
Subject: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Dave Anderson](#) on Fri, 17 Jun 2005 21:26:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Awhile back I remember there being a topic on which is better. I have been thinking of getting FireOx...BUT some people I have talked to told me to get opera. I have heard that Opera increases download speeds, but FireOx is faster surfing the web. So what would be the better choice?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [bandie63](#) on Fri, 17 Jun 2005 21:40:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

deadfive wrote on Fri, 17 June 2005 17:26Awhile back I remember there being a topic on which is better. I have been thinking of getting FireOx...BUT some people I have talked to told me to get opera. I have heard that Opera increases download speeds, but FireOx is faster surfing the web. So what would be the better choice?

What is FireOx?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [bigejoe14](#) on Fri, 17 Jun 2005 21:43:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's Firefox, not FireOx.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Dave Anderson](#) on Fri, 17 Jun 2005 21:46:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bigjoe14 wrote:It's Firefox, not FireOx.

Aircraftkillers twin.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 17 Jun 2005 21:48:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.scss.com.au/family/andrew/opera/firefox/>

Check it out. Tests show Opera is better in almost every aspect.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Dave Anderson](#) on Fri, 17 Jun 2005 21:48:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cool, thanks.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Demolition man](#) on Fri, 17 Jun 2005 22:56:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

firefox got nice extensions that's why i like it more then opera and opera got dumb banner

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Opalkilla](#) on Fri, 17 Jun 2005 22:58:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Did you make your latest signature in that cool new update for Paint that makes it retard friendly?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [zgunner12](#) on Fri, 17 Jun 2005 23:11:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well I've always used Opera so whatever

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 00:02:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Deadfive:

Firefox > Opera

I have both installed, Firefox in either case is > Opera.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 00:36:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

deadfive wrote on Fri, 17 June 2005 22:46bigjoe14 wrote:It's Firefox, not FireOx.

Aircraftkillers twin.

kinghigh's twin.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 01:02:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

At least support your position that Firefuck is better than Opera... Saying "<" as if it were some kind of mathematical equation makes you look as stupid as you appear to be. Use facts, not opinions.

Demo doesn't know what he's going on about, because all the extensions you have in Firefox have to be added to get functionality like Opera already has upon installation.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [bandie63](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 01:26:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

According to PC World, Firefox is the NUMBER 1 application of 2004. Opera is number 88...

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Renarding6](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 02:29:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree with ack there. Opera is the best. Read the tests on the Internet. It's not because a program is famous that it is the best...

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 02:37:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It would appear, according to tests, the Opera is the faster browser in terms of app load times, page load times. But, you have to consider that Firefox can be tweaked to load faster, plus the MASSIVE amount of Extensions available for FireFox make it far superior to ANY other browser. Also, Firefox is AD-FREE and free to use. I've never had a single problem with Firefox, and all the extensions install automatically without having to download anything, then run it (you just click the installer link and it takes over from there).

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [zgunner12](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 02:46:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This means war

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 02:58:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why should you have to install loads of plugins, tweak the program for higher speeds, and do a bunch of other inane tasks because the program comes out inferior? Opera already browses the Internet in the best way possible without having to install a bunch of plugins and "extensions", which makes it easier for people to use while reducing headaches and search times that could be spent doing something productive.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 03:02:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I say you should just get IE and a Pop-Up Blocker. Its not as "talented" as the others, but its worked fine for me for years.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [zgunner12](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 03:02:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Fri, 17 June 2005 22:58Why should you have to install loads of plugins, tweak the program for higher speeds, and do a bunch of other inane tasks because the program comes out inferior? Opera already browses the Internet in the best way possible without having to install a bunch of plugins and "extensions", which makes it easier for people to use while reducing headaches and search times that could be spent doing something productive.

Also it keeps all those pages in one(you know what I mean...) page so you don't have Firefox20^ or any of that

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 03:16:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Fri, 17 June 2005 22:28Why should you have to install loads of plugins,

tweak the program for higher speeds, and do a bunch of other inane tasks because the program comes out inferior? Opera already browses the Internet in the best way possible without having to install a bunch of plugins and "extensions", which makes it easier for people to use while reducing headaches and search times that could be spent doing something productive.

good lord it takes all of 3 seconds to download any plugin you need. and the only ones ive really ever needed were the Flash 7 player and Shockwave.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 03:34:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's not the point. Why should you have to install added functionality that Opera natively supports?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 04:39:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Opera has problem viewing certain pages doesnt it? I thought I remembered hearing one time that different browsers view pages horizontally and vertically different from others. Never used Opera so I don't really know much about it.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 05:03:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

For god sakes, if you're too fucking lazy to get the plug-in then why waste an hour working to pay for fucking Opera?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 06:02:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You have to pay for Opera?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 06:17:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, you don't have to. Glyde is not the most reliable or intelligent person in here (More to the point he's dumb as hell) so he's doing that thing where he writes without backing up his posts with any facts.

So lets see here...

