
Subject: same old...

Posted by [CrazyBastard](#) on Sat, 29 Oct 2005 23:19:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

edit

Quote:[12:36] Message from RenGuard: You have been banned by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:13:12 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass. Goodbye.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [bandie63](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 00:11:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You can trust this guy. We go way back. If my word means anything to you... He would never try to bypass RG.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Jokah](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 01:32:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, don't take this too seriously: I don't think BHS cares if you're mr. president, and has won the superbowl 10 times in a row.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Cboy](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 02:39:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you tried to bypass renguard, You deserve a ban .
hope you will be used as an example.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [\[RF\]Adj](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 03:58:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would like to see some reason as to how he was banned for "Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass. Goodbye." as I know him to be an honest gamer.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [CrazyBastard](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 04:14:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bypass renguard lmao, I know its possible but I wouldnt have the faintest idea how to do it. Not that I need to cheat, I get banned etc for just being so good...the only person who can put me to shame is slickbud...and do you think he cheats? I would like to see some proof that I cheat, and an explanation of the basis for the ban. I would have and will gladly submit to any cheat test at any time to prove my innocence. Hell I will even pay your \$30 fee. I don't mean to accuse you guys of banning me just for trash talking - perhaps it was just a mixup with IP addresses, or something else (I really have no idea about RG or cheating).

I will share a little secret with you: I used the first version of final renegade in a game I hosted once, along time ago when I was a n00b, to test it out. Obviously things like fully-automatic mammoth tanks made the game lag worse than RG and hence I didnt use it again. Once I left that game I went into the HazTeam server without restarting ren...Obviously FR was still operating even though I had removed it from the data folder... I killed a mod in one hit and was like OMG! and left the server immediately after I realised it was still on. I didnt go back into the HT servers for a week or so, as I was scared shitless that I would be banned. Luckily, I wasn't so much as temp banned for it. From that day, I have NEVER used a cheat - not even for testing purposes.

At least I'm trying to run Renguard despite the greif it gives me...

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [ajz4221](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 06:10:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[RFAdj wrote on Sat, 29 October 2005 23:58]I would like to see some reason as to how he was banned for "Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass. Goodbye." as I know him to be an honest gamer.

I have the exact same feelings.

If he hacks, he would have been banned from any of my servers a long time ago.

I think this is complete B.S.

He lives over seas (where most hackers are obviously), maybe his IP "LOOKED" like that of a previous "renguard" hacker?

This makes no sense to me what-so-ever...
Should be no reason to pay this "fee" anyways.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Sparrowhawk](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 10:18:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

heh. I've never seen him cheat nor do i ever think he would. He's plays the game well and it's comes as a surprise to find someone banned from RG using an old bypass hack

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Jokah](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 11:20:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ajz4221 wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 01:10[RFAAdj wrote on Sat, 29 October 2005 23:58]I would like to see some reason as to how he was banned for "Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass. Goodbye." as I know him to be an honest gamer.

I have the exact same feelings.

If he hacks, he would have been banned from any of my servers a long time ago.

I think this is complete B.S.

He lives over seas (where most hackers are obviously), maybe his IP "LOOKED" like that of a previous "renguard" hacker?

This makes no since to me what-so-ever...
Should be no reason to pay this "fee" anyways.

Rofl.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [ajz4221](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 12:57:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jokah wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 06:20ajz4221 wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 01:10[RFAAdj wrote on Sat, 29 October 2005 23:58]I would like to see some reason as to how he was banned for "Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass. Goodbye." as I know him to be an honest gamer.

I have the exact same feelings.

If he hacks, he would have been banned from any of my servers a long time ago.

I think this is complete B.S.

He lives over seas (where most hackers are obviously), maybe his IP "LOOKED" like that of a previous "renguard" hacker?

This makes no sense to me what-so-ever...
Should be no reason to pay this "fee" anyways.

