
Subject: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 09:49:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JPNOD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 00:38 Surth wrote on Tue, 13 May 2008 15:21 I think you've already played it once, TD.

Once upon a time in the Walls Flying Mesa there was a Renegade...

He was a Nob, and good at his job. But then he committed the ultimate sin and testified against other Nobs - gone bad. Nobs who tried to team kill him, but got a Sidney he loved instead. Framed for murder, now he prowls the badlands. An outlaw hunting outlaws, a bounty hunter - a RENEGADE!

case closed, admin lock this thread please

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?

Posted by [TD](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 10:00:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Someone ban Spooky, he is clearly very angry and furious because he can't win all debates, and suspends people or locks topics that come with good facts against him. Then he lies about me begging for an undo of the DQ in private (so that noone can post the pm proof because I am suspended), whereas I have proof.

He doesn't want it, and thinks he has won it lol. No matter what you think Spooky, the majority knows the truth and disagrees with your biased actions.

Now don't go sperm all over this post with your stupid arguments like 'UR CLEARLY MAD AND THEREFORE NEED A BREAK + U BEG FOR UNDQ'. Just don't bother spooky, no matter how hard you try to, only people that hate me will agree with your statements. People who actually are neutral or have been with me on TS know the truth and know that I am not angry at all over the decision.

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 10:08:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 05:00 Someone ban Spooky, he is clearly very angry and furious because he can't win all debates, and suspends people or locks topics that come with good facts against him.

Then he lies about me begging for an undo of the DQ in private (so that noone can post the pm proof because I am suspended), whereas I have proof.

He doesn't want it, and thinks he has won it lol. No matter what you think Spooky, the majority knows the truth and disagrees with your biased actions.

Now don't go sperm all over this post with your stupid arguments like 'UR CLEARLY MAD AND THEREFORE NEED A BREAK + U BEG FOR UNDQ'. Just don't bother spooky, no matter how hard you try to, only people that hate me will agree with your statements. People who actually are neutral or have been with me on TS know the truth and know that I am not angry at all over the decision.

The fact you simply cannot bring yourself to stop crying over a completely justified DQ (even in threads which have nothing whatsoever to do with them and on a forum where nobody's even mentioned it) is a testament to how truly angry you are. Furthermore, the fact you need to keep lying about the entire situation to try to influence others' opinion shows just how feeble your case is and always has been.

Get over it tbh... seriously, it's plain to see you're in desperate need of something to take your mind off this. Ironically I was thinking of unbanning you today, but since you're clearly still in a furious rage and you're never, EVER going to get over your anger at a completely legitimate decision, I guess I shouldn't.

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 10:45:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Back on topic plz, or this topic will be split.

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?
Posted by [TD](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 11:45:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spooky wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 12:08TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 05:00Someone ban Spooky, he is clearly very angry and furious because he can't win all debates, and suspends people or locks topics that come with good facts against him.

Then he lies about me begging for an undo of the DQ in private (so that noone can post the pm proof because I am suspended), whereas I have proof.

He doesn't want it, and thinks he has won it lol. No matter what you think Spooky, the majority knows the truth and disagrees with your biased actions.

Now don't go sperm all over this post with your stupid arguments like 'UR CLEARLY MAD AND THEREFORE NEED A BREAK + U BEG FOR UNDQ'. Just don't bother spooky, no matter how hard you try to, only people that hate me will agree with your statements. People who actually are neutral or have been with me on TS know the truth and know that I am not angry at all over the decision.

The fact you simply cannot bring yourself to stop crying over a completely justified DQ (even in

threads which have nothing whatsoever to do with them and on a forum where nobody's even mentioned it) is a testament to how truly angry you are. Furthermore, the fact you need to keep lying about the entire situation to try to influence others' opinion shows just how feeble your case is and always has been.

Get over it tbh... seriously, it's plain to see you're in desperate need of something to take your mind off this. Ironically I was thinking of unbanning you today, but since you're clearly still in a furious rage and you're never, EVER going to get over your anger at a completely legitimate decision, I guess I shouldn't.

I'm not even talking about the DQ itself anymore, sure it was legit... It just fucked up the tournament by DQ'ing 2 decent players, you could've warned and let it go, but let's leave that aside before you say I am really really furious about it again.

I am just talking about the fact that you keep repeating I (and simpee) am (are) 'truly angry' or 'furious' over it and that I begged you to unDQ. This just isn't true. And now you base my anger on the fact that I posted here about it, whereas you also posted, so I guess you're kinda really mad as well, because you are posting about it. Now you might say that I made the first post about it, but that's really a dumb argument.

Ljbh, you know I ain't angry at all, just trying to prove a statement you once said back when you DQ'd me, JUST to not be proven wrong AT ALL. You never lose debates, right? Let's flip e-boners daily over this, we have a real dicktator leading renegade clanwars.cc.

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 12:04:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Goztow wroteBack on topic plz, or this topic will be split.

Frankly, I recommend that's exactly what you do.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45I'm not even talking about the DQ itself anymore, sure it was legit... It just fucked up the tournament by DQ'ing 2 decent players, you could've warned and let it go, but let's leave that aside before you say I am really really furious about it again.

ok, light is dawning on you, albeit rather slowly.

Here's the rub.

1. If the DQ was legit, and anyone with the slightest amount of sense can see that it was (even you are finally coming around to this revelation), then you are entirely responsible for it.
2. If the DQ "fucked up the tournament" (which smacks of overblown self-importance to me, but hey), then it logically follows that YOU fucked up the tournament.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45I am just talking about the fact that you keep repeating I (and simpee) am (are) 'truly angry' or 'furious' over it and that I begged you to unDQ.

This lie needs to be confronted head-on. "you keep repeating..."

