

---

Subject: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 01 Dec 2006 21:42:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[http://www.livescience.com/technology/061201\\_ap\\_airport\\_xray.html](http://www.livescience.com/technology/061201_ap_airport_xray.html)

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [Canadacdn](#) on Fri, 01 Dec 2006 22:22:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I know people are going to be uncomfortable with the machine, but it's pretty damn cool.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 01 Dec 2006 23:08:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ugh, that's the airport I fly out of. I always pass the metal detector though.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [z310](#) on Fri, 01 Dec 2006 23:20:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'll be happy if they ever sell the technology - without the blurring - built into sun glasses.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [Dave Anderson](#) on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 03:13:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You won't be too happy when that three to four hundred pound women walks in front of your view.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [z310](#) on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 03:32:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

How do you know that?

no, no, no -- no. I'm kidding.

---

I could look down.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [Ryu](#) on Sat, 02 Dec 2006 04:22:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It's a good thing it blur's out certain parts of the body, otherwise the dudes behind the screen would be taking screen shots.

That is cool, Still doesn't beat a time machine.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [fl00d3d](#) on Sun, 03 Dec 2006 05:39:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It is definitely too intrusive. I wouldn't doubt if this is completely rejected in time.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [icedog90](#) on Mon, 04 Dec 2006 06:02:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

At least people have the choice to do ordinary procedures instead.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [Doitle](#) on Mon, 04 Dec 2006 08:27:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have no qualms with it. But then I have none with being wanded either or the other methods...

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [superj69](#) on Tue, 05 Dec 2006 04:34:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

wow that would rly suck to go through, i would hate to have someone stareing at me....thank god im canadian and dont fly often

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman

---

Posted by [puddle\\_splasher](#) on Thu, 07 Dec 2006 09:27:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Alex wrote on Sat, 02 December 2006 05:22It's a good thing it blur's out certain parts of the body, otherwise the dudes behind the screen would be taking screen shots.

That is cool, Still doesn't beat a time machine.

OMG What will the poor females use to protect their modesty?

What if there is "no grass on the green"?

No books needed in the gents toilet at tea-break

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman

Posted by [puddle\\_splasher](#) on Thu, 07 Dec 2006 09:29:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Doitle wrote on Mon, 04 December 2006 02:27I have no qualms with it. But then I have none with being wanded either or the other methods...

Get out the rubber gloves and KY Gel for a rectal examination

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman

Posted by [Ryu](#) on Thu, 07 Dec 2006 09:33:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

puddle\_splasher wrote on Thu, 07 December 2006 03:27Alex wrote on Sat, 02 December 2006 05:22It's a good thing it blur's out certain parts of the body, otherwise the dudes behind the screen would be taking screen shots.

That is cool, Still doesn't beat a time machine.

OMG What will the poor females use to protect their modesty?

What if there is "no grass on the green"?

No books needed in the gents toilet at tea-break

---

Led, Xray's cant see through Led, lol.

Unless it doesn't use radiation, then idk what, lol.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [puddle\\_splasher](#) on Thu, 07 Dec 2006 13:52:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

\*cough\* The lead would be shoved down the front of the females frillys and would then appear as a darkened mass. Especially as rhe radiation cant pass through it.

Therefore Lead is a sure fire way of being pulled over and subjected to a full body, naked search.

Nope Lead is not the answer.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Thu, 07 Dec 2006 16:37:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

i can just see years from now some strange medical thing arising due to hmmm i don't know

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [SlikRik](#) on Thu, 07 Dec 2006 22:29:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

puddle\_splasher wrote on Thu, 07 December 2006 08:52\*cough\* The lead would be shoved down the front of the females frillys and would then appear as a darkened mass. Especially as rhe radiation cant pass through it.

Therefore Lead is a sure fire way of being pulled over and subjected to a full body, naked search.

Nope Lead is not the answer.

Unless you have some strange fetish for full body naked searches...

But yes, for us normal people, lead is not the answer.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [puddle\\_splasher](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 10:34:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Darkknight wrote on Thu, 07 December 2006 11:37i can just see years from now some strange

---

medical thing arising due to hmmm i don't know

I can imagine it happening NOW!!!

Happening to the guy that checks the screen. There will be an immediate arising

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 11:26:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

lets put it this way.

men want to have it. woman want it banned.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 14:23:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I wouldn't be so sure about that. Anyone I've asked about these things, male or female, has had a generally negative reaction to them.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [puddle\\_splasher](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 16:34:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nukelt15 wrote on Fri, 08 December 2006 15:23I wouldn't be so sure about that. Anyone I've asked about these things, male or female, has had a generally negative reaction to them.

Here is a positive reply.

I wish this was available years ago.

I welcome it with open arms, but then again I have nothing to hide or be embarrassed about

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 16:47:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

puddle\_splasher wrote on Fri, 08 December 2006 16:34

I welcome it with open arms, but then again I have nothing to hide or be embarrassed about

EXACLY.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 16:48:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If you can get a hard-on by looking at a negative of a naked woman, be my guest. I couldn't possibly see how you would, but whatever.

I just don't see the need to be exposed for airport security. With nearly all of passengers having no intention of hijacking a plane, exposing their privacy seems a little extreme.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 17:18:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 wrote on Fri, 08 December 2006 16:48With nearly all of passengers having no intention of hijacking a plane, exposing their privacy seems a little extreme.

It only takes one to blow one up

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 19:24:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Darkknight wrote on Fri, 08 December 2006 12:18j\_ball430 wrote on Fri, 08 December 2006 16:48With nearly all of passengers having no intention of hijacking a plane, exposing their privacy seems a little extreme.