1. Opera is not software you have to pay for. It is completely free, but to remove the small advertisement banner (No bigger than 140x35 or so) requires you to purchase it. There is no functionality difference between the free and paid versions other than the advertisement banner (which is completely customizable to what you want to see), so it doesn't matter if you buy it or not.
2. Again, you shouldn't have to download "extensions" to get the features of a program like Opera. What Opera has should be standard on all browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. All non-Internet Explorer browsers have trouble displaying certain pages. It's not just an Opera issue.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 06:51:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 18 June 2005 02:17

3. All non-Internet Explorer browsers have trouble displaying certain pages. It's not just an Opera issue.

Are you saying that IE doesnt have trouble loading certain pages? Because if so, then i think i might have a problem with IE.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 07:40:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Fact is that Internet Explorer stays the n° 1 browser for the simple fact that nor Opera, nor Firefox, nor any other can handle about all pages online, as you stated correctly. Use div's and BAAAAAAAAM your site is lexplorer-only (for correct view).

I can (not really but if I had teh knowledge) easely make faster browsers, just strip them from most functionnality and they will be fast... DUH

So the question is not which is the best: ff or opera, it it which loses the less functionnality while gaining speed. And that's most probably FF.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 08:04:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Opera is faster than Firefuck. Didn't you read this thread at all?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [JPNOD](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 08:27:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

FireFox all the way.

I dont know about IE7 though, it might be alot better, ore maybe not.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 08:54:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AircraftkillerFirefuck

Did you think that one up all by yourself?

Also, the very site that you linked to prove that Opera is faster, shows Firefox as being faster in everything but a Javascript benchmark.

All the extra "abilities" that Opera has that you need plugins to use with Firefox are, frankly, pointless. Looking at his list, I can't see a single one that would be of any use to me at all.

So, aside from your opinion, why is Opera better than Firefox?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Chrono945](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 10:16:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I like Firefox a lot, it has a whole load of 'extensions' and themes for whatever you need, and the tab feature helps a load, but I'm going to try Opera now to see.

I can remember trying it before and not liking it very much though, from what I can remember the ad banner put me off.

(That is, if I can actually download Opera, the site is taking ages to load, and the download is going at 3 KB/sec)

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Demolition man](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 11:06:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 18 June 2005 01:02Demo doesn't know what he's going on about, because all the extensions you have in Firefox have to be added to get functionality like Opera already has upon installation.

Not all the extensions firefox has are in opera (for example: Adblock, GooglePreview, Linky, and the Web Developer toolbar). Also I only want extensions I use so Opera prolly has crap I don't even want to have.

Opera also got an annoying banner.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Weirdo](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 14:32:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 18 June 2005 04:04Opera is faster than Firefuck. Didn't you read this thread at all?

It could be me, but if I look at the browser comparison scheme, Firefox seems faster.

Startup time is 1 second faster, not that one second really is interesting, and CPU Time to load 20 pages is faster. I can't really see on what point it should be faster. It is better with javascript tough.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 14:41:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 18 June 2005 02:17No, you don't have to. Glyde is not the most reliable or intelligent person in here (More to the point he's dumb as hell) so he's doing that thing where he writes without backing up his posts with any facts.

So lets see here...

1. Opera is not software you have to pay for. It is completely free, but to remove the small advertisement banner (No bigger than 140x35 or so) requires you to purchase it. There is no functionality difference between the free and paid versions other than the advertisement banner (which is completely customizable to what you want to see), so it doesn't matter if you buy it or not.
2. Again, you shouldn't have to download "extensions" to get the features of a program like Opera. What Opera has should be standard on all browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. All non-Internet Explorer browsers have trouble displaying certain pages. It's not just an Opera

issue.

Do you have your microphone enabled, because I get the feeling that the only thing that likes to listen to you is Opera.

Advertisements suck. It doesn't matter if you don't have to pay to keep them on. While there's a free version of Opera, why use that when you can get Firefox, which has more extensions than anyone will ever need?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 14:42:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

After using firefox for 1 year, I have yet to find a website that doesn't load.

IE has serious security issues because of the simple fact that it is the most popular browser therefore more people try to find exploits.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 15:19:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ACK, that site you posted makes me want to use Fox even more. Who cares how much memory it takes, as long as its faster.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [zgunner12](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 16:08:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Meh, I have used Opera 2 years and I haven't found any pages that don't load so I dunno.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Fabian](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 16:38:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I use Opera for the speed. It's even faster than Safari. And for christ's sake, just crack it and stop complaining about the ads.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 16:44:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

zgunner12 wrote on Fri, 17 June 2005 23:02Aircraftkiller wrote on Fri, 17 June 2005 22:58Why should you have to install loads of plugins, tweak the program for higher speeds, and do a bunch of other inane tasks because the program comes out inferior? Opera already browses the Internet in the best way possible without having to install a bunch of plugins and "extensions", which makes it easier for people to use while reducing headaches and search times that could be spent doing something productive.

Also it keeps all those pages in one(you know what I mean...) page so you don't have Firefox20^ or any of that

And I'm sure that Firefox doesn't do the same thing? Both browsers have tabbed browsing.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [bigejoe14](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 16:59:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Opera has that by default. You need to press Ctrl-T in Firefox in order to do that.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 17:29:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The horror!

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 17:32:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bigejoe14 wrote on Sat, 18 June 2005 12:59Opera has that by default. You need to press Ctrl-T in Firefox in order to do that.