I made a grand total of one post announcing the DQ. That's all. It wasn't even the main content of the post. You and simpee complained about it OVER AND OVER AGAIN. I didn't even reply to the majority of them, I'd already said all that needed to be said.

so, I'd dropped the subject right after I clicked the DQ button - you kept bringing it up over and over again.

then, the community match comes around and you and simpee try to fuck it up, you lie to our opposition saying I'm not on the team, when the team was never yours to pick in the first place. Any objective observer knows you would never have done this if you weren't DQ'd, therefore it is a direct result of the DQ. Yet since the DQ was legit, you're in the wrong again. (Still, even if the DQ wasn't legit, you would still have no right to do that in the community match, whereas I would still have the right to DQ you from a tournament which I solely administrate)

Even after you did that, what did I do in response?

NOTHING. I didn't ban you from anything, I didn't make a post saying how gay you were, I didn't announce that you'd be excluded from future community matches... I didn't do anything in response to your faggotry.

What happens next?

You carry on bitching and whining about the DQ. I eventually suspend you for a time.

Those are the facts. So go ahead and spew out this "you keep repeating..." bullshit; anyone neutral who's looked at the situation objectively can easily tell you're lying.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45 And now you base my anger on the fact that I posted here about it, whereas you also posted, so I guess you're kinda really mad as well, because you are posting about it. Now you might say that I made the first post about it, but that's really a dumb argument.

actually it isn't. I posted about something entirely different; you started crying about the DQ in response to a completely irrelevant post. Not because what I said had anything to do with it, but simply because I said anything at all. ONCE AGAIN, it's you who "keeps repeating" this crap, not me.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45 Ljbh, you know I ain't angry at all perhaps; but anyone can tell you're obsessive. I'm not angry about this situation either, I never was, but you keep implying that I am. The difference is, I don't care in the slightest when you do. When I say you're angry, it clearly cuts you very deeply indeed, to the point where you feel irresistably compelled to try to prove otherwise.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45 You never lose debates, right? This is a classic straw-man argument. I never said "hi, I'm spoony and I never lose debates". This is what people love to say when THEY lose debates and THEY would rather spew crap like that rather than admit it.

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45 we have a real dicktator leading renegade clanwars.cc.

OMG DICTATORSHIP!!

sorry, but Clanwars.cc has always been a dictatorship, it was the case long before I became an admin there or even heard of the site. Many websites are, you know. If you'd prefer a system that resembles a democratic country like Britain and America are supposed to be, I'm perfectly open to the idea. It'll also require a "tax" system whereby players will have to pay subscriptions, however.

I mean that quite literally... we can make the Renegade league a democracy with absolutely free elections to decide who the admins will be, if a player subscription is in place. That revenue can be a "salary" to the admin(s) and perhaps a chunk goes to the site's running costs. Otherwise, I'll remain in control of who runs it.

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 12:15:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 07:04
TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:45 I am just talking about the fact that you keep repeating I (and simpee) am (are) 'truly angry' or 'furious' over it and that I begged you to unDQ. This lie needs to be confronted head-on. "you keep repeating..."
I made a grand total of one post announcing the DQ.

Spoony
see above... the idea behind a debate is you pay attention to what the other person is saying before responding to it, at least that's the general gist in my understanding

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:00:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Surth wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 07:15 see above... the idea behind a debate is you pay attention to what the other person is saying before responding to it, at least that's the general gist in my understanding
That's rather rich considering the fact you were wrong over and over and over again in the thread following the suspension, wouldn't you say? Remember? The thread where you kept using straw-man arguments and lying about the whole situation, like TD's done here? Bearing that in mind, it's no wonder you're sticking together.

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:10:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sigh

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?

Posted by [TD](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:15:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good job 'winning' another debate Spooky! It's useless to have debates with you, as many people have already experienced. You enter a debate with the 'they are all wrong, and I am not' thought, instead of 'lemme show them my arguments, and listen to theirs'. People who think that they are ALWAYS right about EVERYTHING they post, are called cocky people. In your case, cocky dicktator.

Have fun spending another half an hour trying to prove something that 99% here don't even care about and that 90% of the renegaders at clanwars.cc know you're just trying to win an online debate and do anything to achieve that goal.

You should know that I am not a liar and a fair player, as you should have understood from the robo case we had before, remember? Or how about that bot case where I invited you to the IRC channel?

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 13:28:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 08:15 Good job 'winning' another debate Spooky! It's useless to have debates with you, as many people have already experienced. You enter a debate with the 'they are all wrong, and I am not' thought, instead of 'lemme show them my arguments, and listen to theirs'. People who think that they are ALWAYS right about EVERYTHING they post, are called cocky people. In your case, cocky dicktator.

How absolutely unpredictable!

Thanks for demonstrating unequivocally that most of what you originally said was a pack of lies, and you can't refute anything *I* said in response calling you out on it.

Thanks also for proving me right when I point out that the "LOL SPOONY NEVER LOSES DEBATES DO YOU SPOONY?" bullshit is what people like you say WHEN THEY LOSE AN ARGUMENT AND CAN'T BRING THEMSELVES TO EITHER ADMIT IT OR JUST BE QUIET. Good job, dude. I pointed out this fact right before you very helpfully proved it for everybody. If this is the general thrust of this thread, I've got some other points you could prove for me as well?

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 08:15 Have fun spending another half an hour trying to prove something that 99% here don't even care about and that 90% of the renegaders at clanwars.cc know you're just trying to win an online debate and do anything for it.

Is there no end to your dishonesty? If you had paid attention to my post (a rather futile hope, I admit), you'd have finally grasped the fact that YOU, not me, are the one who simply can't bring himself to realise he's wrong and let it go. It's right there in front of you and obvious to any objective observer.

As for "you'll do anything to win an online debate"... well, considering the fact you've just been

caught lying to everyone here, the majority of whom aren't involved with the tournament or even the league, that's another shining example of how truly confused you are.

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?

Posted by [TD](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 14:17:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lmao, 'caught lying'. If that's how you think over this whole situation, then it's alright Spooky. Debating with you has no use. Whoever has argued with you before knows this anyway, don't need to prove myself.

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 14:23:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 09:17: Debating with you has no use. Whoever has argued with you before knows this anyway, don't need to prove myself.