Rofl.

wouldn't be the first time it has happened.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [\[RF\]Puppy](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 13:05:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You can get around renguard. I used a new name and went in using rengaurd and cheated, one hit kill. People tried to use force renguard on me and it said I was using renguard. They all got pissed and I just said, renguard is not unhackable you uber nubs. I don't cheat in games but I just wanted some people to know that renguard is not unhackable. This fee is stupid, renguard is stupid and I only use it so people stop bitching...

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [rc22fires](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 16:08:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[RFPuppy wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 08:05]You can get around renguard. I used a new name and went in using rengaurd and cheated, one hit kill. People tried to use force renguard on me and it said I was using renguard. They all got pissed and I just said, renguard is not unhackable you uber nubs. I don't cheat in games but I just wanted some people to know that renguard is not unhackable. This fee is stupid, renguard is stupid and I only use it so people stop bitching...

Renguard is not as stupid as you.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [\[RF\]Puppy](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 17:00:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rc22fires wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 11:08[RFPuppy wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 08:05]You can get around renguard. I used a new name and went in using rengaurd and cheated,

one hit kill. People tried to use force rengaard on me and it said I was using rengaard. They all got pissed and I just said, rengaard is not unhackable you uber nubs. I don't cheat in games but I just wanted some people to know that rengaard is not unhackable. This fee is stupid, rengaard is stupid and I only use it so people stop bitching...

Rengaard is not as stupid as you.

So how am I stupid, because I got around it?

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Jokah](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 17:16:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[RFPuppy wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 12:00]rc22fires wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 11:08[RFPuppy wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 08:05]You can get around rengaard. I used a new name and went in using rengaard and cheated, one hit kill. People tried to use force rengaard on me and it said I was using rengaard. They all got pissed and I just said, rengaard is not unhackable you uber nubs. I don't cheat in games but I just wanted some people to know that rengaard is not unhackable. This fee is stupid, rengaard is stupid and I only use it so people stop bitching...

Rengaard is not as stupid as you.

So how am I stupid, because I got around it?

It's stupid to cheat.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [DawGY](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 17:39:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ok now comes my 2 cents or more.

Im tired of you friggin loser RG mods/creators thinking that just becuz we dont have RG that we cheat, well we dont.

People that dont have RG r smart, and realize that RG sux balls.

90% of people that dont use RG hate it, and know that it is a pointless file that just takes up space on your computer, and the other 10% just dont know that it is out-there.

Now ive been playing Renegade since it was realeased, and was playing C&C games since the original Command and Conquer from Westwood. and never have i seen any game die becuz of some moronic people that think they can invent an Anti-Cheat. and yes, i used to be a RG user, but i deleted it after i got OVER 50 Viruses and 20 threats becuz of RG, and i checked, that all the files sources lead back to the RG data. so i was back to playing ren, the regular way, the way it should be played, RG free, and nobody using !forcerg PADawGY to kick me out of a game, so i

only played where i knew there wasnt any RG stuff. But i got tired of playing with people that i couldnt have as much playing with as i could with my Grandpa, Gr8Bigguy, so i joined the games with him, and he was/is a RG user, and played in Half-Renguard servers, so i risked it, and more than once i was Forcerg'd out of a server, and the most recent was urs, for "hacking" so i took it to IRC, a lot happend and i got banned form the IRC channel.

and yes i realize that you'll think that all of that is off topic but it isnt, becuz, Crazy dont cheat, he dont hack, he is an honest to goodness player of Renegade, and ive known Crazy for about a yr and a half now, and never has he cheated. so banning him fromwhatever for whatever he wa banned for is BS, and totally n00bish. and if you delete this post, then it just proves that you are afraid that i might make an impact on some people that u have control over becuz u control RG and they use it. so stop being total jackoffs and unban Crazy.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Parad0x](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 17:45:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's the internet, people aren't who they say they are all the time, aswell as them not always being so innocent as they make out.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Jokah](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 17:58:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DawGY wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 12:39ok now comes my 2 cents or more.

