
Subject: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [Aprime](#) on Tue, 09 May 2006 03:57:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It appears that we're back with the good ol' dual shock controller.

Boomerang goes bye-bye.

Features include:

- Lighter than its PS2 predecessor.
- X, Y, Z Motion detection (hence 'Wiimote')
- Bluetooth

Pricing:

\$/Euros(Oh snap, lol)499 for the version with a 20GB hard drive and \$/Euros 100 more for the 60GB version.

An Xbox live-like 'system' will also be included at launch.

2 000 000 units should be available (at launch) worldwide.

UPDATE:

SD Memory?

DailytechThe 20GB PS3 will not feature integrated 802.11b/g. Furthermore, the 20GB version will not feature HDMI output.

launch date: Nov.17.2006

Discuss.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Tue, 09 May 2006 04:57:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:The 20GB PS3 will not feature integrated 802.11b/g. Furthermore, the 20GB version will not feature HDMI output.

They will rethink that, those are two very important features that they can't afford to charge

\$127.09 more for.

I highly doubt they can afford to charge \$634.17 for just the base unit. We will just end up being screwed on the games end paying \$70-\$80 on games.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [bigejoe14](#) on Tue, 09 May 2006 05:21:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

\$600 for a console? That's absurd! And here I was all eager to get my hands on the Warhawk sequel. Consider my dreams crushed.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Tue, 09 May 2006 10:50:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DarkDemin wrote on Tue, 09 May 2006 00:57Quote:The 20GB PS3 will not feature integrated 802.11b/g. Furthermore, the 20GB version will not feature HDMI output.

They will rethink that, those are two very important features that they can't afford to charge \$127.09 more for.

I highly doubt they can afford to charge \$634.17 for just the base unit. We will just end up being screwed on the games end paying \$70-\$80 on games.

What do you mean 634 dollars? By \$/Euros I meant that the 60GB was \$600 in the U.S. and 600 Euros in Europe, same thing applies to the 20GB version.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [Kanezor](#) on Tue, 09 May 2006 13:48:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Game consoles suck. As time progresses, time will prove it more and more.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Tue, 09 May 2006 22:26:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Fuck Sony. They fucking ripped off Wii's idea with their Wimote shit.

Fucking uncreative bastards.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [Kanezor](#) on Wed, 10 May 2006 01:25:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Except that, as far as I know, it has been long-known that the PS3's controller will go back to the PSX's controller, but with a wireless option.

It doesn't change the fact that wireless sucks. There's nothing like your batteries dying in the middle of the game. There's nothing like your signal getting fucked up in the middle of the game.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [hunteroo2](#) on Wed, 10 May 2006 20:52:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yay no more boomerang!

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [\[NE\]Fobby\[GEN\]](#) on Wed, 10 May 2006 21:18:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That controller sucks.. can't they get a little more creative for once, and put a proper D-Pad, and a design that doesn't look like a friggen box?

They totally copied Nintendo's idea, but adding it to their style of controller will just make it worse. Who here plays with their controller 100% straight when holding it? I personally lie down when playing consoles, so I guess I wouldn't be able to do that with a PS3.

\$600 is a rip off for a console. If you get insurance for it incase it breaks (which knowing Sony, it will be easy to mess up)and add the taxes, it'll end up being like \$800. That's without any games...

And I'm tired of Sony's prerendered bullshit. Just look at this.

Anyone see a difference?

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 10 May 2006 22:00:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I see a difference, Namco made those images.. Not Sony. Get your facts straight and stop being an idiot. Knowing how Namco works, the "pre rendered" image is from a high quality video. They've done the same thing with Ace Combat 5.

Oh no, Namco showed off pre-rendered images as wallpaper! That means it won't look like that at all with the PS2!

Whatever...

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [Aprime](#) on Thu, 11 May 2006 00:51:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Asterix about the Wii: It uses the Opera browser.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [bigjoe14](#) on Thu, 11 May 2006 01:56:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The problem isn't that Sony is making re-rendered images. It's that they're trying to pass it off as that's what the PS3 will really look like.

