Subject: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Jaspah on Mon, 01 May 2006 20:45:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www4.ncsu.edu/~smheath/news14.html
Quote:News 14 Carolina gets pwnt

On February 26, 2004, we had a snowstorm here in Raleigh, and all the news channels posted the businesses and schools that would be closed the next day. One of these fine news organizations, Time Warner Cable's News 14 Carolina, chose the most convenient but least secure method to allow businesses to report closings: the Internet. Well, it wasn't long before members of The Wolf Web, an NCSU message board, exposed this flaw. They went crazy, as you're about to see. The best part of the whole thing was once a closing was accepted, it could be edited on the Internet and would go straight to TV without having to be reviewed again, so a fake closing that seemed plausible the first time it was shown could be outrageous the next time.

    Click here to read the thread on The Wolf Web.

 LOL.

That's priceless.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt" (I dont care if it's old >:O)
Posted by Jaspah on Mon, 01 May 2006 20:46:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Found more:

Quote:

This closing was not posted on the Internet. Someone actually CALLED News 14 to get this one on.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Crimson on Mon, 01 May 2006 21:01:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oh my god, that's GREAT! Nice find.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by mision08 on Mon, 01 May 2006 21:34:27 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Wow, that is great.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by cmatt42 on Tue, 02 May 2006 01:36:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Old. Welcome to the Internet.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by light on Tue, 02 May 2006 05:08:33 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

That got me smiling, but you have to wonder at the stupidity of some people.

Did they just make a form that said "enter your business and closed hours and click submit"?

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by cheesesoda on Tue, 02 May 2006 18:53:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think that one could be legit.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Lijitsu on Wed, 03 May 2006 06:50:38 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cmatt42 wrote on Mon, 01 May 2006 21:36Old. Welcome to the Internet.
And why should we care that it's old? It's still funny, isn't it?

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Oblivion165 on Wed, 03 May 2006 16:35:27 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think its hilarious that everyone was talking about how they pay for their servers out of pocket, and script kiddies are lower than life. Then when it happends to anyone else its the woot train.

Woot woot.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Kanezor on Wed, 03 May 2006 17:33:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oblivion165 wrote on Wed, 03 May 2006 11:35I think its hilarious that everyone was talking about how they pay for their servers out of pocket, and script kiddies are lower than life. Then when it happends to anyone else its the woot train.

Woot woot.
The difference is that in this case, only time was lost, not money. In a gameserver's case, you're losing money if you're not getting any players. In TV's case, you're losing money if you're not getting any viewers. I daresay that that dodgy move by some people had actually increased TV viewage for a while.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Oblivion165 on Thu, 04 May 2006 16:51:11 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kanezor wrote on Wed, 03 May 2006 13:33Oblivion165 wrote on Wed, 03 May 2006 11:35I think its hilarious that everyone was talking about how they pay for their servers out of pocket, and script kiddies are lower than life. Then when it happends to anyone else its the woot train.

Woot woot.
The difference is that in this case, only time was lost, not money. In a gameserver's case, you're losing money if you're not getting any players. In TV's case, you're losing money if you're not getting any viewers. I daresay that that dodgy move by some people had actually increased TV viewage for a while.

Im sure the FCC fine they got for that was drastically more than a gamespy server loss. It doesn't matter if they weren't the cause of it, they are responsible for every broadcast they make.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Nightma12 on Thu, 04 May 2006 17:07:05 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

now y would they get a fine for something like that?

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by luv2pb on Thu, 04 May 2006 17:08:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

there is nothing in those changes that would warrent the FCC to fine them.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Sir Phoenixx on Thu, 04 May 2006 17:28:03 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oblivion165 wrote on Wed, 03 May 2006 12:35I think its hilarious that everyone was talking about how they pay for their servers out of pocket, and script kiddies are lower than life. Then when it happends to anyone else its the woot train.

Woot woot.

What do script kiddies have to do with this? All they did was submit the closings to that news station's website, that's it.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by cheesesoda on Thu, 04 May 2006 19:42:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think the only thing that would even raise the eyebrow of the FCC would be the whole "Cockring Emporium" closing.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Oblivion165 on Fri, 05 May 2006 02:51:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Because they used an expliot to do those changes, same range of crap.

They get fined everytime a streaker runs past the camera. Its even bigger if they ackowledge it.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Sir Phoenixx on Fri, 05 May 2006 12:41:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:Because they used an expliot to do those changes, same range of crap.

If by exploit you mean the person reviewing the submissions wasn't doing his job, then yeah you're right. This has absolutely nothing to do with script kiddies, hacking, etc. How is trying to close down a server by attacking it with whatever program the same as submitting funny/stupid fake company closings to a news channel website?

Quote:They get fined everytime a streaker runs past the camera. Its even bigger if they ackowledge it.

Wait, a streaker, lol? Yeah, because we all know how having "h4x0r3d Computer Services inc.", "PWNT Industries", or even "Cecil's Cockring Emporium" at the bottom of screen for a few seconds, are anywhere comparable at all to a naked person running across the camera.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Sniper_De7 on Fri, 05 May 2006 12:55:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

because jesus frowns upon both of those actions.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Kanezor on Fri, 05 May 2006 21:52:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

A naked person running across the camera is obscene.

"h4x0r3d Computer Services inc.", "PWNT Industries", or even "Cecil's Cockring Emporium" running across the television isn't obscene. It's funny. If it were "you're a cunt" or something similar, then it would be obscene. Although, the 'cockring emporium' does come pretty close to being obscene.

Fact is, there's not a lot that the station can do about some naked guy running across the camera -- save for intentionally distorting it, which can be rather hard on live TV. A ticker on the bottom of your screen, though, can very easily be manually filtered.

Subject: Re: OT: News 14 Carolina gets "pwnt"
Posted by Oblivion165 on Sun, 07 May 2006 05:31:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It doesnt matter what degree it was, it wasnt pre-approved by the broadcasting head of the department. The same group of guys that approved the original entries no doubt.

Just because 99% of people wouldnt find it offensive, doesnt matter. They must maintain all systems and be incharge of every single thing that they broadcast.

So no manner of "oh it didnt count" or "i dont find that offensive" will change it. It wasnt approved for broadcast.

[/Final post on this subject]