Im tired of you friggin loser RG mods/creators thinking that just becuz we dont have RG that we cheat, well we dont.

People that dont have RG r smart, and realize that RG sux balls.

90% of people that dont use RG hate it, and know that it is a pointless file that just takes up space on your computer, and the other 10% just dont know that it is out-there.

Now ive been playing Renegade since it was realeased, and was playing C&C games since the original Command and Conquer from Westwood. and never have i seen any game die becuz of some moronic people that think they can invent an Anti-Cheat. and yes, i used to be a RG user, but i deleted it after i got OVER 50 Viruses and 20 threats becuz of RG, and i checked, that all the files sources lead back to the RG data. so i was back to playing ren, the regular way, the way it should be played, RG free, and nobody using !forcerg PADawGY to kick me out of a game, so i only played where i knew there wasnt any RG stuff. But i got tired of playing with people that i couldnt have as much playing with as i could with my Grandpa, Gr8Bigguy, so i joined the games with him, and he was/is a RG user, and played in Half-Renguard servers, so i risked it, and more than once i was Forcerg'd out of a server, and the most recent was urs, for "hacking" so i took it to IRC, a lot happend and i got banned form the IRC channel.

and yes i realize that you'll think that all of that is off topic but it isnt, becuz, Crazy dont cheat, he dont hack, he is an honest to goodness player of Renegade, and ive known Crazy for about a yr and a half now, and never has he cheated. so banning him fromwhatever for whatever he wa banned for is BS, and totally n00bish. and if you delete this post, then it just proves that you are afraid that i might make an impact on some people that u have control over becuz u control RG

and they use it. so stop being total jackoffs and unban Crazy.

Did you register just to say that? And as Parad0x was bringing forth: "It's the internet" I don't know this "guy\girl" But I wouldn't care if he\she is the most important man\woman in the world.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [ajz4221](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 18:02:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I might be angry about renguard, but you people that say you have cheated is just as bad...I hope you realize that.

I absolutly HATE cheaters regardless of what I think about Renguard...

Don't do it and it gets you nowhere; play the game the way it is suppose to be played (like when it was released maybe?)

oh wait...that would never happen.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Scythar](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 18:12:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DawGY wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 12:39ok now comes my 2 cents or more.

Im tired of you friggin loser RG mods/creators thinking that just becuz we dont have RG that we cheat, well we dont.

People that dont have RG r smart, and realize that RG sux balls.

90% of people that dont use RG hate it, and know that it is a pointless file that just takes up space on your computer, and the other 10% just dont know that it is out-there.

Now ive been playing Renegade since it was realeased, and was playing C&C games since the original Command and Conquer from Westwood. and never have i seen any game die becuz of some moronic people that think they can invent an Anti-Cheat. and yes, i used to be a RG user, but i deleted it after i got OVER 50 Viruses and 20 threats becuz of RG, and i checked, that all the files sources lead back to the RG data. so i was back to playing ren, the regular way, the way it should be played, RG free, and nobody using !forcerg PADawGY to kick me out of a game, so i only played where i knew there wasnt any RG stuff. But i got tired of playing with people that i couldnt have as much playing with as i could with my Grandpa, Gr8Bigguy, so i joined the games with him, and he was/is a RG user, and played in Half-Renguard servers, so i risked it, and more than once i was Forcerg'd out of a server, and the most recent was urs, for "hacking" so i took it to IRC, a lot happend and i got banned form the IRC channel.

and yes i realize that you'll think that all of that is off topic but it isnt, becuz, Crazy dont cheat, he dont hack, he is an honest to goodness player of Renegade, and ive known Crazy for about a yr and a half now, and never has he cheated. so banning him fromwhatever for whatever he wa banned for is BS, and totally n00bish. and if you delete this post, then it just proves that you are

afraid that i might make an impact on some people that u have control over becuz u control RG and they use it. so stop being total jackoffs and unban Crazy.

