Subject: Yet another Renguard bypasser - tank10848 Posted by havoc9826 on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 03:47:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

tank10848 joined Black-Cell and started one-shotting numerous Nod vehicles with his pistol. He kept !rg'ing himself to try to make people think he wasn't cheating, but it was rather obvious. We then banned him, he logged out of XWIS, and then rejoined XWIS and went to the LTROUSH CTF server. I warned them of what he was doing, and they probably banned him, since he was logged out of XWIS a couple minutes later. Please ban him from the Rengaurd network. Here's a screen to prove he was on Renguard and bypassing it:

http://www.black-cell.net/~pub/havoc9826/cheaters%20(active% 20ones)/tank10848.jpg

Subject: Re: Yet another Renguard bypasser - tank10848 Posted by inz on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 12:48:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

finally someone posts, why are those lines greyed out? i dont see any proof am i missing somthing?

Subject: Re: Yet another Renguard bypasser - tank10848 Posted by havoc9826 on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 14:59:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I grayed out the lines because I'm not authorized to give out anything that's exclusive to the game server admin channels (the things I left are also available in the public channel). This screenshot was obviously taken after tank10848 left, so it would not have any proof of what he did anyway. The relevant information from the public channel doesn't help all that much, except for showing two pistol kills in the same second. If you that nearly-useless log excerpt, you can get it here. If other server owners or the BHS/Renguard staff require more proof, they should ask Whitedragon to post admin channel logs in the Server Owners' Forum, since, as I said earlier, only our admins have the authority to release that information. What I will say is that in areas before the screenshot, there were messages indicating that tank10848 had killed full-health tanks with his pistol, and there was no Timed C4 present.

Subject: Re: Yet another Renguard bypasser - tank10848 Posted by futura83 on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 18:09:41 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

needless to say, you have to give evidence that he was running rg at the same time he cheated, because, even if he does use rg, it is still possible to run renegade without having renguard running.

Subject: Re: Yet another Renguard bypasser - tank10848 Posted by Ma1kel on Sun, 27 Aug 2006 13:39:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

the 17doctor wrote on Wed, 23 August 2006 13:09needless to say, you have to give evidence that he was running rg at the same time he cheated, because, even if he does use rg, it is still possible to run renegade without having renguard running.

He RGed himself 2 times, and he was idle on the RG network whilst cheating. Is that enough evidence?

Anyway, is he banned yet?

Subject: Re: Yet another Renguard bypasser - tank10848 Posted by Caveman on Sun, 27 Aug 2006 14:43:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ma1kel wrote on Sun, 27 August 2006 08:39the17doctor wrote on Wed, 23 August 2006 13:09needless to say, you have to give evidence that he was running rg at the same time he cheated, because, even if he does use rg, it is still possible to run renegade without having renguard running.

He RGed himself 2 times, and he was idle on the RG network whilst cheating. Is that enough evidence?

Anyway, is he banned yet?

What he is saying is that the SS that was provided didn't really explain anything except he was connected to RG. He didn't say he wasn't cheating now did he?