
Subject: Spammers

Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 00:14:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Listen up all you Plecos-Posters. We (the moderators and most of the forum-goers) are sick of seeing you poop all over every post that is made.

Crimson tried giving you a spam forum, in hopes that you would go there to post your "funny" pictures and leave it out of the rest of the forum, but apparently your goal is not to share funny pictures, but rather to ruin conversations in the other forum sections.

Thus this is malicious and willing disregard of the forum rules and so I'm stepping in. You cannot say you had no warning as I have posted several warnings and locked several threads. Seriously, I am fairly surprised that you guys even spam the Hot Topics forum, the one place where people are expecting halfway intelligent conversation. Crimson, I, and the moderators are tired of having to warn, move, and delete pictures of plecos, llamas, and such every 4 hours from the forums.

That being said, since you subtle warnings are obviously not enough, the first people that are currently banned are:

Canadacdn: 1 day [Edit: He thought he would be a smartass and PM me plecos pics...extending ban to 1 week. Have a nice day.]

RenX: 1 day

I will increase the ban time depending on the amount of spam and previous bannings or warnings. You are still free to spam in the Spam section of the forums (note that it will be periodically wiped so doesnt count towards postcount).

Note: I'm not saying nobody can ever post an image. I think we all, in our collective common sense, know exactly what is spamming and what is not. To clarify, if you are talking about race cars and want to post an image of your car, that's fine. If people are talking about how religion has touched their lives and you post an 8MB picture of a damn fish, that is spam and you know it.

This "policy" is not set in stone. If you don't like it, take it up with Crimson, but I doubt she is going to say "Oh sure splatter the forums with Plecos's"

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [u6795](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 00:20:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In my most humble opinion, I think the bans are a little bit overreacting. The pictures had a purpose that they served for a while. Then the rest of the forum caught on and basically stole the whole thing from Canadacdn. That's why you've got the spam of it.

People see something even remotely unrelated and pick up on the opportunity to make some giggles and posts it.

tl;dr it used to be good, but faggots ruined it.

Edit: Upon reading your edit, it seems like Canadacdnd feels a little the same way.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 00:24:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Its not overreacting to put a stop to people spamming EVERY post that is made with fucking fish pictures, most of which are 4-5MB in size which takes most peoples browser a long time to load (compared to the rest of the forum text).

Due to a lot of work by the mods, the rest of you don't see most of the spam, but we are tired of cleaning it up.

I personally have tried warning people, locking threads, deleting the spam + sending a warning PM, moving spam to the spam forum...what do I get? More and more spam including sending them to me in PM.

So guess what....Ban hammer. If you don't like it, then just post a plecoss and I will make sure you take a break from the "oppressive admins".

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 00:26:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There's no sympathy from me here. I made you guys a spam forum for that shit and you still insist on throwing spam in every damn thread. You're making people not want to come here and not want to read threads and that's NOT acceptable, period.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [u6795](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 00:33:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Whoa whoa, I'm just saying, it wasn't all those two. Pretty much half the forum contributed a little. I see it's a problem, I'm just a little suprised.

Regardless, thanks for the 'cleaning' that you've been providing, I guess.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 01:06:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not long enough.... if you ask me.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 01:07:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I hear ya...sadly for the 2 that got banned, they were the last straw so to speak, so they are now being used as an example and warning to the others. I realize this isn't necessarily fair, even though they had multitudes of previous warnings, which is why I made the ban length only 1 day (for all I know they only visit every other day anyhow).

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Lone0001](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 01:10:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree 100% with you Blazer, banning seems to be the last thing you can do until they learn to stop.

Well done

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Genesis2001](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 01:23:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's a start. Good work guys

~Zack

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [HORQWER](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 01:47:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 17:14

Canadacd: 1 day [Edit: He thought he would be a smartass and PM me plecos pics...extending ban to 1 week. Have a nice day.]

RenX: 1 day[/color][[/size]

lol
i have a present for ya

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 01:50:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Do you want to get banned?

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 02:07:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 20:50Do you want to get banned?
Make it perm too.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 02:32:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sadukar09 wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 20:07R315r4z0r wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008
20:50Do you want to get banned?
Make it perm too.
I second that.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [luv2pb](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 02:33:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I want to post a pleco pic in the thread so bad ...

Also, if you want pics posted in the spam section maybe you should set it up so you can post pics like normal.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 02:34:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Finally, thank you.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 02:36:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What made plecos so funny anyways? They're just fish. >.>

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Canadacdn_](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 02:38:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey guys, I'm back!