Mindless rantings won't have any impact on the RG users who know it doesn't spread any viruses or trojans. And give me a break, if you get "50 viruses and 20 threats" on your system, it just proves you suck at computers in general. (Probably because you're quite young, judging from your post). And also, I highly doubt you have any kind of idea how to check if a file source leads back to "RG data".

If you have something important to say, then say it. If you just want attention, wear a clown mask and go run down the street naked.

And sorry, this became awfully ranting/offensive post, didn't quite mean it. I'm still going to be an idiot and post it nevertheless.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [=HT=Soviet](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 18:18:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think renguard is an absolutely great program on the other hand it has become an easy out answer for those who even suspect of cheating. Unless a file is explicitly found to be in that players renegade folder, they should not be banned from any server just because they are too good. This is an age old argument and has been established numerous times.

The fact that Crazy here was banned does not surprise me. Not because he cheats, but because whenever any of us attempt to use Renguard something seems to go wrong and we wind up banned ourselves. I think it goes back to the Salem Witch Hunt when the father of the chief magistrate wrote to his son regarding the events in Salem Village. He said something of the extent of letting 10 guilty go free rather than let one innocent be condemned.

The answer to rampant hackers in our servers was and always will be well trained moderators entrusted to maintain a fair process for testing cheaters.

Also one last bit, Tommy your opinions are just that your opinions. Alot of your supposed facts are based on circumstantial at best evidence. Like i said earlier it is a great program but like most programs has a few minor drawbacks. Also respectfully Tommy noone is forcing the servers to run Renguard. If a server runs the program great for them if not that's ok to. It's just that your post seems highly critical of a program that is rooted in good will.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [\[RF\]Puppy](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 19:11:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

=HT=Soviet wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 12:18I think renguard is an absolutely great program

on the other hand it has become an easy out answer for those who even suspect of cheating. Unless a file is explicitly found to be in that players renegade folder, they should not be banned from any server just because they are too good. This is an age old argument and has been established numerous times.

The fact that Crazy here was banned does not surprise me. Not because he cheats, but because whenever any of us attempt to use Renguard something seems to go wrong and we wind up banned ourselves. I think it goes back to the Salem Witch Hunt when the father of the chief magistrate wrote to his son regarding the events in Salem Village. He said something of the extent of letting 10 guilty go free rather than let one innocent be condemned.

The answer to rampant hackers in our servers was and always will be well trained moderators entrusted to maintain a fair process for testing cheaters.

Also one last bit, Tommy your opinions are just that your opinions. Alot of your supposed facts are based on circumstantial at best evidence. Like i said earlier it is a great program but like most programs has a few minor drawbacks. Also respectfully Tommy noone is forcing the servers to run Renguard. If a server runs the program great for them if not that's ok to. It's just that your post seems highly critical of a program that is rooted in good will.

Soviet, you used to hate renguard. Man, you change sides almost daily. Geeze. You used to go into forums and make fun of people that use renguard. I can't trust a word you say anymore.

And the only reason I cheated that ONE TIME was to prove that renguard is not perfect, like you set it out to be.

Subject: Re: same old...
Posted by [Renx](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 19:16:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RenGuard was never claimed to be perfect.

Subject: Re: same old...
Posted by [\[RF\]Puppy](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 19:21:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so you are saying if someone went into the server and hacked, they would not be banned?

Subject: Re: same old...
Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 19:27:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yes, u would be banned (if u was bypassing RG)

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [\[RF\]Puppy](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 19:37:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

but you could contest that your are just too good.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 19:43:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yes, us too good players can destroy tanks in 1 hit

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [\[RF\]Puppy](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 19:45:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was just saying, how can you prove it was them... You can't. Don't get me wrong, renguard was a great idea, but the only downside is that is slows the game for online play.

edited for clarity

edit again.

plus I guess you would have to work for your hack. If the hacks became widespread, you would solve them.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Parad0x](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 19:57:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Brenbot has the option to slow you who destroyed/killed the vehicles/characters. That way you would be able to tell.