Remember back when they released the first screenshots of Killzone 2? Sony said that's what it was going to look like in game.

But that claim backfired. Big time. The producer of the CGI videos for Killzone 2 came out and said that the above picture was NOT accurate in displaying actual real time graphics for the PS3. It was a CGI movie but Sony tried to insist that it was real time PS3 graphics being shown.

I don't really care what Sony rips off from Nintendo or charges for the PS3. What I dislike about them is the fact that they lie about their products all the time. Like that time they said the PS2 was going to have "Toy Story quality graphics".

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Wiimote and Xbox Live
Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 11 May 2006 17:47:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'll be getting the Wii, Sony and Microsoft just don't do it for me. To each his own, that's why they make different products, to relate to different people.

I own a 360 DS PS2 and all the way down, the Nintendo 64 is the only one that has earned its value so far imo. The DS's Metroid Prime game is very good, but we will see if the console will continue with quality.

My 360, I hate. I hate it to the core. Oh great, PD:Zero, I've waited years for this...boot up...10 minutes of play...Crash!

If others don't have this problem, great and if they love the 360, even better.

My PS2 is a dust collector, I'm just not into the anime/Japanese exaggerated swords and physics. There are millions of people that are, so there you go.

I have to say that so far Nintendo hasn't let me down on a product. I even love the Virtual Boy. It's all relative and everyone will bash the others. At the end of the day, I'm just wanting to grab that Wii Remote and play some Zelda or Mario Kart.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [Aprime](#) on Thu, 11 May 2006 19:07:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I didn't really see this one coming: possible alliance against Sony ?(future failure (the PS3 that is), imo (and in the opinion of MANY others)):

<http://www.dailytech.com/article.aspx?newsid=2268>

The Wii according to a Sega VP and other fellows should retail around \$200 to \$250 United States Dollars, which means you'll be able to buy two consoles for the price of the PS3.

Wii Virtual Console Controller:

(that was somewhat anticipated)

<http://gear.ign.com/articles/707/707508p1.html>

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [Dover](#) on Thu, 11 May 2006 20:12:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

3 consoles.

The PS3 is not a \$500 machine. Sony is releasing a hugely dumbed down version for \$500. Their hope is really that you'll think "Well, I could give \$500 and get a half-assed PS3, but for a \$100 more..." and you'll end up paying the extra. The \$500 version is a joke.

Of course, it won't stop there. I'm sure you all remember what happened when the PS2 came out. About 100 would ship every month and people would give double, or triple the price, simply because "They have to have one". I won't be at all surprised if people start selling \$1000+ PS3s on Ebay the day they start shipping.

Bottom line: Sony is selling a \$600+ machine. I don't know about you guys, but that's too rich for me.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [Ma1kel](#) on Thu, 11 May 2006 20:47:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL, \$500 for a console? What the hell, I'm better off buying a Wii and/or some new parts for my PC.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [terminator 101](#) on Thu, 11 May 2006 21:13:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Thu, 11 May 2006 16:12 Sony is releasing a hugely dumbed down version for \$500. Their hope is really that you'll think "Well, I could give \$500 and get a half-assed PS3, but for a \$100 more..." and you'll end up paying the extra. The \$500 version is a joke. Almost exactly same thing could be said about Xbox.

"Microsoft is releasing a hugely dumbed down version for \$400. Their hope is really that you'll think "Well, I could give \$400 and get a half-assed Xbox, but for a \$100 more..." and you'll end up paying the extra. The \$400 version is a joke."

My number may be wrong (I don't now how much Xbox costs in US), but you get the point.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 11 May 2006 21:49:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think I'll get a Sony Playstation 3 unless they Remake Final Fantasy 7 for it. I love that game to death but now it's difficult to look at.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Fri, 12 May 2006 00:38:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think that price is overexagerated and they will rethink it. We have 5 months before it comes out,

maybe if they haven't changed their tune in two months I will take that price more seriously.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Fri, 12 May 2006 01:48:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbull wrote on Thu, 11 May 2006 17:49 I don't think I'll get a Sony Playstation 3 unless they Remake Final Fantasy 7 for it. I love that game to death but now it's difficult to look at. Atleast the graphics are better than some games out there, as is the gameplay. Y'know, like Runescape?