Also, Blazer... I never PM'd you PLECOS pictures, so I don't know where you are getting that from.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [HORQWER](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 02:52:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

actually i'm the one who told blaze to ban people for 1 week if they spam plecos again

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Ethenal](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 03:08:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ferkhat wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 20:52 actually i'm the one who told blaze to ban people for 1 week if they spam plecos again

I'm sure they'd listen to you.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 03:09:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ferkhat wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 20:52 actually i'm the one who told blaze to ban people for 1 week if they spam plecos again

I'm pretty sure that multiple people told him to do something. >.>

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Dover](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 03:10:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer, I think you misunderstand the goal behind posting huge pictures of Plecos. The idea isn't to spam or to cause browser lag--Those are just unfortunate side-effects. Because the moderation cannot be everywhere and review everything, Plecos "Spam" is a sort of citizen's lock. Once a topic reaches the point where it's just "lol no u suk" back and forth between Surth and Troop, something has to be done, and that something is Plecos.

But, eh. Rules are rules, I suppose.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Muad Dib15](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 03:11:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Originally Blue wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 20:32sadukar09 wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 20:07R315r4z0r wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 20:50Do you want to get banned?

Make it perm too.

I second that.

I third that.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 03:21:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Could you please check the sender of that PM blazer? Canadacdn swears he was not the author.

For the rest of you, the plecos thing...tired gag. (Canadacdn was not the only member of renforums to post humongoid pictures.)

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 03:47:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 21:21Could you please check the sender of that PM blazer? Canadacdn swears he was not the author.

For the rest of you, the plecos thing...tired gag. (Canadacdn was not the only member of

renforums to post humongoid pictures.)
But he started it, I thinks.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Rocko](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 04:07:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Originally Blue wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 21:47Oblivion165 wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 21:21Could you please check the sender of that PM blazer? Canadacdn swears he was not the author.

For the rest of you, the plecos thing...tired gag. (Canadacdn was not the only member of renforums to post humongoid pictures.)
But he started it, I thinks.
started the most retarded thing imaginable

pleco is not funny and will not ever be funny until the end of time

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 04:14:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It was meant as the non-mod lock, and therefore, they were posted so the topic WOULD get locked.

Then everyone else caught on and started being douchebags with it, just like anything else that's funny.

Remember cat pictures? They used to be hilarious, until every 11 year old idiot who illegally downloaded photoshop from utorrent while learning how to masturbate using a guide also downloaded from utorrent started posting them.

Same situation here.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [\[NE\]Fobby\[GEN\]](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 04:31:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How I look at it, Plecos and quote pyramids were used by a lot of people to end a useless topic that would need to be locked anyway. A lot of which were just useless topics made by Rocko or Ferkhat, and since moderators don't always arrive on time on these forums, people would just post Plecos to kill the thread.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 04:41:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

2 wrongs don't make a right.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 05:29:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is a "report" option, whereas if a couple of people requested a thread be locked or that a moderator look at it, would do the trick. There is no need to post huge pictures in order to "stop" a lame thread from continuing. That would be like your mom coming in and taking a dump on your pillow to convince you to clean your room.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 05:30:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But isn't there an "ignore" button?

I changed that one before you removed it.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 05:37:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol...if someone wants to leave these forums for counterstrike because they aren't allowed to annoy everyone with spam... *heres the door*

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 05:40:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luv2pb wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 19:33Also, if you want pics posted in the spam section maybe you should set it up so you can post pics like normal.

?
Not sure whatcha mean there man...the spam forum has the same (lack of) restrictions on posting pics as the other forums currently have, and from looking at the spam forum, nobody is having a problem posting pics there?

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 05:45:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jerad Gray wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 22:30But isn't there an "ignore" button?

[HUGE PICTURE OF BROWSER WINDOW REMOVED BY MODERATOR]

1. Yes there is an ignore button, but we are just supposed to ignore everyones complaints about the spam and just tell them to ignore it? For fucks sake 2 days ago a poll was started by people complaining that the mods were not doing enough to remove spam, and now you are complaining that stopping the spam is oppressive?

2. How clever of you to post a giant (1280x968) picture of your browser. I know you are just hoping I will ban you for it so you can say that it was unfair because the picture was about the topic at hand, I have half a mind to do exactly that, but I try not to feed the emos.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 05:49:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

edit button

Canadacnd is a good friend of mine!

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 05:53:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I commend the Administration for finally waking up and kicking ass. This is a fun forum with relaxed rules but there should be a line.