There are logs that also so who destoryed/killed what.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [=HT=Soviet](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 21:18:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Puppy if i may add this one last bit. I used to despise the people who would bank on it as a way to keep the server free of people who were simply better then them. There were a few servers (who shall remain nameless) who would !forcerg anyone who would happen to be better then the majority of the server.

With any program or game or for anything for that matter there stands a chance of abuse, it's that abuse i had a problem with. Not the program.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [light](#) on Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:02:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renx wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 08:16RenGuard was never claimed to be perfect.

Perhaps not by BHS directly, but I have had many an argument with server moderators that believed RG detected all cheats.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Kanezor](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 00:07:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

light wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 16:02Renx wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 08:16RenGuard was never claimed to be perfect.

Perhaps not by BHS directly, but I have had many an argument with server moderators that believed RG detected all cheats.Cheating is not a viable (nonetheless possibly effective) solution to that problem. Server moderators should listen to their owners. Server owners, being the ones that decide whether or not to enable RenGuard on their server, should know what does and does not work with RenGuard. If either side doesn't, then that side doesn't belong there.

Edit:

CrazyBastard: are you leaving any detail out (however small you believe it to be)? Like, for example, what you were doing before you were banned (and why)?

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [light](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 01:02:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kanezor wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 13:07light wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 16:02Renx wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 08:16RenGuard was never claimed to be perfect.

Perhaps not by BHS directly, but I have had many an argument with server moderators that believed RG detected all cheats.Cheating is not a viable (nonetheless possibly effective) solution to that problem. Server moderators should listen to their owners. Server owners, being the ones that decide whether or not to enable RenGuard on their server, should know what does and does not work with RenGuard. If either side doesn't, then that side doesn't belong there.

That true, but there are server networks out there whose owners and mods believe RG can't be bypassed, I just don't play there anymore.

As a side note, I'd like to thank you Kanezor for the way you act on these forums, you are one of the few I feel I can actually have a debate with without it degrading into an arguing match.

Subject: Re: same old...
Posted by [\[RF\]Adj](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 01:54:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not to sound sarcastic, but what business is it of renguard of what he was doing before running it? im still waiting to see some proof or reasoning for the ban.

Subject: Re: same old...
Posted by [=HT=Soviet](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 03:39:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[RFAdj wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 19:54]Not to sound sarcastic, but what business is it of renguard of what he was doing before running it? im still waiting to see some proof or reasoning for the ban.

yo scott man... Did you not see that Kane was willing to review the facts of the incident. I would suggest leaving it to them for now.

Subject: Re: same old...
Posted by [Kanezor](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 04:38:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[RFAdj wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 19:54]what business is it of renguard of what he was doing before running it? im still waiting to see some proof or reasoning for the ban. What he was doing before and while running it could be why he was banned.

Subject: Re: same old...
Posted by [CrazyBastard](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 04:51:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

edit, have taken it into PM

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Blazer](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 05:48:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Puppy wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 08:05 You can get around renguard. I used a new name and went in using rengaurd and cheated, one hit kill. People tried to use force renguard on me and it said I was using renguard. They all got pissed and I just said, renguard is not unhackable you uber nubs. I don't cheat in games but I just wanted some people to know that renguard is not unhackable. This fee is stupid, renguard is stupid and I only use it so people stop bitching...

RG does not ban you by your name only. So, not only could you have evaded the ban, but I seriously doubt you bypassed it at all.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Blazer](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 05:56:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Puppy wrote on Sun, 30 October 2005 14:45 I was just saying, how can you prove it was them... You can't. Don't get me wrong, renguard was a great idea, but the only downside is that it slows the game for online play.

edited for clarity

RG does not slow down online play. The data that the RG client sends to the RG network is a drop compared to the waterfall of game data. I cannot even imagine RG slowing down gameplay unless you were playing on a 1200 baud modem. There is also some silly notion that RG slows you down more if you are on WOL versus GameSpy or RenIP...funny thing is, the RG datastream is the same regardless, which proves right there that some users' perceptions are false.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 09:12:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Logfile lines showing "crazfulla" attempting to bypass RenGuard with Cyberpunk's game2.exe. (This is the one that worked for about 30 minutes before we fixed it)

[05:52:30] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x has bad files in his renegade directory (game2.exe).

