
Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 02:41:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

WarrantoUmm, public affirmation? Since when have I cared whether or not people agreed with what I do?

I'd say at least since 11:02 on April 26th.. since that's the time you posted a poll asking people how they felt about what you were going to do on Friday.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 02:45:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah what was the percent on ACK's banning anyway. He removed it.....

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:03:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

40 something percent and 20 votes.

Discounting all those votes to make the second option seem the most popular one isn't very honest is it?

28 of the people who voted wanted something done.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:19:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Like I said.. I swears he isn't biased, but it's overly apparent that it isn't true. Modifying a poll by throwing out all the votes with a question you don't like, then closing the thread? Come on.. Having pride and being stubborn is one thing, but this?

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:37:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Like I said.. I swears he isn't biased, but it's overly apparent that it isn't true.
Assuming you meant "He swears he isn't biased...". Just like with your claim of favoritism, it's not

bias/favoritism unless he treats Aircraftkiller differently. For that to be true he'd have to ban (or delete messages/etc. from) others, while not doing anything to Aircraftkiller for doing the same thing, which he doesn't. He simply doesn't/didn't do it to anyone, not just Aircraftkiller.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:37:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/me waits for thread to get locked or moved to silo.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [warranto](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:44:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uhh.. I threw out votes? when did this occur?

Oh yes, never. All I did was lock the thread. I did nothing to the votes.

Gotta love how people jump to conclusion simply because they don't like what's going on. I must say that gives you so much credit.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:45:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well someone is manipulating the votes. That doesn't mean that it was you, Warranto, but someone did.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:46:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If the votes are gone, and you didn't do it, I guess that just means that someone else removed the most popular selection in the poll..

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:48:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

3 People with moderation powers. Minus 1 moderator...

3 - 1 = ???

Help?

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [warranto](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:48:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That would be stating the obvious.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:56:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My calculations tell me that one of two people edited the poll.

I wonder why the most popular option was removed. Can't be favouritism.

By the way, Warranto - I'm glad to see "off topic post deleted - warranto " in some other threads. (No sarcasm) Nice so see someone actually following up with the poll.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:56:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir Phoenixx Assuming you meant "He swears he isn't biased...". Just like with your claim of favoritism, it's not bias/favoritism unless he treats Aircraftkiller differently. For that to be true he'd have to ban (or delete messages/etc. from) others, while not doing anything to Aircraftkiller for doing the same thing, which he doesn't. He simply doesn't/didn't do it to anyone, not just Aircraftkiller.

Ya got me, I made a typo. "biased" in this form is a matter of opinion. For example, you may think that my opinion of his bias is biased, and that's okay.. as long as we both agree that my opinion of his bias doesn't have to revolve around yours. We're talking about perception here, not physics.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 03:59:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Warranto, are you going to remove the annoying posts in the political / Hot issues forums too?
that would be cool.

Oh, and just for clarification, will it still be okay to make OT: Subject threads? or will those be
considered off topic?

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 05:08:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Regulation is different from being a topic Nazi warranto.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 05:44:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Don't heckle him on that...

He deleted off-topic posts. Good on him - he's resolving ACK started issues that way.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 05:54:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I believe the poll statistic that won was the one regarding banning ACK. Not censorship. Correct
me if I'm wrong.

Do you want aggressive censorship?

Yes, please clean this place up 15% [8]

Hell no! Things have been this way and should stay this way. 32% [17]

I really don't care one way or another 13% [7]

Just ban Aircraftkiller and everything will be fine 38% [20]

Total Votes : 52

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Walrus](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 10:09:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is fucked up.

If the vote said ban. Then ban.

Not that I wouldn't mind some better moderation, but the whole 'I R BEING A NAZI MOD' thing will get real old real quick. It's normal to have a small amount of OT within a thread.

It would have been better to have two separate polls, just yes or no for the two of them. [/RANT]

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Renx](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 11:22:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

msgtpainWarranto, are you going to remove the annoying posts in the political / Hot issues forums too? that would be cool.

He only posted that Notice in one forum on these boards.

IRON FART3 People with moderation powers. Minus 1 moderator...

3 - 1 = ???

Help?

I'll give you some help. Starting by telling you there are four moderators here.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 13:09:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm the one who edited the poll (I am also the same person who created the poll when I noticed warranto said that he didnt know how). The topic was locked and it looked like it had turned into a "ban ack" thread/poll, which was not what warranto intended, so I deleted that option.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 14:45:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blazer! I'm the one who edited the poll (I am also the same person who created the poll when I noticed warranto said that he didnt know how). The topic was locked and it looked like it had turned into a "ban ack" thread/poll, which was not what warranto intended, so I deleted that option.

Thats crap. Thats like a dictator giving his country the option for democracy, then when its 60/40 for democracy he panics and pulls it.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 16:44:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No it isn't because most of the people who voted "ban ack" won't be running a forum themselves, don't know how to deal with people in a fair manner and forget that ack has contributed a lot more to this game than they have. Basically, his posts annoy them so he should be banned. well no, he hasn't broken any rules.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 16:52:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

flyingfoxNo it isn't because most of the people who voted "ban ack" won't be running a forum themselves, don't know how to deal with people in a fair manner and forget that ack has contributed a lot more to this game than they have. Basically, his posts annoy them so he should be banned. well no, he hasn't broken any rules.