What do you mean? Firefox has tabbed browsing by default... Ctrl+T just opens a new tab.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 17:33:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Or middle-clicking a link. Or ctrl-clicking it.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [U927](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 18:03:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.avantbrowser.com/>

This is my current web browser. Has everything that was mentioned for Firefox and Opera. I'm not going to go into a whole argument about which is better, but just try out Avant, and then choose which is best.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 18:38:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Doesn't that use IE...?

I have Netscape, Deepnet, Firefox, Opera (paid), and IE... so..

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [prox](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 18:50:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:FireOx

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 19:50:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 18 June 2005 04:04Opera is faster than Firefuck. Didn't you read this thread at all?

I read the thread but u didn't seem to have read my post.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 22:32:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Advertisments suck. It doesn't matter if you don't have to pay to keep them on. While there's a free version of Opera, why use that when you can get Firefox, which has more extensions than anyone will ever need?

Advertisements are what keeps the Internet running free for the most part. Without advertisements, everything would be a paid service and you'd be unable to see much of anything unless you shelled out \$5+ per website just to view its content, in addition to your ISP rates.

Extensions do not a browser make. Opera already has what Firefuck needed to begin with. It loads websites faster, and doesn't have memory problems like Firefuck does... The whole "minimize it and it unloads all memory, just to take a while to reload again" problem.

Quote:Who cares how much memory it takes, as long as its faster.

Uhh... Generally when something requires more memory, it's not going to allow your computer to operate as fast as it should.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 23:14:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 18 June 2005 18:32

Uhh... Generally when something requires more memory, it's not going to allow your computer to operate as fast as it should.

X Wrong answer, ditchwitch.

Generally, when something requires more memory, it means it's a larger program, that's about it.

About the only time it would cause any type of performance issue is if you had 1MB of available memory left and the extra two FireFox used would throw you out to the page file.. If that were the case, FF is the least of your problems.

You're still a retard, generally speaking of course.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Sat, 18 Jun 2005 23:17:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sat, 18 June 2005 18:32Quote:Advertisements suck. It doesn't matter if you don't have to pay to keep them on. While there's a free version of Opera, why use that when you can get Firefox, which has more extensions than anyone will ever need?

Advertisements are what keeps the Internet running free for the most part. Without advertisements, everything would be a paid service and you'd be unable to see much of anything unless you shelled out \$5+ per website just to view its content, in addition to your ISP rates.

Extensions do not a browser make. Opera already has what Firefuck needed to begin with. It

loads websites faster, and doesn't have memory problems like Firefuck does... The whole "minimize it and it unloads all memory, just to take a while to reload again" problem.

Quote:Who cares how much memory it takes, as long as its faster.

Uhh... Generally when something requires more memory, it's not going to allow your computer to operate as fast as it should.

We get enough advertisements on the Internet. We don't need them in our browsers.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 02:38:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK, Opera natively has the current weather and radar images, my Google Adsense earnings, my winamp status and controls, an eyedroppeer for getting color codes from webpages, and a Web Developer toolbar as robust as the one in Firefox? And I assume Opera will also me to set all new windows to new tabs and middle-click links to open in a new tab? Furthermore, are the new tabs opened in the background so I can look at them when I'm good and ready? And Opera also natively lets me right-click in a textarea and do "paste as quote" to automatically put bbcode's [quote] and [/quote] markers around something I paste? Oh wait, Opera also lets me right-click any page and automatically open the WHOIS information for the domain I'm visiting in its own tab?

If so, then I'll switch. If not, I'll stay with Firefox.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 04:33:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't know about the weather and color parts, but I do know this for certain:

Quote:And I assume Opera will also me to set all new windows to new tabs and middle-click links to open in a new tab?

That's standard in Opera. I didn't have to install anything for it to operate that way.

Quote:Furthermore, are the new tabs opened in the background so I can look at them when I'm good and ready?

Yes, that's what happens when I use the mouse wheel to click any URL.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 05:41:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Exactly the same way that Firefox does it too. Remarkable.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 05:42:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Remarkable considering Firefox is less suited to browsing the Internet than Opera is. I'm not even certain of what 8.0 can do because I still have 7.50 installed. Might as well go upgrade to 8 and find out.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 05:51:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As long as you acknowledge that that's only your opinion, and not anything based on actual fact (as the comparison you linked comes out in favour of Firefox over Opera)

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 06:10:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.n00bstories.com/image.view.php?id=1011107433>

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 07:03:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.lintacious.com/opera/compare.php>

<http://www.newsforge.com/article.pl?sid=05/05/10/1626233>

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 07:29:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Again, personal preferences.

I'm quite happy to accept that, in your opinion, Opera is a better browser than Firefox.

However, trotting out links that just show one person's comparison of features (and neither of your two links there show anything definitively anyway) doesn't exactly constitute imperical evidence.

The one site you linked that actually used facts and any kind of quantitative comparison came out in favour of Firefox.

Presenting opinion as fact tends not to fly.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 08:11:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Save the snobbish attitude for later on, that site I linked you to didn't exactly give Firefuck the "better than Opera" point of view that you're bitching about.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 08:31:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's not a "snobbish attitude" - I'm just not subscribing to your "I prefer A over B, therefore A is better" viewpoint. The one that you always use.