[05:53:13] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x has bad files in his renegade directory (game2.exe).

[05:53:42] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x has bad files in his renegade directory (game2.exe).

[05:53:59] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x has bad files in his renegade directory (game2.exe).

[05:55:04] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x has bad files in his renegade directory

(game2.exe).

[05:55:34] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x has bad files in his renegade directory (game2.exe).

[05:56:05] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x has bad files in his renegade directory (game2.exe).

[05:58:42] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x has bad files in his renegade directory (game2.exe).

[05:59:05] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x has bad files in his renegade directory (game2.exe).

[05:59:06] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x has bad files in his renegade directory (game2.exe).

At this point, I banned him from the network...

[06:03:16] [@Crimson]: !ban

Several various attempts to get around the ban, including an IP change.

[06:04:07] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:02:29 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[06:04:22] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:02:29 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[06:05:01] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:02:29 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[06:10:48] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:02:29 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[06:13:01] [renguard network]: CrazyBastard @ 202.180.x.x is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:02:29 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[06:13:16] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:02:29 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[16:36:17] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:13:12 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[16:51:03] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:13:12 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[16:51:28] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:13:12 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[17:53:41] [renguard network]: crazfulla @ 202.180.x.x is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:13:12 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[21:41:41] [renguard network]: CrazyBastard @ 202.180.y.y is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:13:12 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

[00:20:22] [renguard network]: CrazyBastard @ 202.180.y.y is BANNED by Crimson on 29.10.2005 13:13:12 for crazfulla: Attempting to use a really old non-working bypass.

Note that these logs were edited to remove some private critical information about the network but the timestamps and messages are real.

Also, RFPuppy has been banned from the network until he shares his 'bypass' with us.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [CrazyBastard](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 09:14:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This post is to notify all those that have posted in this thread, that I have lied to you. Yes, I have downloaded a 'really old bypass' and attempted to use it...solely to see if it actually worked (admittedly I had my doubts). Knowing me as well as many of you do, I do hack, but only to better myself as a moderator. This is what I was trying to do, as the HT servers never ran RG and I have seen evidence that it can be hacked, I wondered was it really that easy? I had no idea of the consequences - shows how much I know about Renguard. I extend my sincerest apologies to Crimson, and offer her my best wishes with her future endeavours.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Enforcer](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 10:36:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrazyBastard wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 03:14 This post is to notify all those that have posted in this thread, that I have lied to you. Yes, I have downloaded a 'really old bypass' and attempted to use it...solely to see if it actually worked (admittedly I had my doubts). Knowing me as well as many of you do, I do hack, but only to better myself as a moderator. This is what I was trying to do, as the HT servers never ran RG and I have seen evidence that it can be hacked, I wondered was it really that easy? I had no idea of the consequences - shows how much I know about Renguard. I extend my sincerest apologies to Crimson, and offer her my best wishes with her future endeavours.

and you think people are going to buy this "explanation " huh

you've been around long enough to know ANY attempt to bypass results in a ban from renguard

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 12:15:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The follies of the cheater.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Scythar](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 12:18:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote: This post is to notify all those that have posted in this thread, that I have lied to you. Yes, I have downloaded a 'really old bypass' and attempted to use it...solely to see if it actually worked (admittedly I had my doubts). Knowing me as well as many of you do, I do hack, but only to better myself as a moderator. This is what I was trying to do, as the HT servers never ran RG and I have seen evidence that it can be hacked, I wondered was it really that easy? I had no idea of the consequences - shows how much I know about Renguard. I extend my sincerest apologies to Crimson, and offer her my best wishes with her future endeavours.