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sat, 13 May 2006 00:28:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

(Off Topic)

You know, I found out the other day that 2 people I used to go to school with, sold their runescape accounts.

Yes, doesn't sound weird, many people do it. Only thing is, one sold his account for £800, and the other sold his for £900.

No self-respecting person would EVER, EVER spend £800 on an account for a peice of crap game like Runescape never mind any game account full stop.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [csskiller](#) on Sat, 13 May 2006 02:21:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'll just wait a few years for the price of the ps3 to drop.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Sat, 13 May 2006 03:21:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Mason wrote on Fri, 12 May 2006 20:28(Off Topic)

You know, I found out the other day that 2 people I used to go to school with, sold their runescape accounts.

Yes, doesn't sound weird, many people do it. Only thing is, one sold his account for £800, and

the other sold his for £900.

No self-respecting person would EVER, EVER spend £800 on an account for a peice of crap game like Runescape never mind any game account full stop.
So they aren't self-respecting? Who're we to judge? Oh, right, people who don't play shitty games, right...

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?
Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Sat, 13 May 2006 04:04:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's hard to compare Final Fantasy with Runescape. One is a game you have to spend a few hundred bucks for (the game, the console) and the other is a free online game (or \$5 a month if you want more). Of course, I won't pay \$5 a month for anything online right now. But I'm also not buying \$400 bucks worth of equipment at the same time.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?
Posted by [Homey](#) on Sat, 13 May 2006 04:16:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Thu, 11 May 2006 16:123 consoles.

The PS3 is not a \$500 machine. Sony is releasing a hugely dumbed down version for \$500. Their hope is really that you'll think "Well, I could give \$500 and get a half-assed PS3, but for a \$100 more..." and you'll end up paying the extra. The \$500 version is a joke.

Of course, it won't stop there. I'm sure you all remember what happened when the PS2 came out. About 100 would ship every month and people would give double, or triple the price, simply because "They have to have one". I won't be at all surprised if people start selling \$1000+ PS3s on Ebay the day they start shipping.

Bottom line: Sony is selling a \$600+ machine. I don't know about you guys, but that's too rich for me.

Oh I remember, my friend's dad got 3 ps2's. He sold one for \$900 and one for \$1500 CDN.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?
Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Sat, 13 May 2006 04:48:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SpyGuy246 wrote on Sat, 13 May 2006 00:04It's hard to compare Final Fantasy with Runescape. One is a game you have to spend a few hundred bucks for (the game, the console) and the other is a free online game (or \$5 a month if you want more). Of course, I won't pay \$5 a month for anything online right now. But I'm also not buying \$400 bucks worth of equipment at the same

time.

And: FF7 is the shit, Runescape is shit.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 13 May 2006 05:19:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So you magically play RuneScape without a computer, that costs a lot more than a Playstation does? Nice work leaving out the hole in your logic.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Sat, 13 May 2006 17:31:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

All you need to run Runescape is Java, not Alienware. A \$400 machine will do that. You can find deals that are even cheaper, or you can build your own. And I don't know anyone who can build their own PlayStation.

Let's say the price at first glance is similar: \$400 computer with free Java game vs. \$400 PlayStation with \$20+ game (however much it goes for these days). But Runescape will still be \$5 a month on a machine that has a lot more uses than a PlayStation while Final Fantasy will be a lot more expensive for network play.

Point is, the only thing they really have in common is online role-playing. You get what you pay for.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 13 May 2006 19:05:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So you're still paying to play Runescape, like you'd pay to play a game on the PS3.

Subject: Re: [E3] PS3 - Flop?

Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Sat, 13 May 2006 20:10:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Only if you want a members account. You'd have to pay for Final Fantasy either way, if they did have a members option.