There really is no end to your hypocrisy and dishonesty, is there?

"Debating with you has no use". In your case that's right, because every bullshit lie you've tried to pass off as truth has been completely ripped to shreds. It is indeed no use for you to try to debate with me, because you've got no argument that lasted five seconds.

You're feebly trying to claim that the reason "debating with spooky is useless" is some kind of ignorance on my part. That's yet another thing you're lying about. I didn't ignore your posts, I read and responded to everything you said, remember?

Debating with YOU is equally useless, but for an entirely different reason. In your case it IS because you're ignorant. You've completely ignored every single thing I've said in response to you, and it's obvious why; you're embarrassed about being caught lying, you can't think of a response to my arguments, but you can't bring yourself to do the decent thing, which is either -a- admit you were wrong, or -b- just be quiet for a bit.

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?

Posted by [TD](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 14:32:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Isn't it ironic that almost everyone that has a debate with you is 'ignorant' according to you? Or are they all just like me?

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 14:35:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 08:32 Isn't it ironic that almost everyone that has a debate with you is 'ignorant' according to you? Or are they all just like me?
Another straw-man argument. When did I ever say "you're on the other side of a debate to me, therefore you're ignorant"?

oh wait, I didn't, you're using straw-man arguments again because you can't think of a real one.

Don't flatter yourself by the way, I don't think "they all" are just like you... some people understand this little concept called honesty

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?
Posted by [TD](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 14:38:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ok Spooky, you 'win' this debate, what happens now?

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 14:40:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

simple really... just finally refrain from bringing it up over and over again, and I'll unban you from smak

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?
Posted by [TD](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 14:41:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lmao

Subject: Re: Do you play Renegade?
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 16:28:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

done.

will unban simpee in a week or so cos he evaded it

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 22:23:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So in other news...

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Wed, 14 May 2008 22:25:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Look what 33.6 Million Dollars will net you!

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/entertainment/7398949.stm>

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD

Posted by [Rocko](#) on Thu, 15 May 2008 00:17:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i dont even need to read the entire topic to know that spoony is being a huge faggot again

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Thu, 15 May 2008 00:54:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rocko wrote on Wed, 14 May 2008 19:17i dont even need to read the entire topic to know that spoony is being a huge faggot again

For once, I agree with rocko.

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Thu, 15 May 2008 02:25:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

this was misleading as fuck

i thought it was going to be about Spoony and TD having a 1v1

you have both disappointed me

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD

Posted by [TD](#) on Thu, 15 May 2008 08:04:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The last 5-6 times I asked he was either sick, couldn't play, had to go, or was already ingame.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Thu, 15 May 2008 19:51:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol, don't even act like you could beat him TD....

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Thu, 15 May 2008 19:55:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

First: <http://www.renegadeforums.com/index.php?t=msg&th=28701&start=50&rid=22983>

Second: TD is playing much more than Spooky is, so im pretty sure that TD could take the win. Except when spooky takes a screenshot after 10 minutes which shows he's completely broke. No wait, spooky wouldnt do that... you would!

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [TD](#) on Thu, 15 May 2008 20:19:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well put, Surth. But I doubt Spooky's as retarded as Trooprm02.

Spooky's method is rather 'even if he loses, he wins'. Whereas trooprm02's is taking a screenshot with just some lead with 20 minutes to go and an arty pounding your weapons factory with no money left, faking a disconnect, then saying you would've won anyway.

EDIT: I don't play more than Spooky does actually, he's in Jelly AOW most of the time. I don't CW much either lately.

@Trooprm02, just to keep you away from replying to this post, I refer you to the topic Surth posted above.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 07:35:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think I said I'm gonna beat you...

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 14:06:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just making assumptions after I've seen how you win/"not"lose debates, like you make assumptions as well in debates. You somehow can tell whether I am angry/furious or not by looking at my posts, whereas at the same time I am on 'ts laffin' at ur face,,,,'.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 15:11:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why does it bother you so much that someone says the reason you do something retarded is out of anger? And yes, it clearly does bother you, because you always, ALWAYS try so very very hard to convince everybody otherwise.

you keep saying I was angry when I dq'd/suspended you too... that's untrue, but unlike you it doesn't bother me in the slightest that you think that.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 15:18:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spooky wrote on Fri, 16 May 2008 17:11 you keep saying I was angry when I dq'd/suspended you too... that's untrue, but unlike you it doesn't bother me in the slightest that you think that. Yet you're going in denial, as to when I said I wasn't angry you just said 'You are very very very angry' every time.

Psychic Spooky, reading my mind.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 15:45:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I find it appropriate to pose this question again@
Quote:why does it bother you so much that someone says the reason you do something retarded is out of anger? And yes, it clearly does bother you, because you always, ALWAYS try so very very hard to convince everybody otherwise.
Because, let's face it, that's the only reason you're still posting. It can't be about the DQ because you've been proven wrong about that. It can't be about denying the fact you lied to everyone because you've been proven wrong about that too.

So, is it just that you're so desperate to finally win a renebate that the only thing left is something that can't be proven?

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 15:48:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD, get over it, you're angry and I'm not. If you deny, then you are lying. If I deny, I am obviously right. Come on, I'm Spooky! I know psychic shit, ever since I was 8 years old!

Shut the fuck up, you are really a mofire with better spelling and admin powers.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 16:14:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Who cares whether you're angry?

Well, obviously you do, because you've spent hours and hours trying to prove you're not.

How angry you are isn't the point; I was criticising your actions, not the reasons for them. Remember?

The point was always the fact you spent well over an hour meticulously fabricating fake tournament results, not how angry you were at the time.

The point was always the fact you tried to sabotage a community match, not how angry you were at the time.

The point was the fact you lied to everyone over and over and over again, not how angry you were at the time.

Of course, since you've been quite catastrophically debunked in the arenas of "fact", the one and only thing you've got left is "LOL IM NOT ANGRY AND YOU CANT PROVE I AM". Sorry, but by clinging so desperately to this, you're only showing everyone how important it is to avoid feeling like you lost an online argument.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [MiLeNCoL](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 16:22:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol damn this is still goin on

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 16:42:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL yeah, he's having sex with his strawman as we speak, telling his man that I am really angry atm!