I'm sure I shouldn't need to point this out to you, but at no point have I stated or attempted to state that Firefox is the "better" browser. I've just disputed your claims that Opera is "better" than Firefox. All your claims are entirely and utterly subjective. So, nice attempt at pointing out my "bitching", but it falls at the first hurdle.

As I previously stated, if you prefer Opera over Firefox then I'm very happy for you. However, that doesn't mean it's automatically superior to any alternative.

The links you provided are completely subjective, and don't actually prove anything at all. That, coupled with your apparent need to rename things you dislike in some derogatory fashion (something my 4-year old son currently does, by the way, albeit without resorting to swearing) just takes away any credence that you might have had. Maybe I should call your browser of choice "Crapera"! That way, I can look as intelligent and well-informed as you do!

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 08:50:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Then don't? I see no reason to subscribe to your "Whine because I think my way is better" viewpoint. Opera is faster, and that is a fact. Most tests done so far, if not all, have shown this to be true.

As for the whole security thing, Firefuck is more vulnerable to exploits than Opera is according to secunia.com.

Mozilla Firefox 1.x with all vendor patches installed and all vendor workarounds applied, is currently affected by one or more Secunia advisories rated Moderately critical

This is based on the most severe Secunia advisory, which is marked as "Unpatched" in the Secunia database. Go to Unpatched/Patched list below for details.

Currently, 5 out of 18 Secunia advisories, is marked as "Unpatched" in the Secunia database.

The Secunia database currently contains 0 Secunia advisories marked as "Unpatched", which affects Opera 8.x.

This is based on the most severe Secunia advisory, which is marked as "Unpatched" in the Secunia database. Go to Unpatched/Patched list below for details.

Currently, 0 out of 4 Secunia advisories, is marked as "Unpatched" in the Secunia database.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Weirdo](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 08:58:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You keep on saying that it's faster and that its a fact. But your first article never says it is faster. It actually shows at certain points the opposite.

It might use more memory, but the amount of RAM used does not mean it's slower.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 09:17:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

First of all, it's not a FACT that Opera is faster. I'm sure that if it was, you'd have gone to great lengths to prove it, rather than the link you did provide that showed the opposite.

Perspective. It's a wonderful thing.

Imagine this hypothetical scenario - Firefox takes 0.5 seconds to download and render a page. Opera (the elite uberbrowser!) does the same page in 0.25 seconds. Therefore, it's twice as fast!

and how much does that enhance your life? Is your brain sat there freewheeling, waiting desperately for the page to load so your hungry eyes can devour the content?

If you loaded 1000 pages in the course of a day with those hypothetical speeds (and assuming a hypothetical consistency of speed), then you would have saved a total of 500 seconds. That's a whole 8 and a half minutes over the course of a day! Just imagine what you can achieve in the time you've saved by not using such an inferior browser!

Of course, this does tend to assume that Opera is always faster than Firefox and that Opera is always faster than Firefox by that margin.

As for security, yes, Firefox has more current vulnerabilities than Opera. Bigger targets always get hit more (which is why IE has the most vulnerabilities).

All this is irrelevant, however. There is no arbiter of browser quality. There is no definitive "best browser". Whichever one you prefer is the one that's best for you. If you're unable to back up your assertions, then you shouldn't be making them.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [emperorz0](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 12:46:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IE works better than Firefox, because it is faster. And also, my friend said some time ago that Opera works faster than Firefox and I believe him.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Fabian](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 15:07:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IE is faster than a snail with a limp, and nothing else.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Homey](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 16:44:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What's firefuck?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 18:41:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here's a novel concept:

As long as it isn't Internet Exploder, it doesn't matter! Opera, Firefox, etc... all of them are better than IE. Why should anyone give half a cow fuck whether or not someone else is using a faster browser, or one with more features, or whatever the hell else?

My preference is for Firefox. I have had no problems with it since I started using it, and until I do, I see no reason to change browsers again. I don't need most of the features that are available for browsers and I don't care how many milliseconds difference there is in page load time (we aren't talking about crippling differences in speed here). It works, it is more secure than IE, and it takes

up very little space on my hard drive. That's really all I need from a browser.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Homey](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 19:57:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nukelt15 wrote on Sun, 19 June 2005 14:41Here's a novel concept:

As long as it isn't Internet Exploder, it doesn't matter! Opera, Firefox, etc... all of them are better than IE. Why should anyone give half a cow fuck whether or not someone else is using a faster browser, or one with more features, or whatever the hell else?

My preference is for Firefox. I have had no problems with it since I started using it, and until I do, I see no reason to change browsers again. I don't need most of the features that are available for browsers and I don't care how many milliseconds difference there is in page load time (we aren't talking about crippling differences in speed here). It works, it is more secure than IE, and it takes up very little space on my hard drive. That's really all I need from a browser.
Couldn't have said it better myself.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 20:07:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Homey wrote on Sun, 19 June 2005 20:57Nukelt15 wrote on Sun, 19 June 2005 14:41Here's a novel concept:

As long as it isn't Internet Exploder, it doesn't matter! Opera, Firefox, etc... all of them are better than IE. Why should anyone give half a cow fuck whether or not someone else is using a faster browser, or one with more features, or whatever the hell else?