Here's another example:

You're a cop. A crime investigator. You go ahead and murder some people. You do this and claim it betters your abilities with your job as you know now how a murderer thinks. Would the court be okay with this, or would you be jailed? Not a hard question.

There are way too many people defending themselves the same way you did. To all of them: if you want to study the cheats on your own so you could "indentify them better", set up a LAN game. If you can't, then don't. Leave anti-cheating attempts to those who can, and are dedicated at fighting cheaters, like BHS.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Kytten9](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 12:39:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrazyBastard wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 04:14 This post is to notify all those that have posted in this thread, that I have lied to you. Yes, I have downloaded a 'really old bypass' and attempted to use it...solely to see if it actually worked (admittedly I had my doubts). Knowing me as well as many of you do, I do hack, but only to better myself as a moderator. This is what I was trying to do, as the HT servers never ran RG and I have seen evidence that it can be hacked, I wondered was it really that easy? I had no idea of the consequences - shows how much I know about Renguard. I extend my sincerest apologies to Crimson, and offer her my best wishes with her future endeavours.

I think the term dumb fuck works better!

Just for all the mindless haters of RG..."people in servers using !forcerg to someone better than them".....think hard, back to the times before RG. Those people did a similar thing...want an example:

!votekick nickname CHEATER

Causing EVERYONE to jump on the ban wagon.....

you need 3 !forcerg requests from different users to be forced to use RG....same as a poll would need more than one or two people for the vote to pass.

Lastly RG has never given me a virus, or Trojan or slowed my game play or frame rate or picked on me, called me a loser, sent me a tell and then banned me....stop whining, other than the Norton troubles people are having, there is no one universal bit of proof that RG does any of those things.....only people who don't want to TRY it or just don't want to use it, coz it would stop them cheating tell everyone else dumb enough to listen and take them seriously that BHS is using RG as a mass conspiracy to take all your bank information and rule the entire known universe!

Yes I over exaggerated, but so do alot of people who claim RG is crap. Like RenX said: BHS never claimed RG was perfectly infallible, they just said it is an improvement!

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [=HT=Soviet](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:02:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was around back then and trust me when i say that it wasn't easy. However like before the best solution was to have well-trained moderators in the servers. I'm not sure how it was in Black-cell back at the time, but in HT server and TwA server things were handed by well-trained moderators as opposed to relying on abusable programs.

If you read my posts i was supporting the program in it's intentions just stating it has some minor problems with abuse. Your right that polls can be just as easily abused but there's always !pollhalt by a moderator plus it still takes a significant number of people to get rid of that person.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [DawGY](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:48:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kytten9 wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 06:39BHS never claimed RG was perfectly infallible, they just said it is an improvement!

an improvement my arse, it just takes up sapce, people can still cheat with RG on, and thats when the mods will usually say (about 75% of the time) o, he/she has renguard, he/she dont cheat. and that totally is stupid, what happened to the good ol' times, when some1 thought a cheater was there, and a moderator, admin, or halfmod made it their duty to see if he/she was cheating? those were the good days.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:52:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

no, the good ol days was when it was

random person: OMG!! <insert random name here> IS USE AN AIMBOT!!!!!!

Moderator: the are no cheats for renegade!

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Sniper_De7](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 14:11:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrazyBastard wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 04:14This post is to notify all those that have posted in this thread, that I have lied to you. Yes, I have downloaded a 'really old bypass' and attempted to use it...solely to see if it actually worked (admittedly I had my doubts). Knowing me as well as many of you do, I do hack, but only to better myself as a moderator. This is what I was

trying to do, as the HT servers never ran RG and I have seen evidence that it can be hacked, I wondered was it really that easy? I had no idea of the consequences - shows how much I know about Renguard. I extend my sincerest apologies to Crimson, and offer her my best wishes with her future endeavours.

boy, you owned yourself.