It only takes one to blow one up  
It only takes one person to shoot another. Should we arrest everybody to prevent that, too?

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 20:18:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 wrote on Fri, 08 December 2006 13:24Darkknight wrote on Fri, 08 December 2006

12:18j\_ball430 wrote on Fri, 08 December 2006 16:48With nearly all of passengers having no intention of hijacking a plane, exposing their privacy seems a little extreme.

It only takes one to blow one up

It only takes one person to shoot another. Should we arrest everybody to prevent that, too?

Yeah the person getting onto a plane with a gun we should prevent that to.

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 20:53:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You people are thinking that they will be showing the x-rays to public. It's like being at a doctor.

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 21:06:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

some people get a thrill out of looking at other peoples privacy.

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 21:10:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

if this thing keeps just one person from getting onto a plane with a gun or bomb, then yeah im all for it.

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 21:19:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bluethen wrote on Fri, 08 December 2006 15:53You people are thinking that they will be showing the x-rays to public. It's like being at a doctor.

I don't care if it was just one or two people. I don't feel like being exposed, even if it is in x-ray form.

-----

I'm not going to sacrifice my privacy because one person may carry a bomb on a plane. There are better methods to test than to use that invasive machine.

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [z310](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 01:59:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have shit to hide, and I'm for it.

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [jnz](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 10:01:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

i wouldn't perticular be botherd...just as long as the plane don't blow up.

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 15:43:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hmm tough decision.

Plane blowing up or hijacked in one hand or someone seeing your bones. I can see the dilemma in this decision.

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 16:36:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Darkknight wrote on Mon, 11 December 2006 10:43hmm tough decision.

Plane blowing up or hijacked in one hand or someone seeing your bones. I can see the dilemma in this decision.

Yes, because privacy is so overrated...

This is just another way the government can expand its power.  
There are other ways to protect against hijacks.

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman

---



Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 22:24:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Bascially it comes down to the eternal question:

"How much freedom are you willing to give up to be safe?"

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 22:30:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warranto wrote on Mon, 11 December 2006 17:24Bascially it comes down to the eternal question:

"How much freedom are you willing to give up to be safe?"

I like my privacy. Driving is far more dangerous than flying is. I don't really know of a more safe mode of transportation than flying. There are people who are going to intentionally cause automobile accidents in insurance fraud. There's road rage that creates accidents because someone lost a nut when someone forgot to use a turn signal.

You can't eliminate danger, and I'm not going to give the government any more of my freedom when it comes to flying if they don't try to fix the other, more dangerous, modes of transportation.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 16:30:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'd rather take a train than take a plane.

If given the choice, I'd rather just drive. Airports are too much of a hassle to be worth flying unless I'm pressed for time and have to go a long ways or if there's no other way to get where I'm going. I prefer spending 20 or so hours driving to...

spending an hour getting to the nearest airport, then waiting 2 hours in the airport getting reamed by TSA (folks working off a checklist instead of training) in order to spend 3 hours on a plane, with hundreds of people I don't know squeezed in like sardines... only to get off at the other end wondering if my baggage is going to be in the same place I am, spend an hour just getting out of the airport, then another hour getting home again. That's 8 hours at least, assuming that the flight doesn't hit any delays whilst on the ground or in the air- it could easily be as much as 10.

Personally, I'd rather take twice as long to get where I'm going and be in control of my means of getting me and my stuff where I'm going, not have the hassle of security, and not have to change what I wear and how I act just to keep from getting "random" screened every freaking time.

Flying ain't worth it. We've got plenty of security measures and technology to make things safe, but as long as the people operating the equipment don't know what they're doing...

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 18:15:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 11 December 2006 10:36Darkknight wrote on Mon, 11 December 2006 10:43hmm tough decision.

Plane blowing up or hijacked in one hand or someone seeing your bones. I can see the dilemma in this decision.

Yes, because privacy is so overrated...

This is just another way the government can expand its power.  
There are other ways to protect against hijacks.

I don't like bigger government either and i have very conservative values but to me someone seeing my bones through an x-ray to see if I'm carrying a weapon or bomb isn't a big deal.

If they can stop just one plane from blowing up or being hi-jacked then x-ray me all you want.

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 18:23:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Just an update because people aren't reading the article.

This is not your traditional x-ray.

This does not show bones, it shows the outer layer of a person in a censored, but descriptive format.

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 18:26:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warranto wrote on Tue, 12 December 2006 12:23Just an update because people aren't reading the article.

This is not your traditional x-ray.

This does not show bones, it shows the outer layer of a person in a censored, but descriptive format.

ahh ok your right.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 21:45:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah... that's the picture I was referring to... not the bones. I don't care if airport security could see my bones... I just don't want them to see my "bone"... heh...

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 22:57:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

j\_ball430 wrote on Mon, 11 December 2006 10:36Darkknight wrote on Mon, 11 December 2006 10:43hmm tough decision.

Plane blowing up or hijacked in one hand or someone seeing your bones. I can see the dilemma in this decision.

Yes, because privacy is so overrated...

This is just another way the government can expand its power.  
There are other ways to protect against hijacks.

Like what? There are bombs that aren't made of metal. So metal detectors won't work. And the only other way is if they FEEL you... even in your "privacy places". Which is still ineffective.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [superj69](#) on Fri, 15 Dec 2006 04:45:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

that is true but there has to be a better way then having some guy/girl getting to look at your nuts while looking for a bomb.

---

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Thu, 21 Dec 2006 10:21:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

What if I cover a gun with my huge hardon?

---

Subject: Re: Beat this X-ray vision, Superman  
Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:26:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JohnDoe wrote on Thu, 21 December 2006 04:21: What if I cover a gun with my huge hardon?

Do they make guns that small???

---