Good job, Blazer and Crimson.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 05:55:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Note that I reduced Canadacdn's ban back down to 1 day, but now he is trying to ban evade by creating multiple accounts, which is an attack and against the rules, so I may extend it back out to week again if he doesn't stop.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 06:06:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 23:55 Note that I reduced Canadacdn's ban back down to 1 day, but now he is trying to ban evade by creating multiple accounts, which is an attack and against the rules, so I may extend it back out to week again if he doesn't stop.

Oh Noes! But I can understand why he wants to get back: this place is so damned irresistible.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 06:22:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Good things happen to go people.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Rocko](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 06:23:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jerad Gray wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 00:22 Good things happen to go people.
good people happen to bad things

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 06:25:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bad things happen to good people to, and from my experience those things are often much worse.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 06:25:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I had a talk with Canadacdn so its all good. In fact I am making him a moderator of the Spam Fest forum (once his ban expires). Now he can help deal with the crazy mess he started

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Jerad2142](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 06:26:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That makes sense.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 07:29:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

People complain about stupid topics that should be locked anyway, but maybe instead of posting idiotic pictures, they should just ignore those topics, and not keep them alive.

Seeing bullshit in the 'Hot-Topics' area miffed me, because there were some discussions in there that were pretty good, and got ruined by a couple of retards.

I'll be happy to see less idiocy in the future.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Goztow](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 07:36:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The forum spamming seems limited to general discussion and hot topics, though. I suppose most spammers don't visit the other forums?

Anyway, good initiative.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Caveman](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 08:22:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 05:29 There is a "report" option, whereas if a couple of people requested a thread be locked or that a moderator look at it, would do the trick. There is no need to post huge pictures in order to "stop" a lame thread from continuing. That would be like your mom coming in and taking a dump on your pillow to convince you to clean your room.

I've lost count of how many times i've used the 'report' button to get a thread locked because it was either created by an idiot who was just trying to increase his e-penis or because it was just spam created by a troll. Not once did I see any of the reported threads locked.

To the topic at hand, it's about time that the admins/mods actually did something useful to stop the spam.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 08:50:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The report option works...when a mod or admin logs in, there is a bright red indicator that will not go away until the report is read and deleted. Maybe there is a rogue mod who just deletes them all

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 09:03:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey, instead of banning the people who try to rid of stupid topics/arguements in topics, why not ban the people who start those stupid topics/arguements/whatever in the first place?

Such as:

Rocko

Troop

Surth

And other people who pretty much only troll the whole fucking time. I'd say trolls are alot more annoying than spammers.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 10:22:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"I wrote" I think everybody should be banned from RenForums, including Crimson.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Cat998](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 11:20:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Mason wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 11:22

"I wrote" I think everybody should be banned from RenForums, including Crimson.

And we already have our first ban candidate

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [OWA](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 13:27:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Can we have more moderators please?

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [reborn](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 13:27:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I appreciate the effort to make this place nicer. Thankyou.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Carrierll](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 13:45:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, from what I see however, most mods are in the American timezones, leaving a gap where the European spammers can get in.

However, thank you for finally taking action.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 13:49:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jerad Gray wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 00:25Bad things happen to good people to, and from my experence those things are often much worse.

This statement mocks reason, logic, and knowledge.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Carrierll](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 13:52:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Starbuzz [ELITE wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 13:49]Jerad Gray wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 00:25Bad things happen to good people too, and from my experence those things are often much worse.

This statement mocks reason, logic, and knowledge.

Eh, it doesn't. And I added an "o" where there should've been one, maybe that helps you see. This is off-topic though, we could discuss this in the hot topics bit.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 13:55:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 07:52Starbuzz [ELITE wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 13:49]Jerad Gray wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 00:25Bad things happen to good people too, and from my experience those things are often much worse.

This statement mocks reason, logic, and knowledge.

Eh, it doesn't. And I added an "o" where there should've been one, maybe that helps you see. This is off-topic though, we could discuss this in the hot topics bit.

Ahhh! Thanks for fixing the typo.

NOTE: I take back my previous statement. Sorry Jerad.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [luv2pb](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 15:08:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 00:40luv2pb wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 19:33Also, if you want pics posted in the spam section maybe you should set it up so you can post pics like normal.

?

Not sure whatcha mean there man...the spam forum has the same (lack of) restrictions on posting pics as the other forums currently have, and from looking at the spam forum, nobody is having a problem posting pics there?