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 22:08:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD, your nothing fucking special, even on a bad day I not only kept up but took a 200 point lead in the first 10min, does that tell you anything? Who gives a fuck if you think you would have won, everything ingame said different, you lost get over it. The amount of time you spend on smak forums etc, and you are not even good? preeetty coooool! meanwhile its spoony's league (his rules), he's in everyones top 10 players list, and doesn't act good to be cool. So ya TD, you would win for sure!

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 22:30:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Troop, I don't want to have to be the one to break it to you, but...

NO ONE CARES WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY. NOW GET OUT.

That aside, continue, Spoony and TD. It's amusing to always see Spoony LOL NEVER LOSE A DEBATE because he can say the same half assed bullshit of an arguement every time he gets into a debate of sorts.

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Fri, 16 May 2008 22:55:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Fri, 16 May 2008 17:08TD, your nothing fucking special, even on a bad day I not only kept up but took a 200 point lead in the first 10min, does that tell you anything? Who gives a fuck if you think you would have won, everything ingame said different, you lost get over it. The amount of time you spend on smak forums etc, and you are not even good? preeetty coooool! meanwhile its spoony's league (his rules), he's in everyones top 10 players list, and doesn't act good to be cool. So ya TD, you would win for sure! Wow, you dont even try to hide your lies do you?

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 00:30:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Let's all PM spam troop the link!

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 04:10:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 16 May 2008 15:30 That aside, continue, Spooky and TD. It's amusing to always see Spooky LOL NEVER LOSE A DEBATE because he can say the same half assed bullshit of an argument every time he gets into a debate of sorts.
I don't think you've read this thread very carefully, because if you had you'd have noticed that TD could not refute a single thing I've said, whereas almost everything TD said has been conclusively debunked as a pack of lies, forcing him to continually change the subject out of desperation not to admit he lost a renebate.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 04:19:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've read several of your threads, and parts of this (although it got boring at some points). I find it amusing when someone has to use the good old "LOL, U DIDN'T REED" excuse when I say something that doesn't agree with them.

Which once again, proves you're an arrogant cock who can never lose a renebate.

inb4 "LOL, STRAWMAN ARGUMENT" or whatever the hell else you force out of your ass like a 38 pound ball of shit.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 04:50:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 16 May 2008 23:19 I've read several of your threads, and parts of this (although it got boring at some points). I find it amusing when someone has to use the good old "LOL, U DIDN'T REED" excuse when I say something that doesn't agree with them.
And yet you've just very helpfully proved me right. Thanks.

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 16 May 2008 23:19 Which once again, proves you're an arrogant cock who can never lose a renebate.
No, pointing out you didn't read the thread - when you admit that indeed you didn't - doesn't prove anything about me, sorry. I know you're angry at being wrong, but it's just a renebate, you don't

have to be as desperate not to lose it as TD continually proves himself to be.

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 16 May 2008 23:19inb4 "LOL, STRAWMAN ARGUMENT" or whatever the hell else you force out of your ass like a 38 pound ball of shit.

Do you even know what a straw-man argument is? If you do, which doesn't seem very likely, would you care to find an example of me using it in a situation where it doesn't apply?

finally, I'll just repeat something I said before

Spoony wrotel don't think you've read this thread very carefully, because if you had you'd have noticed that TD could not refute a single thing I've said, whereas almost everything TD said has been conclusively debunked as a pack of lies, forcing him to continually change the subject out of desperation not to admit he lost a renebate.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [Rocko](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 06:15:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

why cant it be a black straw man

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [TD](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 07:31:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Surth wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 00:55trooprm02 wrote on Fri, 16 May 2008 17:08TD, your nothing fucking special, even on a bad day I not only kept up but took a 200 point lead in the first 10min, does that tell you anything? Who gives a fuck if you think you would have won, everything ingame said different, you lost get over it. The amount of time you spend on smak forums etc, and you are not even good? preeetty coooooo! meanwhile its spooky's league (his rules), he's in everyones top 10 players list, and doesn't act good to be cool. So ya TD, you would win for sure! Wow, you dont even try to hide your lies do you?

Still trying to say you would've won lmao? GDI usually gets the lead in 1v1 Field, unless Nod takes the field first. I LET you take the field since that's what I always did back then. But since my harvester was blocked all I had to do is push you back once. Remember, I took out 2 meds and 1 mrls with that SAME arty I bought in the beginning. You had no money left with an arty at your ENTRANCE, pounding your buildings. Your harvester wasn't blocked either.

You just take a SS with your pathetic 200 lead with 20 minutes to go with that arty hitting your building and fake a disconnect. You are really retarded but I don't think I need to prove that to the people here or actually anywhere.

And keep dodging that topic about you, how many more years do you need to think of more lies to cover up your other lies? You compulsive liar of shit.

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 10:17:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rocko wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 01:15why cant it be a black straw man
It is a black straw man.

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD
Posted by [Jamie or NuneGa](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 10:42:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 08:31Surth wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 00:55trooprm02 wrote on Fri, 16 May 2008 17:08TD, your nothing fucking special, even on a bad day I not only kept up but took a 200 point lead in the first 10min, does that tell you anything? Who gives a fuck if you think you would have won, everything ingame said different, you lost get over it. The amount of time you spend on smak forums etc, and you are not even good? preeetty cooooool! meanwhile its spoony's league (his rules), he's in everyones top 10 players list, and doesn't act good to be cool. So ya TD, you would win for sure! Wow, you dont even try to hide your lies do you?

Still trying to say you would've won lmao? GDI usually gets the lead in 1v1 Field, unless Nod takes the field first. I LET you take the field since that's what I always did back then. But since my harvester was blocked all I had to do is push you back once. Remember, I took out 2 meds and 1 mrls with that SAME arty I bought in the beginning. You had no money left with an arty at your ENTRANCE, pounding your buildings. Your harvester wasn't blocked either.