My preference is for Firefox. I have had no problems with it since I started using it, and until I do, I see no reason to change browsers again. I don't need most of the features that are available for browsers and I don't care how many milliseconds difference there is in page load time (we aren't talking about crippling differences in speed here). It works, it is more secure than IE, and it takes up very little space on my hard drive. That's really all I need from a browser.
Couldn't have said it better myself.

Agreed.

It's like my mobile. Everybody I know is going for the fancy new phones with cool ringtones. Who gives a fuck? As long as I can use SMS, have a phone book and ring people I don't care.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Dan](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 20:32:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I hate polyphonic ringtones... Nothing like the good old ringtones which you can actually HEAR! I dont know if my ringtone just sucks, but I can hear the vibrating more than I can the actual ringtone. I should find myself a beeping one.

As for browsers, I think that the extenstions in FireFox helps to keep out useless functions which you would probably never use if they were standard. I have 3 extensions on FireFox, one of which I'm not sure what it does, its there anyway, the second makes it so that I dont get a blank browser window when I'm downloading a binary file, and the last adds media player buttons in the status bar so I can change my song without having to switch back to WMP.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Doittle](#) on Sun, 19 Jun 2005 22:22:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would like to point out IE is ad-free.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:06:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Firefox is also ad-free. The Open Source community is just damn amazing.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:11:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Especially when it comes to the amount of exploits in Firefuck, which is astounding compared to none found in Opera.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:12:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MY E-PENIS IS SO COOL!

Firefox is great for lots of extensions and customization.

Opera is great if you are Aircraftkiller.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:15:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You can customize Opera, and it has more than just 24 themes. There's something like over 500 different "skins" for Opera including the ability to change many things in the program.

Please stop being stupid, it's not good for your health.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:18:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sun, 19 June 2005 20:15You can customize Opera, and it has more than just 24 themes. There's something like over 500 different "skins" for Opera including the ability to change many things in the program.

Please stop being stupid, it's not good for your health.

www.mozilla.org

Look around there. Maybe you can expand onto your vocabulary!

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:19:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'd rather not, Mozilla is a plague upon mankind.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:20:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It has many plug-ins and such that work with Firefox. Many many more than your Opera. I think you can use a hug. Go around town in your white van again!

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:29:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And why would I need "Plugin to tell me when to scratch my balls" and other ludicrous items?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:32:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You have absolutely no idea what Open Source has produced. Go check out Sourceforge and Freshmeat sometime. And then there's that small thing where, uh, PHP and MYSQL are Open Source/free products. So get a clue before you start bashing open source.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:33:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And just for the record, I would find a plugin to tell me when to scratch my balls far more useful than a stupid "skin".

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Sir Phoenixxx](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 00:38:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote: You can customize Opera, and it has more than just 24 themes.

24? Lol, that comparison site must be quite old. Firefox has almost 100 themes (and over 500 extention) listed on its site alone.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Homey](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 02:15:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Sun, 19 June 2005 20:11 Especially when it comes to the amount of exploits in Firefuck, which is astounding compared to none found in Opera.

Still, what's Firefuck?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 05:03:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Impressive.

You've gone from making baseless claims (that you've been repeatedly unable to back up) about Opera's superiority to the opposite end of the scale - Mozilla is now apparently a "plague upon mankind".

Have you ever considered a career in politics?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 05:25:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ack's never been able to argue outside of his own dillusional opinions and personal attacks, what's new?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 06:00:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ACK is stubborn, he won't change his mind about anything, so quit trying.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [csskiller](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 06:10:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Sun, 19 June 2005 19:33And just for the record, I would find a plugin to tell me when to scratch my balls far more useful than a stupid "skin".

Lmao, well said

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 06:41:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbull wrote on Mon, 20 June 2005 02:00ACK is stubborn, he won't change his mind about anything, so quit trying.

I'm not trying to change his mind about anything. I'm just pointing out how weak his argument is.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 06:44:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spot the ad banner that you must suffer through to keep opera free!

<http://www.n00bstories.com/image.fetch.php?id=1397874640>

I prefer Opera because my computer has a habit of crashing often (both programs and the computer itself). The fact that Opera lets you start where you left off in the even of such an occurrence is a big time-saving bonus for me. Though the download "manager" is a nice feature as

well, if only because it means I don't have to pray my Internet Explorer-based download doesn't stall.

(side note: ignore the "stem cell research" page, I had just finished replying to another topic here.)

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 07:07:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey, you even got my edited Nod Light Tank in the picture!

Yeah, the Downloader for IE is horrible, but atleast it works. Then again, i dont think an unworking Downloader would be called that.

I like your signature, Warranto. Loosely fits me, though im normally a Defensive Sniper. Fun to kill those Havoc Campers with just a Black Hand Sniper. I love it when they ask where im at. I either dont answer, or just tell them "Snipers Secret!"

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Demolition man](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 08:13:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto wrote on Mon, 20 June 2005 06:44Spot the ad banner that you must suffer through to keep opera free!

<http://www.n00bstories.com/image.fetch.php?id=1397874640>

I prefer Opera because my computer has a habit of crashing often (both programs and the computer itself). The fact that Opera lets you start where you left off in the even of such an occurance is a big time-saving bonus for me. Though the download "manager" is a nice feature as well, if only because it means I don't have to pray my Internet Explorer-based download doesn't stall.

(side note: ignore the "stem cell research" page, I had just finished replying to another topic here.)