Quote:I will share a little secret with you: I used the first version of final renegade in a game I hosted once, along time ago when I was a n00b, to test it out. Obviously things like fully-automatic mammoth tanks made the game lag worse than RG and hence I didnt use it again. Once I left that game I went into the HazTeam server without restarting ren...Obviously FR was still operating even though I had removed it from the data folder... I killed a mod in one hit and was like OMG! and left the server immediately after I realised it was still on. I didnt go back into the HT servers for a week or so, as I was scared shitless that I would be banned. Luckily, I wasn't so much as temp banned for it. From that day, I have NEVER used a cheat - not even for testing purposes.
ding ding ding

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Kytten9](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 16:30:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DawGY wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 08:48Kytten9 wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 06:39BHS never claimed RG was perfectly infallible, they just said it is an improvement!

an improvement my arse, it just takes up sapce, people can still cheat with RG on, and thats when the mods will usually say (about 75% of the time) o, he/she has renguard, he/she dont cheat. and that totally is stupid, what happened to the good ol' times, when some1 thought a cheater was there, and a moderator, admin, or halfmod made it their duty to see if he/she was cheating? those were the good days.

And the only way for sure that you know your statement to be true (i.e those people who are better than you are cheating with RG on) Is if you are that person you claim to bitching about, In which case you should be banned too!

It IS an improvement. It takes up very little space, it doesn't use more than 10th the amount of memory that ren itself uses when running, so for that number to make a significant difference in the first place.....your computer sucks. Because my pc stinks, but I still get excellent performance using Ren and RG at the same time as well as TS, mlRC and MSN (sometimes media player).

!forcerg is useful for people to kick a cheater in game when no moderators are around. so like I said, an improvement, because that player isn't banned, they can always play there again, just use RG. You won't argue out of that, there is no harm in downloading RG and using it...apart to your EGO....just make sure it is from the official Renguard website....any following posts that say they recieved a virus through d/loading RG (appart from the Norton issue which is currently being resolved) is full of shit!

Have a nice day

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [\[RF\]Puppy](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 16:38:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 03:12

Also, RFpuppy has been banned from the network until he shares his 'bypass' with us.

* removed by Admin *

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 16:54:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Obviously I meant for you to tell us PRIVATELY, lamer.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 16:57:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just want everyone to notice what happened here. "Crazy" came in here saying he didn't cheat, and got all his friends in the HT clan to back him up. The HT clan (whose members are mostly polluted with blatant lies about RenGuard and BHS) members came in here and backed this guy up. The whole thread turns in a statement of "OMG LOOK BHS FUCKED UP!" And who came out as the truth teller in the end? We did. He WAS in fact trying to use a bypass and got caught. And yet SOME CLANS *COUGH HT COUGH* still think we are completely incompetent when it comes to stopping cheaters.

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Kytten9](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 17:00:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I feel a lock coming on...since the point has been proven....other than more lies or possibly flammng I see no other construct for this post to remain open!

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Spoony_old](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 17:36:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrazyBastard wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 04:14 This post is to notify all those that have posted in this thread, that I have lied to you. Yes, I have downloaded a 'really old bypass' and attempted to use it...solely to see if it actually worked (admittedly I had my doubts). Knowing me as well as many of you do, I do hack, but only to better myself as a moderator. This is what I was trying to do, as the HT servers never ran RG and I have seen evidence that it can be hacked, I wondered was it really that easy? I had no idea of the consequences - shows how much I know about Renguard. I extend my sincerest apologies to Crimson, and offer her my best wishes with her future endeavours.

You're pathetic.

Just out of curiosity, how stupid does everyone who stuck up for him feel?

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 17:50:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kytten9 wrote on Mon, 31 October 2005 12:00 I feel a lock coming on...since the point has been proven....other than more lies or possibly flamming I see no other construct for this post to remain open!

gd point

CrazyBastard: it doesnt look like your gonna get unbanned, so if you are willing to pay \$30 to get unbanned, send Crimson a PM and im sure u & her can arrange something!

Subject: Re: same old...

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 31 Oct 2005 18:34:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I actually DID unban him in exchange for him telling the truth to everyone instead of just me. You all know I'm a softie.