You just take a SS with your pathetic 200 lead with 20 minutes to go with that arty hitting your building and fake a disconnect. You are really retarded but I don't think I need to prove that to the people here or actually anywhere.

And keep dodging that topic about you, how many more years do you need to think of more lies to cover up your other lies? You compulsive liar of shit.

Trooprm = legend!

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD
Posted by [Reaver11](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 13:08:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know what I love is that someone doesnt know what straw-man arguments are infact most of the stuff isnt a debate at all.

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD
Posted by [Dreganius](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 13:22:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Indeed. It's mindless ramblings. But that seems to entertain some, I guess.

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 13:40:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoon wrote on Fri, 16 May 2008 23:50
And yet you've just very helpfully proved me right. Thanks.
I lol'd heartily, because you're proving TD's point further. You suddenly know which parts I was talking about, eh? Guess your telepathy knows no bounds. Oh wait, that's not telepathy! That's just you pretending to understand everyone.

Spoon

No, pointing out you didn't read the thread - when you admit that indeed you didn't - doesn't prove anything about me, sorry. I know you're angry at being wrong, but it's just a renebate, you don't have to be as desperate not to lose it as TD continually proves himself to be.
I lol'd quite a bit. Once again, you're a telepath, aren'tya? Wait, nope, just a hypocrite. This one pretty much speaks for itself.

Spoon

Do you even know what a straw-man argument is? If you do, which doesn't seem very likely, would you care to find an example of me using it in a situation where it doesn't apply?
Yes, I do. Obviously I was using it as an example of the many things you repeat that no one really cares about because it's the internet, proving once again, you're a hypocrite.

Spoonfinally, I'll just repeat something I said before

Spoon wrote don't think you've read this thread very carefully, because if you had you'd have noticed that TD could not refute a single thing I've said, whereas almost everything TD said has been conclusively debunked as a pack of lies, forcing him to continually change the subject out of desperation not to admit he lost a renebate.

I always lol @ you going "SEE?! HE COULDN'T REFUTE EVERYTHING I SAID BUT I REFUTED EVERYTHING THEY SAID SO I WIN". That's basically the basis of every single arguement you make, which is quite lulzy to be honest.

Especially when they, y'know, prove you wrong on shit and whatnot. But hey, let's ignore that and continue to be an arrogant asshole with a stick up their ass.

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 16:31:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lmao, so Cabal is yet another angry person to have a debate with you, Spoony?

@Cabal, that 'proving Spooky wrong' thing -> He locks topics most of the time when someone makes a good point. He also debates ABOUT me (also lying about what I supposedly did) while I am suspended by him, so I couldn't post proof nor talk back. If anyone else posted THAT proof for me, they'd get suspended as well for TALKING for me.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 17:51:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 02:31 I LET you take the field.

hahahahahahahaha, okkkkk tdddd

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 18:27:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

trooprm02 wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 19:51 TD wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 02:31 I LET you take the field.

hahahahahahahaha, okkkkk tdddd
Good job using the phrase that's specially made for you, but change the name to someone else's, trying to look cool. OOOOOKTROP

The reason you had the field with your mrls first is because I blocked my harvester. Your mrls and 2 meds after that just didn't do shit.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 18:39:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Planting beacons on deactivated pedestals makes you automatically win too.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 18:40:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nikki6ixx wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 20:39 Planting beacons on deactivated pedestals makes you automatically win too.

gg

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Canadacdn](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 18:43:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 18:48:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

something's fishy

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 21:14:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I know.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 21:28:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Whatev.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 21:30:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sat, 17 May 2008 21:55:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

loooooool! ^

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 02:57:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 16:30

Classic straw-man argument. You must be very angry.

Subject: Re: Spoonly vs TD

Posted by [TD](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 08:17:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ethenal wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 04:57TD wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 16:30

Classic straw-man argument. You must be very angry.

Like I said, I'm not angry. But you are indeed VERY VERY VERY angry since you said that to me, even after I have proven you wrong many many times, see other posts.

Subject: Re: Spoonly vs TD

Posted by [Spoonly](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 15:54:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD's proving how dishonest he is yet again.

TD wrote@Cabal, that 'proving Spoonly wrong' thing -> He locks topics most of the time when someone makes a good point.

You're presumably talking about the ONE thread I locked, in which Surth was wrong over and over and over again, demonstrably so?

This implies that it was locked "because" (not when) someone makes a good point, because I couldn't think of an answer but didn't want to admit I was wrong, etc. Go check the thread again. I did answer everything Surth said, and he was downright wrong about most of it.

TD wrote(also lying about what I supposedly did)

Sorry, but just because you've been caught lying over and over and over again doesn't mean I did too.

TD wroteHe also debates ABOUT me while I am suspended by him, so I couldn't post proof nor talk back.

Again, this is misleading. Surth (and simpee) started asking why you were suspended, so I answered. Quite simple really

TD wroteIf anyone else posted THAT proof for me, they'd get suspended as well for TALKING for me.

You mean to say "if someone posted on my behalf, they'd be suspended because it's a clear violation of forum rules, rules which you yourself have broken". That's the truth of it; you're just wrapping it up in conspiracy-theorist just-because-you-can't-handle-the-truth nonsense.

Cabal8616 wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 08:40I lol'd heartily, because you're proving TD's point further. You suddenly know which parts I was talking about, eh? Guess your telepathy knows no bounds. Oh wait, that's not telepathy! That's just you pretending to understand everyone. sigh... like talking to an idiot.

I pointed out the fact you clearly haven't read and understood the thread. You then ADMIT IT. This isn't telepathy, this isn't "spoony pretending to understand everyone", it's me making an educated guess based on the stupidity of your statement, BEING RIGHT, and you being pissed off at that for some reason.

Cabal8616 wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 08:40I lol'd quite a bit. Once again, you're a telepath, aren'tya? Wait, nope, just a hypocrite. This one pretty much speaks for itself. You're right about one part - it does speak for itself. see above, re: educated guess and you being angry at the fact it was correct

Cabal8616 wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 08:40Yes, I do. Obviously I was using it as an example of the many things you repeat that no one really cares about because it's the internet, proving once again, you're a hypocrite.

How am I a hypocrite for saying someone keeps using straw-man arguments when they are, in fact, using straw-man arguments? I think you're so desperately trying to flame me that you've lost your ability to think in a straight line.

Cabal8616 wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 08:40I always lol @ you going "SEE?! HE COULDN'T REFUTE EVERYTHING I SAID BUT I REFUTED EVERYTHING THEY SAID SO I WIN". That's basically the basis of every single arguement you make, which is quite lulzy to be honest. Yet it's an absolutely 100% accurate summation of this thread. Had you done something as radical and mouldbreaking as, oh I dunno, reading the whole thing, you'd have realised that.

Cabal8616 wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 08:40Especially when they, y'know, prove you wrong on shit and whatnot. But hey, let's ignore that

Ignore what? When did this happen? TD has dodged the fact he's been proven wrong over and over and over again. He's dodged the fact he's been caught lying over and over and over again. You yourself have ignored it too, so you're the hypocrite here, not me.

Cabal8616 wrote on Sat, 17 May 2008 08:40and continue to be an arrogant asshole with a stick up their ass.

OK. When someone like TD tries as phenomenally hard to start rene drama as he's done here, on three different forums AND a community match, all out of anger at a tournament disqualification (yes TD, I said the word "anger", and that's your cue to make another fifty uberdefensive posts trying to prove otherwise, so please don't disappoint), then yes, I probably am going to point out why they're an idiot. What you fail to realise is doing so ^^ doesn't make me "arrogent", nor an "asshole", nor does it prove anything about me at all.

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD

Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:06:50 GMT

Cabal8616

you're an EKT mod right? or do you at least play there?

would they at all be interested with a community match vs Jelly Servers? i heard Wyld asked a while back but no one has responded so i'd just like to throw it out there

p.s. yesterday anthrax was talking about a restauraunt named Kopps, he said Yeah, it has a giant spoony inside (instead of spoon)
i think he loves you

Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD

Posted by [TD](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:18:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:TD's proving how dishonest he is yet again.
Here we go again, shut the fuck up.

Quote:You're presumably talking about the ONE thread I locked, in which Surth was wrong over and over and over again, demonstrably so?.
And again, you've proven someone wrong over and over again. Ironic how you are always the one to prove others wrong in a debate, yet all you do is repeat that as an argument every single time.

Quote:Sorry, but just becuse you've been caught lying over and over and over again doesn't mean I did too.
You are repeating this over and over and over again, without having something useful to say.

You lied about me supposedly BEGGING YOU to revert your decision. Not only once too, at several places and times. Surth asked you for proof, and you said it was in private on jelly and smak. I got proof that in neither of those 2 PM's I asked for an undo of your decision. You are the fucking liar here, just because you say I 'lie EVERYTIME' doesn't mean YOU shouldn't be lying? You are the one with the stupid arguments here.

The PM on Jelly:

"[17:22:51] <TD> !page Spoony Now I know you don't talk about the league here, but check your PM on smak when you can."

- You didn't reply to this, it was just a notification for the following PM.

The PM on SMAK:

Click for screenshot

- And you are saying I BEGGED you for an undo of the Disqualification OVER AND OVER? Now who is the liar here? Now don't start telling people you misinterpret my PM since that would just be too easy. You started telling people that after you suspended me, so I couldn't post this proof.

Quote:Ignore what? When did this happen? TD has dodged the fact he's been proven wrong over and over and over again. He's dodged the fact he's been caught lying over and over and over again. You yourself have ignored it too, so you're the hypocrite here, not me. Just look up and try to think of how many people are laughing at your pathetic attempts to argue over something you've already lost in the beginning. Tip: Use your telepathy. Faggot.

Now let me be Spooky real quick: "You, Spooky, are just very very angry and I have proven you wrong over and over again (see my other posts) that you are lying to the community. If you tell me that I am angry I will just deny it, but if YOU deny it, then that means that you're angry over the fact that I said you're angry."

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:42:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18Here we go again, shut the fuck up.
You first chief, you started all of this crap, you took it to three different forums and a community match.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18And again, you've proven someone wrong over and over again. Ironic how you are always the one to prove others wrong in a debate
I don't see what's ironic about it.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18yet all you do is repeat that as an argument every single time.
You're lying again.

Since we're talking about the Surth thread here, let's check the thread.
http://24.239.220.58/smak/ubb/cgi/ultimatebb.cgi?ubb=get_topic;f=33;t=010458
If you read each of my posts in that thread, any objective person can easily see you're lying again.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18And you are saying I BEGGED you for an undo of the Disqualification [b]OVER AND OVER?
No. You said the same lie in the Jelly privates forums and you were corrected there too. It doesn't surprise me that you ignored that and simply repeated the thing you were wrong about in the first place.

Here we go again:
I didn't say you begged for the decision to be reversed "over and over". I said you CRIED about it over and over, and that's indisputable. I didn't even respond to the majority of your whingeing posts at all.

I did use the word "begging" in relation to your PM, and perhaps that was the wrong word to use. Considering how many posts you were making crying about it on Smak, and considering you tried to take your feeble revenge in a community match almost immediately after I refused to budge in the decision, it's a fairly understandable conclusion.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18 You started telling people that after you suspended me, so I couldn't post this proof.

I've already pointed out what garbage this is. The reason I said so was because I was answering somebody's question. You were indeed suspended at the time, but you're trying to make it look like the only reason I said it is BECAUSE you were suspended, which shows just how desperate you are.

Now, let's talk about something else. Look how desperate you are to prove I supposedly lied about something. You have been CONCLUSIVELY CAUGHT LYING OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN, and you haven't addressed a single bit of it.

That would make you a hypocrite.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18 Just look up and try to think of how many people are laughing at your pathetic attempts to argue over something you've already lost in the beginning. Yet everything I said turned out to be true, and most of what you said turned out to be lies, and you keep ignoring these facts. You know it and you can't refute any of it, but you'd rather die than admit you lost an argument, which is why you keep making post after post after post on multiple forums feebly trying to prove you aren't angry, when I've already pointed out that nobody even cares whether you're angry or not.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18 Tip: Use your telepathy. Faggot.
Fresh air, get some

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18 Now let me be Spooky real quick: "You, Spooky, are just very very angry and I have proven you wrong over and over again (see my other posts) that you are lying to the community.
Yet I HAVE proven you lied to the community OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN. By making statements like this, you're showing everyone you can't refute them.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18 If you tell me that I am angry I will just deny it, but if YOU deny it, then that means that you're angry over the fact that I said you're angry."
If I can make a slight correction here. When you claimed *I* was angry, which you've done multiple times, it doesn't bother me enough to deny it. When I claimed YOU were angry, you've made... well, must be nearly fifty posts now, on multiple forums, because the idea of someone on the other side of the world thinking you might be angry is a very chilling thought, apparently. I've already asked you why it's so important to you whether anybody you've never met thinks you're angry or not, because it's a complete puzzler to me.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by TD on Sun, 18 May 2008 17:28:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You still keep trying, but at least I know that the people here see the truth about you, which is enough for me.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 17:56:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spooky wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 11:42

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18And you are saying I BEGGED you for an undo of the Disqualification [b]OVER AND OVER?

I didn't say you begged for the decision to be reversed "over and over".

Quote:also, it's plainly obvious simpee and TD needed a break from the forums... look how many posts you both made crying about the decision, TD begging me to reverse it etc. compare that to the one post I made announcing the disqualification, and you call *me* the upset one?

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 18:14:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Clanwars is really fucked up.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 18:50:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Surth wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 13:56Spooky wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 11:42

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18And you are saying I BEGGED you for an undo of the Disqualification [b]OVER AND OVER?

I didn't say you begged for the decision to be reversed "over and over".

Quote:also, it's plainly obvious simpee and TD needed a break from the forums... look how many posts you both made crying about the decision, TD begging me to reverse it etc. compare that to the one post I made announcing the disqualification, and you call *me* the upset one?

Thanks for supporting my statement, Surth. Like I said, I did indeed say he and simpee cried about the decision over and over, which is true, but I didn't say "he begged for the decisions to be overturned over and over".

TD wroteYou still keep trying, but at least I know that the people here see the truth about you, which is enough for me.

Ignoring the fact you can't think of a response again, and ignoring the fact you're dodging the fact you just got caught lying to everyone again, what "truth" is that?

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Spooky](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 18:52:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nikki6ixx wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 13:14Clanwars is really fucked up. I respectfully ask you not let a small minority represent the community and site as a whole, especially those ones who happen to be suspended from its forums and DQ'd from its tournaments. If there's any better official indicator of who ISN'T a worthy representative of the community, it would surely have to be that.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 18:57:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 13:52

I respectfully ask you not let a small minority represent the community and site as a whole, especially those ones who happen to be suspended from its forums and DQ'd from its tournaments. If there's any better official indicator of who ISN'T a worthy representative of the community, it would surely have to be that.

Two respected members of cw.cc and the ren-community battling it out on stuff like this tells me that there are some real problems. I get the impression there are other things at play here, too.

But that's just me.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD

Posted by [Spooky](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 19:05:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nikki6ixx wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 13:57Two respected members of cw.cc and the ren-community battling it out on stuff like this tells me that there are some real problems. I get the impression there are other things at play here, too.

But that's just me.

Then I'll fill you in.

I'm hosting a 1v1 Field tournament, with prizes from EA. TD was a participant.

TD and simpee impersonated two other participants and meticulously created fake game results, and posted them as though they were real. I DQ'd them for this.

(I made a grand total of one post announcing the DQ. That's all. It wasn't even the main content of the post.)

TD and simpee complained about it OVER AND OVER AGAIN. I didn't even reply to the majority of them, I'd already said all that needed to be said.

so, I'd dropped the subject right after I clicked the DQ button - TD kept bringing it up over and over

again.

shortly after that, Clanwars.cc has a community match against Xphaze. You may know that I'm in charge of the Clanwars team in community matches, meaning it's up to me to pick the team, supervise for good behaviour, etc. TD apparently wants some revenge over being DQ'd so he tries to fuck it up, he lies to our opposition saying I'm not on the team (when the team was never his to pick in the first place), tries to get them to kick me, etc.

Even after he did that, what did I do in response?

NOTHING. I didn't ban him from anything, I didn't make a post saying how gay he was, I didn't announce that he'd be excluded from future community matches (which would be absolutely justified and is my right to do if I see fit)... I didn't do ANYTHING in response to his faggotry. You can be fairly sure Jelly would not be so lenient.

What happens next?

He carries on bitching and whining about the DQ. I eventually suspend him from the CW for a time, as a mix of all three of the above things - the tournament offence, the community match bullshit, and the fact he just can't stop whining about the disqualification (he even says I "ruined the tournament", which is basically a mix of stupidity and overblown self-importance)

Later there's a thread on renegadeforums.com which has nothing to do with CW or the tournament or the community match... just me replying to something JPNOD says. TD starts crying about the situation AGAIN, despite the fact that unlike him I did nothing wrong anyway, and he lies to everyone about what happened. I prove he's lying, he keeps changing the subject out of his insatiable desire not to admit he was wrong about something.

What do you know, he does exactly the same on Jelly forums too, in the same context - in other words, a thread which has nothing do to CW or the tournament or anything of the sort, is turned into a bitchfest by TD.

All I've done in response is point out the parts in which he's lied to everybody to try to get them on his side, point out how wrong big chunks of his "arguments" are, and let people know the truth of these matters. All we've had in return is TD changing the subject every time he's wrong or he's caught lying about something, and post after post after post desperately trying to prove how angry he isn't. As if anyone cares how angry he is, least of all me.

Subject: Re: Spoon vs TD

Posted by [mr£\\$-z](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 19:08:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This Topic sucks

Subject: Re: Spoon vs TD

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 20:24:13 GMT

Now we all know TD is the loser.

Subject: Re: Spoonly vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 21:36:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lol, Spoonly just won't give up on his 'bitching and crying' about the DQ. I let it go right away, and me and simpee were just making sarcastic remarks over it, which YOU take as a personal insult or something, seeing as you're so angry about it and bitching and crying about our sarcastic jokes which you obviously can't handle.

Then you lie to everyone saying that you weren't angry but you just suspended anyway! (lemme just debate like you, call you angry and stuff) Then you just make up a cover story for your anger, a.k.a. call US angry, liars, and more.

You are so gonna try to defend yourself against this fact, but it's so useless, people just know you are like that. Just by looking at 3-4 random debates of you, people can tell. You call almost everyone a liar and angry over fact 'x', then you associate that with an automatic proof for your statement 'y'.

Have fun sperming up this post as well, achieving nothing but a feeling in YOURSELF thinking you're winning this.

Quote:All I've done in response is point out the parts in which he's lied to everybody to try to get them on his side, point out how wrong big chunks of his "arguments" are, and let people know the truth of these matters. All we've had in return is TD changing the subject every time he's wrong or he's caught lying about something, and post after post after post desperately trying to prove how angry he isn't. As if anyone cares how angry he is, least of all me.

I didn't do anything to get anybody on my side, I never had an intention like that. People just know which side's bitching, easy to notice: 'IT WAS ALL JUST A JUSTIFIED ADMIN DECISION AND HES ANGRY, IM NOT, HES LYING, IVE PROVEN IT MANY TIMES'

You can just mention your 'admin actions' to hide your anger behind it, which you are doing here. Too bad you can't hide your true anger lol. After all these people here, at jelly, at smak, trying to prove you something, you just call them angry and liars, and that I'm trying to get people on my side by lying. That's just something you made up. Get over it.

Subject: Re: Spoonly vs TD
Posted by [Spoonly](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 23:05:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:36Lol, Spoonly just won't give up on his 'bitching and crying' about the DQ. I let it go right away, and me and simpee were just making sarcastic remarks over it, which YOU take as a personal insult or something, seeing as you're so angry about it and

bitching and crying about our sarcastic jokes which you obviously can't handle. It doesn't make me angry, I just think it's rather sad and I reserve the right to say so. It's really very simple.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:36 Then you lie to everyone saying that you weren't angry but you just suspended anyway!

I didn't lie about anything. Like I said, just because you've been caught lying over and over and over again and can't respond to any of it, doesn't put me on your level of dishonesty too. You don't need to be angry to suspend someone.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:36 (lemme just debate like you, call you angry and stuff) Then you just make up a cover story for your anger, a.k.a. call US angry, liars, and more. Already proved you're liar

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:36 You are so gonna try to defend yourself against this fact, but it's so useless, people just know you are like that. Just by looking at 3-4 random debates of you, people can tell.

Easy there tiger. You used the Surth thread as an example of this, and that's the only example you used. A quick link to that thread later showed everybody you were lying again, and that's yet another thing you found yourself completely unable to refute.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:36 You call almost everyone a liar and angry over fact 'x', then you associate that with an automatic proof for your statement 'y'.

Not only is this nonsense, but once again it dodges the fact that every single time I've called someone a liar, including you, I've justified and proven it.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:36 I didn't do anything to get anybody on my side, I never had an intention like that. People just know which side's bitching, easy to notice: 'IT WAS ALL JUST A JUSTIFIED ADMIN DECISION AND HES ANGRY, IM NOT, HES LYING, IVE PROVEN IT MANY TIMES'

"which side's bitching". OK. If you pay attention to the summary of this situation, the fact that I dropped the DQ issue right after the DQ itself and YOU kept bitching about it over and over again, the fact that YOU brought it into the community match to get some revenge and I didn't even respond to that, the fact that YOU brought it to several different forums where it didn't belong, the fact that every time you're on TS with someone you simply can't stop yourself bringing it up...

...and I'm the one bitching? sorry, all I've done is prove you lied to everyone and point out how very many times you've been wrong.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:36 You can just mention your 'admin actions' to hide your anger behind it, which you are doing here. Too bad you can't hide your true anger lol. After all these people here, at jelly, at smak, trying to prove you something, you just call them angry and liars, and that I'm trying to get people on my side by lying. That's just something you made up. Get over it.

And yet again, you dodge the fact that every time I've called you a liar, I've proven it. It shows just how catastrophically stupid you are that the fact I've caught you lying a bunch of times somehow reflects negatively on me instead of you.

I'm glad you didn't disappoint me when I said that my last post was your cue to try even harder to demonstrate you WEREN'T in a furious rage over the DQ and the suspension.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Sun, 18 May 2008 23:54:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This thread is very funny.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Mon, 19 May 2008 01:01:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why is everyone always lying?

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [liquidv2](#) on Mon, 19 May 2008 01:08:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nice straw-man argument

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [troopr02](#) on Mon, 19 May 2008 03:01:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

spooky, TD is obviously just continuing to make shit up, just don't bother anymore. Imfao, like its funny reading what kind of bullshit he posts but other than that, kinda waste of time since it was obvious before it even started that he was in the wrong.

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [TD](#) on Mon, 19 May 2008 05:35:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Seems like Spooky got troopr02 to hook him up! But we all know troopr02 only does this because he lost the 1v1 to me and made himself look like a dumbass by faking a disconnect.

And Spooky, nice strawman arguments. And I guess this post shows how angry I am too?

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Hitman](#) on Tue, 01 Jul 2008 13:16:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

your lucky i let you pick teams spooky u bitch!

Subject: Re: Spooky vs TD
Posted by [Jamie or NuneGa](#) on Wed, 02 Jul 2008 10:06:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

troopr is real smart