Where the fuck do you live? Every country is full of idiots, it goes by popular vote, not education level.

GOD!

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [warranto](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 17:41:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Regarding the Hot topics forum, the only change in moderation will be on limiting how far the insults go. Considering the nature of the topics, some insult will be allowed, as long as it isn't too extreme, and retains the original topic.
ie.

"You're a complete idiot to think that the change in moderation will be a good thing," will be more acceptable than, "You're so stupid you thought 'see store for details' meant to go and look at the store."

Regarding the "OT:" topics, anything like that is fine as long as it remains within the Registration Agreement Terms. Of course, anything within that topic must remain on that topic.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 19:05:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oblivion165it goes by popular vote, not education level.

Except for 6 elected presidents who didn't get the popular votes, 4 of which were elected by the electoral college, 2 were elected by the house of representatives.

Subject: Censorship 2

Posted by [Beanyhead](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 19:09:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir PhoenixxOblivion165it goes by popular vote, not education level.

Except for 6 elected presidents who didn't get the popular votes, 4 of which were elected by the electoral college, 2 were elected by the house of representatives.

Perhaps, but that has nothing to do with education level. It doesn't matter what your education level, you can have a job in politics; George W. Bush proves this. It's still voted by popular vote because YOU voted those officials in office who vote on the president. (At least the house representatives that vote).

Subject: Censorship 2

Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 20:08:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:education level

hmm, where did I say anything about education level. have you ever ran a busy forum or game server yourself? if so, did you notice it's a lot different than being a player or member of that forum or server? you have people moaning at you all the time to kick and ban players from the game. on a forum, you probably receive a lot of PMs and complaints about how it is ran, as showcased by these recent topics about ACK and moderation. my point is I feel you shouldn't be qualified to say whether or not someone gets banned from the FORUM unless you have ran a forum yourself, considered all the reasons, who you are banning, why, does the offense merit a ban, most of these people have said more or less "he is annoying, ban" and have not really thought about anything except that they don't like his posts. I'd personally like to ban a select few people because their posts annoy me....do I? and has he broken any rules, NO! so these people should STFU and let the administration do their job.

Subject: Censorship 2

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 20:13:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir PhoenixxOblivion165it goes by popular vote, not education level.

Except for 6 elected presidents who didn't get the popular votes, 4 of which were elected by the electoral college, 2 were elected by the house of representatives.

I am well aware of the electoral college, at the end of the day it goes by voting.

Subject: Censorship 2

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 20:19:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

flyingfoxQuote:education level

hmm, where did I say anything about education level. have you ever ran a busy forum or game server yourself? if so, did you notice it's a lot different than being a player or member of that forum or server? you have people moaning at you all the time to kick and ban players from the game. on a forum, you probably receive a lot of PMs and complaints about how it is ran, as showcased by these recent topics about ACK and moderation. my point is I feel you shouldn't be qualified to say whether or not someone gets banned from the FORUM unless you have ran a forum yourself, considered all the reasons, who you are banning, why, does the offense merit a ban, most of these people have said more or less "he is annoying, ban" and have not really thought about anything except that they don't like his posts. I'd personally like to ban a select few people because their posts annoy me....do I? and has he broken any rules, NO! so these people should STFU and let the administration do their job.

There are practically no rules on these forum. Read them yourself, just stuff about signature size limits. The fact is that it was voted on in majority and that there are at least three active threads about his banning.

Subject: Censorship 2

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 22:25:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'd just like to mention that the US voting system is entirely different than this issue.

Well thankfully, those votes are restored. Unfortunately, that doesn't mean that anyone will be forced to ban ACK, but I think it speaks for itself that most of the people who voted want something done. ANY action at this point is much better than no action.

Oh and as for the Hot Topics forum - That forum is meant to go largely unmoderated, but if there is spam and 3 letter posts, etc, then that should be moderated. Off topic posts should be removed too along with ACK's attempts to derail threads. I'd welcome that sort of moderation because it keeps some order around here.

Subject: Censorship 2

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 02:38:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just think ACKs attempts to derail topics should be moderated, after that who cares.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 03:10:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.renforums.com/viewtopic.php?t=14726>
I'm down with that.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 06:11:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

BeanyheadPerhaps, but that has nothing to do with education level. It doesn't matter what your education level, you can have a job in politics; George W. Bush proves this. It's still voted by popular vote because YOU voted those officials in office who vote on the president. (At least the house representatives that vote).

Yeah, a Bachelors Degree from Yale University and an MBA(M is for Masters) from Harvard Business School just fall off the back of a truck these days. :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes: :rolleyes:

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Chronojam](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 12:22:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, not anymore due to internal auditing and being criticized for grade inflation and passing too many students who shouldn't have passed. But back in the day...

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 18:32:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hahaha... You all turned on each other like rabid wolves and now you're left with a worse place than before because you lack the self-control to do anything about it.

Subject: Censorship 2
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 23:38:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Worse place than before? When all the stupid and annoying posts you make are deleted, it can only be better than before. If other stupid and annoying posts are deleted at the same time, all the better.