<https://addons.mozilla.org/extensions/moreinfo.php?id=436>

<http://dmextension.mozdev.org/>

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 08:15:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Damn, look at all the problems with that listed... Yet Opera does it flawlessly.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 08:34:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I suppose that's useful if your browser crashes a lot...

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Goztow](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 08:38:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

PiMuRho wrote on Mon, 20 June 2005 04:34I suppose that's useful if your browser crashes a lot...
Maybe you should check WHY the browser crashes a lot then? Spyware? viruses? Or just plain old windows that needs a reinstall after turning for about 1 year on that computer?

www.thekoss.tk check if opera can show this page properly. It uses div's and i know FF fucks up over it...

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 08:54:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I didn't say that my browser crashes a lot.

Truth be told, I don't recall the last time it crashed.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 09:41:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Demolition man wrote on Mon, 20 June 2005 01:13

<https://addons.mozilla.org/extensions/moreinfo.php?id=436>

Wow... it took you a couple years but you finally posted something useful again. I was told that extension existed but I hadn't yet found it. A 2 second download and a Firefox restart later, and I have it.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 09:45:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

By the way, for those of you who don't hang out in #n00bstories IRC, this discussion took place there as well, and Aircraftkiller has also revealed that "open source is garbage".

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 10:48:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Some people enjoy wallowing in ignorance.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [bandie63](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 10:54:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Another great extention is the one that automatically puts the url tags around anything that doesnt already have url tags around it and begins with www. and ends in .com/.net/.org, etc.

Great for people who forget their URL tags!

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Dan](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 11:21:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Open source programs can be MUCH better than closed-source ones. For example, say someone found an exploit in FireFox and its the beginning of a weekend/bank holiday. If an exploit was found in opera, that means that the developers would be at home with their feet up, and the exploit would most likely be around all weekend until the developers get back, and depending on the severity, it could take another day or two for them to fix and release a patch.

Now say FireFox had an exploit found. There are many more people with knowledge of the FireFox source which could easily come up with a patch in near to no time and release it for people to download.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Demolition man](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 11:36:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Mon, 20 June 2005 09:41Demolition man wrote on Mon, 20 June 2005 01:13
<https://addons.mozilla.org/extensions/moreinfo.php?id=436>

Wow... it took you a couple years but you finally posted something useful again. I was told that extension existed but I hadn't yet found it. A 2 second download and a Firefox restart later, and I have it.

Thats b/c there aren't many usefull topics on this forum. =P

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 12:06:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Mon, 20 June 2005 03:45By the way, for those of you who don't hang out in #n00bstories IRC, this discussion took place there as well, and Aircraftkiller has also revealed that "open source is garbage".

"Open source is garbage because it gives assclowns like jonwil the excuse they need to steal code from people."

Try quoting it all next time, thanks.

Oh, and for people that say "saved sessions are only useful when your browser crashes", that is total bullshit. What happens if you forget a URL when you restart your computer, or shut down the browser? What if your computer crashes? Opera saves the sessions properly, without the bugs that Firefuck has with that "extension", and loads all of those websites in a moment's notice upon starting the browser.

I have... 14 websites that Opera loads each time it starts, and it saves me about five minutes of having to enter each URL into a new window each day I start the system. But of course, saving time doesn't matter... It only matters when you promote Firefuck.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [PiMuRho](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 13:10:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Which is all well and good if that's the way you choose to browse websites. Not everyone does it that way (I don't - the 10 or so sites I visit first thing daily I do sequentially)

You really seem to have trouble with the whole "personal preference" thing. Anything that isn't "yours" is not just wrong, but appears to be some kind of abomination in your eyes, and has to be derided at every opportunity.

That's just plain...weird.

I prefer Firefox over other browsers. It doesn't mean I go round saying how awful "Internet Exploder" and "Crapera" are.

I prefer 3D Studio over other modelling packages. It doesn't mean I go round saying how awful "XShlte" and "Mayass" are.

I prefer UE3 over other 3D engines. It doesn't mean I go round saying how awful Source and whatever the BF2 engine is called are.

(My apologies - I couldn't think of really lame names for those two)

Maybe it's just me. I really can't comprehend the level of fanaticism you have over your own personal preferences.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 15:59:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

http://www.googlefight.com/index.php?lang=en_GB&word1=FireFox+Browser&word2=Opera+Browser

Firefox owned Opera.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 16:38:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm not having any problems with this extension I installed... it's working as it's supposed to.

And I did NOT misquote you:

[17:54:58] [+Aircraftkiller]: [[07:50:pm]] <+Aircraftkiller> Open source is garbage

[17:54:58] [+Aircraftkiller]: That's all I said

You also said:

[17:50:50] [+Aircraftkiller]: Open source gives assclowns like jonwil excuses to cheat people out of credit for the things they've made

However, once again you show how uneducated you are on this subject because what you don't like isn't "open source" software as a whole, but the GPL license which is one of several licenses available for open source software. This particular one aims to prevent people from profiting from someone else's open source software. It's the same as saying you don't like all food because some food is released in a green package and you don't like the color green.

Overall it doesn't matter. Everyone but you can see that you are grasping at straws to support a weak argument. You can't handle being proven wrong so you'll continue to pull stuff, essentially from your ass, to support an argument because you could never just "stand corrected" on something.

I think perhaps your main problem is that you dislike the "religion" and "fanboyism" attached to this browser. Some people have an unnatural obsession with it, like this little piece of software is the creation of the Lord God himself. I think that's ridiculous. I was pretty happy with IE for years and years and just in the last couple of months, I decided I was going to try Firefox. I told myself I would give it the good college try. I would download all the extensions and customizations I wanted, and really use the thing. I even changed my Quick Launch icon to the Internet Explorer "e" symbol to help ease my transition into the unfamiliar. And, well, I liked it. And if someone asks for a referral for a browser, I'm going to refer this one. Just as I can refer people to several other types of programs that I use every day.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 21:27:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ACK wrote on Fri, 17 June 2005 17:48 <http://www.scss.com.au/family/andrew/opera/firefox/>

Check it out. Tests show Opera is better in almost every aspect.

Firefox won everything in the chart that mattered except for startup memory requirement and java benchmark.

And EVEN THEN, the chart is 6 to 8 Firefox's lead.

And of course there's throughput, where Firefox proves much better than Opera. Then, without bothering to determine what it was, the author of your site passes it off as "my setup", whatever that means.

I have never used Thunderbird or Opera e-mail, and so I have no opinion on them.

But really, both Opera and Firefox are perfectly legitimate web browsers, and no one can go wrong with either one. The only bad browsers are more or less Internet Explorer and Netscape Navigator/Communicator/whatever it is.

EDIT: Crimson brings up an interesting point...

CrimsonOverall it doesn't matter. Everyone but you can see that you are grasping at straws to support a weak argument. You can't handle being proven wrong so you'll continue to pull stuff, essentially from your ass, to support an argument because you could never just "stand corrected" on something.

ACK, do you have any reason at all to believe that the Clintonites trashed the White House right before they left it to George W. Bush?

Of course you don't, but since you can't stand to acknowledge it, you're going to avoid my post like the plague now.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 21:52:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

forgot about googlefight.com, I liked this googlefight:

http://www.googlefight.com/index.php?lang=en_GB&word1=fo otball&word2=soccer

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 22:01:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thats kind of an unfair fight though. "American" football +"British" soccer vs. "American" soccer.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Mon, 20 Jun 2005 22:40:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL! I put in AircraftKiller and DJM, and DJM had: 712,000 results, whereas AircraftKiller had only: 745. Im gonna mess around with that some more...

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 21 Jun 2005 00:58:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

it traced other abbreviations or names with DJM, not the DJM we know

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Tue, 21 Jun 2005 00:59:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Still, that is kinda funny. I put in Crimson and Blazer, and Blazer got alot more. Blazer had over 1,000,000 results.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Tue, 21 Jun 2005 02:05:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer = coat. :S

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:59:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

deadfive wrote on Fri, 17 June 2005 17:46bigjoe14 wrote:It's Firefox, not FireOx.


Aircraftkillers twin.

You being a fucking retard doesn't make him Aircraftkiller's twin. He could have torn you to peices for that mistake. In fact, he was quite nice about it, so why don't you thank him for saving you from

the rest of us dumbshit!

File Attachments

1) [internetstupid.jpg](#), downloaded 445 times



Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 03:11:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks to Firefox's Adblock extension, I can block ANY ads from ANY website I visit frequently. This includes flash ads and iFrames.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [bigejoe14](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 03:41:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why do you need an ad-blocker extension for Firefox when it already comes with an ad-blocker built in?

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 04:03:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Uh, I wasn't talking about pop-up ads... I was talking about actual ads on a website.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Blazer](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 04:53:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Firefox has a popup blocker builtin...the Adblocker extension is different in that it lets you block selected images and/or iframes from sites, so they just do appear at all.

You can optionally toggle a setting that stops any flash on the site, unless you click and allow it.

You can block specific ads and frames, or entire sites/domains like clickthrough.com etc.

Here is a quick example of <http://www.slashdot.org>:

Before

After, removing the ads iframe

And here is before and after when reading a story:

Before

After

Once you block the iframe, the ads stay gone forever (unless you remove the filter)...it's quite nice

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 07:12:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And what's better is that you can completely block any ads coming from a certain advertiser by adding this after the main URL of the advertiser: *

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 08:39:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thereby helping advertisers pull their advertisement programs off most websites, which leads to having to pay to view a website in the future. Yes, that's most certainly worth not seeing a few images or some lines of text that can be easily ignored.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 12:21:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, because while we can block ads, idiots like you cannot.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Demolition man](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 12:34:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i only block annoying ads with it.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 13:28:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller wrote on Tue, 28 June 2005 04:39Thereby helping advertisers pull their advertisement programs off most websites, which leads to having to pay to view a website in the future. Yes, that's most certainly worth not seeing a few images or some lines of text that can be easily ignored.

Aircraftkiller,

Do you click the ads on your favorite websites?

Thought so.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 14:18:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So you automatically assumed that I don't view advertisements... That's quite possibly one of the worst ways to attempt proving any point you were trying to make with that feeble intellect of yours. I will view advertisements that catch my attention. It all depends on what site I'm viewing and what is being advertised. If the website has advertisements related to novels, electronics, weapons, or generally anything interesting I'll probably end up viewing it to see if it's worth purchasing.

Quote:No, because while we can block ads, idiots like you cannot.

How am I an idiot for viewing advertisements? If anything you're the one who has no concept of what you're helping to further online. This "lets block advertisements" movement going on will just come around to bite you in the ass in a few years' time, perhaps even sooner. Then I can come back and say that idiots like you ruined most free websites for all of us, simply for your own selfish convenience.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [MrBob](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 14:27:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One reason I Firefox instead of Opera is because it's under a free license.

The second reason is the fact I don't have Windows anymore.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 17:59:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think we have a right and a responsibility to block ANNOYING ads like those flashy epileptic-fit inducing ones, pornography (if you're not into seeing ads for it at the time), sites who have more ads than content, basically anyone who defiles the intended use. Those who intelligently blend google ads or other non-annoying ads into their site are more deserving of having those ads actually displayed.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 18:11:33 GMT

While you may view CERTAIN ads, you imply that you ignore most ads. If you block those annoying advertisers that you don't look at, you'll only be left with those that you DO look at. I don't see how that's a bad thing. Also, on a lot of advertisements, you don't get paid per click, you get paid on a monthly (or yearly) basis. It doesn't affect the site's income if they're paid continuously even if their viewers don't click.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Tue, 28 Jun 2005 20:29:24 GMT

Aircraftkiller wrote on Tue, 28 June 2005 10:18So you automatically assumed that I don't view advertisements... That's quite possibly one of the worst ways to attempt proving any point you were trying to make with that feeble intellect of yours. I will view advertisements that catch my attention. It all depends on what site I'm viewing and what is being advertised. If the website has advertisements related to novels, electronics, weapons, or generally anything interesting I'll probably end up viewing it to see if it's worth purchasing.

Quote:No, because while we can block ads, idiots like you cannot.

How am I an idiot for viewing advertisements? If anything you're the one who has no concept of what you're helping to further online. This "lets block advertisements" movement going on will just come around to bite you in the ass in a few years' time, perhaps even sooner. Then I can come back and say that idiots like you ruined most free websites for all of us, simply for your own selfish convenience.

So people will be left with no pay for websites ran by webmasters who care nothing for income on their site. The world just might end!

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 05:13:14 GMT

MrBob wrote on Tue, 28 June 2005 09:27One reason I Firefox instead of Opera is because it's under a free license.

The second reason is the fact I don't have Windows anymore.

Linux?!?!

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 13:40:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson, if you don't like the website then don't view it. Blocking the advertisement from loading will stop that site from receiving income from the advertiser. I'm sure your tune would change if you needed to put advertisements up on n00bstories.com.

Quote:While you may view CERTAIN ads, you imply that you ignore most ads. If you block those annoying advertisers that you don't look at, you'll only be left with those that you DO look at. I don't see how that's a bad thing. Also, on a lot of advertisements, you don't get paid per click, you get paid on a monthly (or yearly) basis. It doesn't affect the site's income if they're paid continuously even if their viewers don't click.

Ignoring them is different from stopping them from loading completely. Advertisers will not pay for something that many people are not even loading in their computer. If over 50% of the television audience found out a way to block commercials between shows, I'm fairly sure they'd drop television advertising as well. I am really surprised you have this point of view considering you believe you're conservative, yet now that you have to deal with a side-effect of a free market you want nothing to do with it.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Demolition man](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 13:44:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol i always change channels in commercial brakes. I also like to record the things on tv and watch it a bit later so i can skip them...

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 15:08:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller, the Internet isn't television. Did you notice?

The keyword in what Aircraftkiller said about Crimson was IF. IF she did, but she doesn't currently seem to need them.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [bigejoe14](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 15:19:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

glyde51 wrote on Wed, 29 June 2005 11:08Aircraftkiller, the Internet isn't television. Did you notice?

You missed the entire point of his argument. Congratulations.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [glyde51](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 15:39:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They're two different things, if he can relate it to something like the Internet, which wouldn't be TV, then I'll listen.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 15:41:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

a·nal·o·gy P Pronunciation Key (-nl-j)

n. pl. a·nal·o·gies

Similarity in some respects between things that are otherwise dissimilar.

A comparison based on such similarity. See [Synonyms at likeness](#).

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Dan](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 17:24:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e·las·tic·i·ty

n.

1. The condition or property of being elastic; flexibility.
2. Physics.
 1. The property of returning to an initial form or state following deformation.
 2. The degree to which this property is exhibited

I can copy and paste from Dictionary.com too

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 29 Jun 2005 17:55:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know what? I don't personally block any ads. I was just making a case for people who do. I do, however, record all my shows on an older ReplayTV which has commercial skipping that I make constant use of.

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [MrBob](#) on Thu, 30 Jun 2005 23:57:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

icedog90 wrote on Wed, 29 June 2005 01:13MrBob wrote on Tue, 28 June 2005 09:27One reason I Firefox instead of Opera is because it's under a free license.

The second reason is the fact I don't have Windows anymore.

Linux?!?!

That's GNU/Linux, mister!

Subject: Re: FireOx | Opera

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Fri, 01 Jul 2005 01:18:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

!!

Linux > *

Although I am not currently using it because my sound card is very unsupported in it. Once I get a better sound card than an SB Live! I'll start using it again.