In every section except the spam section there is a "file attachments" section right below the "body" section. In there contains a white box with a "browse" button and an "upload file" button next to it. You can browse folders, find the pic you want, and the upload it. Unless something is fucked with my account (which is possible) that is not the case in the spam section.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 17:06:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just went and toggled the option off and back on, and it works for me...try clearing your cache.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Sir Kane](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 18:54:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As if you haven't posted stupid images...

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [trooprm02](#) on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 20:27:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 03:03Hey, instead of banning the people who try to rid of stupid topics/arguements in topics, why not ban the people who start those stupid topics/arguements/whatever in the first place?

Such as:

Rocko
Troop
Surth

And other people who pretty much only troll the whole fucking time. I'd say trolls are alot more annoying than spammers.

LMFAO. Cabal, you are a comedy gold mine<3

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Renx](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 00:43:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 13:06I just went and toggled the option off and back on, and it works for me...try clearing your cache.

Check to make sure "Attach Files" for "All Registered Users" is set to yes in the group manage for that forum. Also make sure the maximum attachments is not set to 0 in the forum settings in the admin panel.

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Blazer](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 02:22:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

File Attachments

1) [forum.png](#), downloaded 572 times

Editing forums for General Discussions

[Back to categories](#)

Forum Name:	<input type="text" value="Spam Fest"/>
Description	<input type="text" value="You may spam to your heart's content here. All other rules still apply."/>
Tag Style	<input type="text" value="FUD ML"/>
Password Posting <small>Posting is only allowed with a knowledge of a password</small>	<input type="text" value="No"/>
Posting Password	<input type="text"/>
Moderated Forum	<input type="text" value="No"/>
Max Attachment Size: <small>Your php's maximum file upload size is 16384 KB. You cannot set the forum's attachment size limit higher than that.</small>	<input type="text" value="8000"/> kb
Max Number of file Attachments:	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Can moderator(s) bypass attachment limits:	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>
Notify moderator(s) of all new messages:	<input type="text" value="No"/>
Message Threshold <small>Maximum size of the message DISPLAYED without the reveal link (0 == unlimited)</small>	<input type="text" value="0"/> bytes
Forum Icon	<input type="text" value=""/> [SELECT ICON]

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Renx](#) on Sat, 26 Jan 2008 02:23:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Did you check the group manager too?

Subject: Re: Spammers

Posted by [Speedy059](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 07:00:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 20:07: I hear ya...sadly for the 2 that got banned, they were the last straw so to speak, so they are now being used as an example and warning to the others. I realize this isn't necessarily fair, even though they had multitudes of previous warnings, which is why I made the ban length only 1 day (for all I know they only visit every other day anyhow).

lol, for some reason that reminds me of an "The Office" episode when Dwight tells Michael to make an example out of the new employee's and to fire some of them.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Kibax](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 16:58:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ban for posting pictures. Why not just get more mods...

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Sun, 27 Jan 2008 17:00:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Are you the same Kibax who wants to make that C&C3 movie?

If so: HAI!

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Dover](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 01:07:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Thu, 24 January 2008 21:29 There is a "report" option, whereas if a couple of people requested a thread be locked or that a moderator look at it, would do the trick. There is no need to post huge pictures in order to "stop" a lame thread from continuing. That would be like your mom coming in and taking a dump on your pillow to convince you to clean your room.

Right, but then we have to wait for a moderator to get on. It's no faster and only slightly more efficient than letting nature take its course and letting a moderator find the thread on their own. In some cases, the thread lives out the rest of its twisted, natural life by the time that happens.

On the mom taking a dump thing, good analogy, and if my mom did that I would DEFINATELY clean my room, immediately.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 02:38:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

shrug...I've dealt with over a dozen reported messages in the past few hours alone.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [sadukar09](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 02:51:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Should I post what WHOREQUEER said Blazer?

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [HORQWER](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 02:54:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sure and
this is a Spammers topic can u guys change it to Spammer because there is only on spamr
which is sadukar09

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Genesis2001](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 02:58:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 19:38*shrug*...I've dealt with over a dozen reported
messages in the past few hours alone.

lol.

~Zack

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 03:08:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Zack wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008 20:58Blazer wrote on Sun, 27 January 2008
19:38*shrug*...I've dealt with over a dozen reported messages in the past few hours alone.

lol.

~Zack
+2

;o

~BlueThe-

wait, let's not start this again.

Subject: Re: Spammers
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 06:39:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You guys just won't quit will you.

lock
