ability to DENY your opponent HIS ability to use his Generals Points: just YOU be the first one to strike and attack him so you get Promotions.

If you do that, then you would be the one getting promoted, points more rather than him. And if your opponent is the one giving you the lecture, then that means you can't earn promotions and thus your ability to get to those Generals Abilities is twarted. All the more reason to be the FIRST one to take the initiative on the battlefield. Once again, skill plays itself here.

This makes for a fast paced game that is very challenging as you not only have the tech tree to deal with, but also the Generals Abilities that you need to earn by striking first and fast. So you can see that it was not just some blind mix of powerful weapons thrown in to the bag.

Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 19:48That must make it good, right?

After I explained above, o yes......! And challenging too.

Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 19:48The result is a game that plays like it has MPD with lots of pretty shiny toys where might always triumphs over strategy and tactics.

I explained for most of the "toys" but pretty much in ANY RTS or game for that matter, once you got a giant bunch of units, you win. THAT is reality.

-Get 20 Battle Cruisers with Yamato guns in StarCraft = Game over. -Get 30 Apocalypse tanks in RA2 = game over -successful Med rushes on Ren anyone? = game over

I can go on...might always wins. that is the basic truth.

Which is why in RTS we have limiting factors like minerals, gas, credits, ore, supplies, to collect and a host of other gameplay mechanisms to make it more challenging.

Nukelt15 wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 19:48I'd love to see a game that successfully blended C&C style play with 'Craft, but Generals didn't quite make it.

Again, Generals was not trying to join C&C and StarCraft...only the fans imply that just because they found a few linking similarities. In C&C Generals is a great UNIQUE game that is overlooked and underrated for petty reasons.

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by sadukar09 on Wed, 30 Jul 2008 12:06:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Um, if it's a realistic RTS, Flash Bangs wouldn't kill people...it's to blind them. And Anthrax wouldn't be a liquid, it's a bacteria. And Radiation+Toxin wouldn't even affect U.S. and Chinese Tanks, as modern tanks have N.B.C. systems, if they don't...that's pathetic, 2020 doesn't have N.B.C.?

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by Starbuzzz on Wed, 30 Jul 2008 12:30:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

sadukar09 wrote on Wed, 30 July 2008 07:06Um, if it's a realistic RTS, Flash Bangs wouldn't kill people...it's to blind them. And Anthrax wouldn't be a liquid, it's a bacteria. And Radiation+Toxin wouldn't even affect U.S. and Chinese Tanks, as modern tanks have N.B.C. systems, if they don't...that's pathetic, 2020 doesn't have N.B.C.?

lol...who said it had to be realistic to the last bit of detail? You are grasping at straws here...

Subject: Re: Any Vista fix for Generals? Posted by JoeBro on Wed, 30 Jul 2008 13:07:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

pawkyfox wrote on Tue, 29 July 2008 15:54Usually on Jelly AOW, St0rm AOW, and KOSs2 AOW...

i usually play on AtomixGaming, Fnfalls Marathon Server, and n00bstories. u should try em' sometime. especially AtomixGaming lol

Page 14 of 14 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums