
Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Nightma12](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 18:08:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nowdays every fucking topic, that ACK(*gasp*, i said the A word!) posts in turns into a flame war, and its as if hes doing it on purpose, going though peoples posts searching for a spelling/grammer mistake & commenting on it

if we were that concerned about our spelling, crimson would of installed a spell checker onto the forums, but has she? NO! :rolleyes:

he dousnt even play renegade even more, & he thinks it sucks, so why is he posting here? because he enjoys ruining threads

its practically impossible to have a decent thread in here without it turning into a flame war about how its Nod not NOD :rolleyes:

http://www.renegadeforums.com/search.php?search_author=Aircraftkiller

i think that link speaks for itself

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Hav0c](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 18:15:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, he should but if it was going to happen it would have happened a long time ago.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 18:20:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Suspension is what i would like to happen.

Standards would have to be set, such as:

5 days for a bear skin picture... etc etc

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Hav0c](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 18:24:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Remember, it's before, not b4.
Remember, it's I, not i.
Remember, it's meantime, not mean time.
Remember, it's don't, not dont.
Remember, it's a while, not awhile.
Remember, it's God, not god.
Remember, it's because, not cuz.
Remember, it's sweating, not sweatin.
Remember, it's telling, not tellen.
Remember, it's fuck, not f*ck.
Remember, it's their, not there.
Remember, it's isn't, not isnt.

O_o

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 18:25:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Everyone else who replied to his posts that continued the flame war (or, in some cases start it) should be banned too.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [glyde51](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 18:27:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir PhoenixxEveryone else who replied to his posts that continued the flame war (or, in some cases start it) should be banned too.

Nein.

I was also thinking about this. I don't see Aircraftkiller actually helping with anything anymore, all he does it try to defame Renegade and the work of BHS (keep in mind Renegade is what BHS does).

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [icedog90](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 18:30:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson already said she won't ban him, she said to just ignore him and then she'll actually help out.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Majiin Vegeta](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 18:46:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

his gonna have a field day with this post

like i said before this Link works very well

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 19:18:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know what I do when I see a post by Aircraftkiller that starts a nice little flame war? I IGNORE the ensuing flame posts or ignore the whole topic all together. That's right, I have RESTRAINT and I don't add to the flame wars. I just find the hypocrisy of these types of posts/topics to be quite funny. You're the ones who ADD to the flame war, and then call for someone to get banned because you guys don't have enough self-control to stop yourselves from contributing to the flame war. Congratulations, you're all a bunch of whiny children who, when can't fix the problem by themselves, run to the closest authority to get them to fix the problem for them.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [egg098](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 20:15:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Fighting fire with fire creates more fire."

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Dave Anderson](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 20:18:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller is very annoying. And I agree with that. But like some people say, just ignore him, completely. He has never even posted on one of my topics before...I wonder why...

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Walrus](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 20:26:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If there is some one you don't like, just put them on the ignor list. That is why it is there...

Only you can prevent forest fires! I mean flame wars!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 20:41:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

egg098"Fighting fire with fire creates more fire."

How do you think they put out oil rig fires?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Spoony_old](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 20:43:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know there's something seriously wrong with the quality of your life when you have nearly 5000 posts on a forum devoted to something you pretend to hate.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 20:45:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

icedog90Crimson already said she won't ban him, she said to just ignore him and then she'll actually help out.

That is unfortunate. He should be banned for making so many threads spiral off topic. ...And it isn't the fault of the people replying to his posts. If he didn't make asshole remarks and correct everyone on everything, then MANY more topics would stay on track.

Like I said before, these forums are becoming a joke simply because of the sheer volume of threads that go off topic because of him.

It is sad to think that he is a total nuisance, that many people want him gone and yet at the same time, it is already decided that he is not going to be banned. That is like giving him permission to do whatever he wants.

If it was up to me, I would opt to preserve the quality of these forums rather than keep him around.
:rolleyes:

As for the ignore feature, it isn't going to be any use until almost everyone ignores him. I have him ignored already, and others do to, but threads still go off topic because of his posts.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:09:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Remember people, it takes one to be an annoyance, but two to fight.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:26:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

...Therefore we remove the annoyance and there will be no fight.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:27:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually it takes nothing to be an annoyance. What annoys you is what you allow, no one controls your emotions except yourself.

Quote:6.32 posts per day

That's my averaged post count... Six posts per day over the course of two years?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:33:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If there was a thorn in my ass, I wouldn't ignore it and pretend that it wasn't annoying...I would get rid of it.

I don't read your posts and then cry and sulk over them. I'm not emotionally effected in any way. But an annoyance is an annoyance, and it should be dealt with.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:35:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

REMEMBER KIDS THE INTERNET IS SERIOUS BUSINESS

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [warranto](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:36:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON FART...Therefore we remove the annoyance and there will be no fight.

You do realize how many people would be banned if Crimson were to do that to everyone who falls under this category, right?

Of course, everyone could always just take the previously suggested solution, and ignore those who would detract from what is being discussed. The topic wouldn't even deviate if people didn't respond to the attempt to derail the topic.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:38:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, that's obviously too hard.

You know what, I find all of you annoying. You should be banned, because I don't like you.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Aurora](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:38:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:45:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [WNxCABAL](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:53:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Should ACK be banned?

Yes

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Spoony_old](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:55:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Page two.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Aurora](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 21:58:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Should Nightma12 be banned?

Of course!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [z310](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 22:13:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 You know what I do when I see a post by Aircraftkiller that starts a nice little flame war? I IGNORE the ensuing flame posts or ignore the whole topic all together. That's right, I have RESTRAINT and I don't add to the flame wars. I just find the hypocrisy of these types of posts/topics to be quite funny. You're the ones who ADD to the flame war, and then call for someone to get banned because you guys don't have enough self-control to stop yourselves from contributing to the flame war. Congratulations, you're all a bunch of whiny children who, when can't fix the problem by themselves, run to the closest authority to get them to fix the problem for them.

I always remembered you liking to argue, and at that why dont you reply? Afraid AK will kick your ass at the little flamewar/argument?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [NuBCaKeS](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 22:20:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON FARTicedog90Crimson already said she won't ban him, she said to just ignore him and then she'll actually help out.

That is unfortunate. He should be banned for making so many threads spiral off topic. ...And it isn't the fault of the people replying to his posts. If he didn't make asshole remarks and correct everyone on everything, then MANY more topics would stay on track.

Like I said before, these forums are becoming a joke simply because of the sheer volume of threads that go off topic because of him.

It is sad to think that he is a total nuisance, that many people want hime gone and yet at the same time, it is already decided that he is not going to be banned. That is like giving him permission to do whatever he wants.

If it was up to me, I would opt to preserve the quality of these forums rather than keep him around.
:rolleyes:

As for the ignore feature, it isn't going to be any use untill almost everyone ignores him. I have him ignored already, and others do to, but threads still go off topic because of his posts.he must be feedin miss piggy

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 22:58:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Doitle

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [icedog90](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 22:59:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol, I'm just laughing at this topic.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 23:06:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No.

Surprisng answer, perhaps. But as warranto stated, a lot more people would be banned on this forum if we simply used the "he's annoying" referance.

How about we ban people with annoying and crude signatures?
How about we ban people who do not use the letter "e" once per sentance?
How about we pay homage to minipeace, because that's about as full of crap as you are.

When you get those three things sorted, Nightma, ask again for ACK to be banned.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 23:19:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nightma12Should ACK be banned?

Sure, no skin offa my back..oops, I mean texture.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 23:29:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warrantoIRON FART...Therefore we remove the annoyance and there will be no fight.

You do realize how many people would be banned if Crimson were to do that to everyone who

falls under this category, right?

Of course, everyone could always just take the previously suggested solution, and ignore those who would detract from what is being discussed. The topic wouldn't even deviate if people didn't respond to the attempt to derail the topic.

1. I don't think anyone here is seen as an annoyance as much as him.
2. If EVERYONE could always just take the previously suggested solution and do whatever, then we'd be living in a fantasy land. EVERYONE isn't going to do shit.

I'll give you a a dollar when EVERYONE here ignores his posts.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 23:41:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The day I ban ACK for his behavior is the day I also ban the people who respond to his stupid topic derailment posts. I can remove the posts, yes, but it's insanely difficult and time-consuming to try and fix an off-topic thread.

Remember, YOU enable him to take a thread off topic when you respond to him. Resist the urge and IGNORE it.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Sun, 24 Apr 2005 23:53:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:And it isn't the fault of the people replying to his posts. If he didn't make asshole remarks and correct everyone on everything, then MANY more topics would stay on track.
No, it is their fault for replying to his posts. Threads don't go off topic because of his one post, they go off topic because people continue to reply to his post, after which said people then whine that the thread went off topic when they are the ones that helped (although some times they solely cause it to go off topic) derail it.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [MrBob](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 00:36:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How about we just ban everybody? That should end 100% of all the flame wars.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [genetix](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 00:37:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree that if someone helps a community rarely yet is very annoying that they should just be ignored when they are annoying. HOWEVER Whats the point in keeping them around if they are only annoying and never help?

ACK is not willing to help the renegade community nor participate with any parts of it. He just cares about himself and thinks hes always doing the right thing. What good is he doing here? Thats what you have to ask not is he annoying, retarded and fucked up. If he wants to be that way let him. Why keep him around though if he won't contribute?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 00:45:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So I should ban everyone who doesn't contribute and is annoying? That's a whole shitload of bans.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [genetix](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 00:48:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually it wouldn't be that many if you interpreted people who contribute simply to be people who browse the forums and make some effort to help or encourage conversation.

ACK does the exact opposite. He is also considered annoying by 3/4's of the people on these forums.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 00:49:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Have half of you even realized that he derails topics because it's so easy to do with you and your fickle emotions? Has it ever come across in your minds as to maybe he does this because he knows he can get a rise out of you morons with minimal effort? The ones who ignore him are on the right track. By adding him to your ignore list or having enough restraint as to not fall victim to his (easily avoidable) trap then this thread wouldn't be needed. Instead, you're blaming HIM because YOU can't stop yourselves from replying to him. It takes 2 to tango.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 00:52:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That is farfetched to ban someone just because they don't contribute. Lots of people here haven't

contributed much.

Even if topics didn't go off topic because of ACK, personally I would ban him for correcting everyone incessantly on the most insignificant things and purposely being an annoyance. After all, pretty much the only reason he comes here is to annoy people.

Am I getting through to you, Crimson, or is your mind already made up?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 00:56:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And you think ACK doesn't encourage conversation? If he made things so horrible, then why do you still visit here?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [genetix](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 01:00:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

To tell you the truth I don't try and start casual conversation on these forums as much as I would if he was gone. I find that he almost always interferes and disrupts things. I go on other forums for casual conversation.

I come here to keep updated in the server owners forum thats pretty much it.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 01:02:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON FARTAfter all, pretty much the only reason he comes here is to annoy people. Ever think as to why he does? Maybe you should think outside the box and look for the motive behind his actions rather than just his actions.

While I'm not him, I'm pretty sure that his whole point of annoying people is, again, because he can do it with little effort. One little sentence somehow forces 10+ people to respond to him. Instead, you could just skip over his post, and then what he said doesn't even come into play. Then again, that'd actually take restraint.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 01:08:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A hawaii-based dog foundation saved this dog by not taking him off topic. Contribute now to save more dogs, build a family and let more dogs enjoy their lives as much as we do!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 01:23:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonAnd you think ACK doesn't encourage conversation? If he made things so horrible, then why do you still visit here?

Because he is only one out of the many people that come here. I'm not going to stop because of one annoying person.

Also, don't presume that he makes anything so horrible that I'd stop coming here. He probably thinks he's really getting to me, but the truth is that he is just a really really annoying pest. I still want to visit these forums, but I don't like hanging around somewhere where there is some 20 something year old loser who hangs around trying to piss me and others off.

If I add ACK to my ignore list, then I will have to add everyone who replies to his asshole remarks to my ignore list also.

Quote:

Am I getting through to you, Crimson, or is your mind already made up?

Answer??? Am I wasting my time talking to you or is there any hope of doing anything about ACK?

Quote:

Ever think as to why he does? Maybe you should think outside the box and look for the motive behind his actions rather than just his actions.

Hold on - There is a reason for annoying people?

LOL

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 01:25:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON FARTQuote:Ever think as to why he does? Maybe you should think outside the box and look for the motive behind his actions rather than just his actions.

Hold on - There is a reason for annoying people?

LOL

There's always a reason to everything.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 01:29:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK then.

Tell me: What is ACK's reason for coming here to annoy people?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [csskiller](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 01:35:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Only ACK knows the answer to that.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Creed3020](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 01:47:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would have said yes a long time ago but now I just shrugg it off. If he aides in getting a topic, off-topic then I just realize nothing has changed and never will. This place called the Renegade Forums has been home to many of for many years. There are not many of us here left that were members on the official WW Renegade Forums but there it was no different either. I was actually banned once from those forums because of fighting with ACK so much. My nick was Creed3020 and I re-registered as Creed3022. I might have "learned my lesson" but I learned that certain individuals will always hold more weight with who is upstairs then you will and there is little to nothing you can do about. So I continue posting where I can helping others out where others have not been able to. You may dismiss the ignore feature but it will help you start to build up a tolerance to what goes on here in one of 6.22 posts.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 02:01:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON FARTCrimsonAnd you think ACK doesn't encourage conversation? If he made things so horrible, then why do you still visit here?
Because he is only one out of the many people that come here. I'm not going to stop because of one annoying person.

Also, don't presume that he makes anything so horrible that I'd stop coming here. He probably thinks he's really getting to me, but the truth is that he is just a really really annoying pest. I still want to visit these forums, but I don't like hanging around somewhere where there is some 20 something year old loser who hangs around trying to piss me and others off.

If I add ACK to my ignore list, then I will have to add everyone who replies to his asshole remarks

to my ignore list also.

Quote:

Am I getting through to you, Crimson, or is your mind already made up?

Answer??? Am I wasting my time talking to you or is there any hope of doing anything about ACK?

Quote:

Ever think as to why he does? Maybe you should think outside the box and look for the motive behind his actions rather than just his actions.

Hold on - There is a reason for annoying people?

LOL

Perhaps it's us who are not getting through. The ignore button is there for a reason, use it. If Aircraftkiller goes off on one of his tirades, ignore it. If people start responding, and the conversation goes way off course, ignore it. Let them have their own little discussion.

Remember, you contribute to the derailment as much as he does when you reply to the topic.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 02:09:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

but what happens if he derails a topic you start and you aren't the one replying to him and it's the others that are doing it? Make another topic for him to de-rail? Personally, I don't like making topics that ultimately turn into flame wars or a discussion on vocabulary or grammar. It gets old people. Ignoring him won't make him go away unless EVERYONE does it. But we all know everyone won't.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 02:12:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So because others can restrain themselves then the only solution is that Aircraftkiller is banned? PERFECT logic.

Here's a scenario with that logic used:

I'm failing a class in college. This means that I should kill the teacher. I mean, obviously it's NOT my fault for not doing the work necessary to pass it. She's the one who is causing me to fail because she's the one who is giving me the homework, so obviously killing her is the perfect way to get out of failing.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 02:20:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbullbut what happens if he derails a topic you start and you aren't the one replying to him and it's the others that are doing it? Make another topic for him to de-rail? Personally, I don't like making topics that ultimately turn into flame wars or a discussion on vocabulary or grammar. It gets old people. Ignoring him won't make him go away unless EVERYONE does it. But we all know everyone won't.

Example of the solution. (Slight exaggeration,, don't take offense)

gbull: "Hey everyone! NOD stole the stealth technology!"

j_ball430: "Wow, where did you learn this?"

Aircraftkiller: "It's Nod, not NOD"

Nightma12: "Shut up ACK"

Aircraftkiller "It's Aircraftkiller, not ACK"

gbull: "I found it on the website for Tiberium Twilight"

IRON FART: "Shut up ACK, stop derailing the topic"

j_ball430: "wow, interesting"

Aircraftkiller "It's Aircraftkiller, not ACK"

gbull: "It is interesting"

-END-

In this example, the topic was derailed. However all those interested in the topic ignored it, and the original discussion remains intact.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 02:43:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry, but it seems overly apparent that there are extraneous circumstances which preclude those in power from having any sort of "control" or placing any type of restraints on the actual person at fault. This reeks of a new-age child psychology exercise when you use the words "enabler" and demand that others simply ignore the offender. When a kid steps out of line you smack them on the butt and demand that they stop their behavior, you don't pass the blame on to those around

them because then YOU are becoming the enabler. If you have no desire to enact consequences for actions because the offender is a friend, simply say that instead of pretending that it's everyone else's fault. At least then everyone will know where you stand and can shut up and move along.

Quote: If he made things so horrible, then why do you still visit here?

Look at my post count.

Warranto: Instead of telling everyone it's their fault for not being able to ignore it, why not at least publicly tell Ack to shut the fuck up and knock it off? Remember that thread we had a while back regarding favoritism? This to me is a shining example. Instead of passing the blame on to everyone else that can't ignore him, why not admonish the person responsible for their actions, and let them know that it really isn't appreciated? Like I said, your passive acceptance to the behavior is more enabling than any response to his posts could ever be.

So the question is, is everyone who hates the game allowed to just stick around here and be a prick on purpose? or only friends.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 02:52:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This topic is dedicated to banning Aircraftkiller, we're just giving the opposing side. Is Aircraftkiller being annoying? Obviously, or else this wouldn't be an issue. The thing is, it IS about restraint. If people didn't pay attention to Aircraftkiller so much, he wouldn't have the desire to keep up his actions for long because he's no longer getting the desired effect and attention he's aiming for. Should Aircraftkiller and others like him be reprimanded for their actions? Sure, but it shouldn't result in banning them because a bunch of people can't ignore him and his posts.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Â®](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 03:04:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ack made glacier. That alone should get him banned.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 03:06:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That I agree with. Ban Aircraftkiller for Glacier!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [NuBCaKeS](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 03:53:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonAnd you think ACK doesn't encourage conversation? If he made things so horrible, then why do you still visit here? Get off his nuts damn... hes annoying EVERYONE thinks so. What part of EVERYONE dont you understand?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 04:00:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The part that people like me, icedog, warranto, and such are included in "EVERYONE", and guess what? WE DON'T WANT HIM BANNED.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [spreegem](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 04:00:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lets all play the who can not respond to AircraftKiller's topic derailing remarks the longest game. Who ever doesn't respond to him the longest (Excluding members who do not regularly post here) Will receive a mystery box with a mystery prize in it. I'm actually trying to think of a prize I could give someone, so far I am thinking some Money in my MMOG and maybe your own planet named after you. . . not really helpful to you though.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 04:05:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Random: How come you put spaces between your dots? Just curious.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 04:15:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

So the question is, is everyone who hates the game allowed to just stick around here and be a prick on purpose? or only friends.

We should put that to the test. I don't play Renegade that much anymore, and I can be a complete asshole when I want...

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Majiin Vegeta](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 04:20:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Majiin Vegetalike i said before this Link works very well

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 04:38:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wouldnt want him banned either if he wasnt such an Asshole and Topic De-railer. But guess what....

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 04:49:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know, I only had to respond once here for it to become a three page thread.

Please keep it up, I have five hours to go before I pick up Sarah from the bus station and I'd love to have some constant amusement until then.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 05:09:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mistake sorry. Meant to edit something.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [icedog90](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 05:23:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There's a delete button so you can delete your post.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 05:44:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonIf he made things so horrible, then why do you still visit here?

The ocean is a several million year old fish tank that hasnt been cleaned and taste like sweat.

Sure those things suck but that doesnt make the ass whipping the waves give you any less fun.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Spice](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 06:46:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I saw a dead deer in the road off I-75. It was odd.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Titan1x77](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 09:44:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The only time this was a problem was when he was a moderator on the mod forums....simply cause he was deleting post's and replys.

I just don't see the fun in getting him banned.....Trust me you'll miss arguing with him if he's gone....It's sort of like that idiot you pick on at work or school, once he's gone you dont have that person to pick on anymore.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [sterps](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 10:59:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I dont really care of what he does, the only thing that i want to know is why does he come to this forum, when he hates the game.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [sniper12345](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 10:59:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Although I already have ACK on my ignore list and cannot read his posts as of last week, I still think it is a good idea to ban him.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Homey](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 11:35:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Titan1x77The only time this was a problem was when he was a moderator on the mod forums....simply cause he was deleting post's and replys.

I just don't see the fun in getting him banned.....Trust me you'll miss arguing with him if he's gone....It's sort of like that idiot you pick on at work or school, once he's gone you dont have that person to pick on anymore.

You mean like the village idiot? Or the joker of a castle? <<

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 12:13:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Titan does have a point. When Mike Sucich stays home I have no one to call Sausage. Nobody to say Shut up Mike, No body likes your style! at. While I'm not sure Aircraftkiller fulfills that role, it is no good to remove the people who have funny last names or do stupid things alot.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jzinsky](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 13:36:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON FARTOK then.

Tell me: What is ACK's reason for coming here to annoy people?

Did you ever go on irc to seeif you could get banned? Did you ever laugh because people were getting annoyed at you? I did when I first got the internet. I was young then, he's still doing the same thing.

He's not going to get banned, get over it. I appreciate that he really pisses a lot of you guys off, but I do also realise that everyone responds. The moment he posts something it turns into a flamewar. If his comments sway off topic, ignore them.

I like the way that he's even managing to laugh at this now by posting using only his last page of previous posts, the link to which was posted on here. Look at this, a 4-page thread on getting him banned, and how early on did you say he will not get banned Crimson?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 14:20:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's not favoritism... I didn't and haven't banned deadfive for posting twelve million topics about his stupid website. I constantly let Vitaminous be an ass and a useless member of the forums without banning him, and I really don't like the guy at all. I let SuperFlyingLiberal stay on here even though I think he's an idiot and a waste of perfectly good oxygen. It's NOT favoritism. I don't feel it's really my place to ostracize anyone, especially the game's most prolific map maker, from the closest thing to the "official" community that we have left, unless they blatantly break the rules.

Do I wish he would stop the childish, constantly, quite OLD derailing of topics for asinine reasons? You bet I do, and my hints like changing his nickname haven't helped a bit. I don't know when he'll get the message.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Spoony_old](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 14:27:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonI didn't and haven't banned deadfive for posting twelve million topics about his stupid website. I constantly let Vitaminous be an ass and a useless member of the forums without banning him, and I really don't like the guy at all. I let SuperFlyingLiberal stay on here even though I think he's an idiot and a waste of perfectly good oxygen.

No mention of my page announcements? I must be getting slack.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 14:51:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry, though most women may do that, I don't keep a sharp memory of all the bad things people do here.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Deactivated](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 15:16:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonDo I wish he would stop the childish, constantly, quite OLD derailing of topics for asinine reasons? You bet I do, and my hints like changing his nickname haven't helped a bit. I don't know when he'll get the message.

Swing the ban hammer. Maybe that could help shake him up a little.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 15:31:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

msgtpainWhen a kid steps out of line you smack them on the butt and demand that they stop their behavior, you don't pass the blame on to those around them because then YOU are becoming the enabler. If you have no desire to enact consequences for actions because the offender is a friend, simply say that instead of pretending that it's everyone else's fault. At least then everyone will know where you stand and can shut up and move along.

I quite agree with you here, on the official forums people got banned for this but the moderators

didn't know who they were banning. They just knew they were fans of the game who were being jerks. creed said he got back in under a different name and was allowed, but the original idea is there: someone steps out of line, they get banned. The argument of favouritism: I think it exists everywhere; in work, school, college. I know it exists in my workplace anyway. But can you really blame the admin for being more of a friend than an "all powerful admin" when they were previously a member of the official forum and got to know people there.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 16:01:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ACFYou know, I only had to respond once here for it to become a three page thread.

This topic would have become a 3 page topic (4 now obviously) if you'd posted or not. Try to remember you're not THAT special.

Anyway I don't think he should be banned, he's funny. Makes me laugh.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Â®](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:11:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Are we forgetting he made Glacier? Come on now, that was obviously a slap in the face to the Renegade community.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Spoony_old](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:15:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

®Are we forgetting he made Glacier? Come on now, that was obviously a slap in the face to the Renegade community.
Glacier's actually a good map for clanners if you ignore the glitches it's riddled with. Compared to Islands or Hourglass, anyway.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Spice](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:28:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Does anyone have any good skins for use on my game?!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:28:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There's a big difference between Glacier and Glacier Flying, learn the difference.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [OnfireUK](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:36:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree with msgtpain.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Blazer](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 20:09:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EXdeath7Does anyone have any good skins for use on my game?! [over 100 shock smileys deleted]

Umm...wtf is with the spam?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [SickOptometrist](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 20:48:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller is very intelligent & has the ability to be above all of this. Yet, he enjoys the negative exchange. He needs to channel his intellect to more constructive things & get a job!! If he would just loosen up a little bit & accept other's flaws & informalities, then he would be very cool. He just needs a little social polishing...that's all.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [m1a1_abrams](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 21:02:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I also don't agree with this idea that it's everyone else's fault but Aircraftkiller's. I mean from what I can tell, one thing that we're all agreed on is that we think it's stupid behaviour and we'd rather it didn't happen, right? Well, most of us at least. Anyway, even if we did as has been suggested and ignore him, what is to stop him from continuing regardless? Besides which, from my perspective, the people who are asking him to stop are doing because of a desire to see the forum function in a pleasant and civilised manner. Aircraftkiller is posting out of a desire to annoy people just because he can... and also I assume because he doesn't care about the consequences of his actions with regards to other people. So run this by me one more time... it's perfectly acceptable for Aircraftkiller to act like he does, but everyone else is in the wrong for behaving like a good society does... and that would be trying to enforce what is the generally accepted code of conduct (i.e. morality, treating people with respect, whatever you want to call it)? I didn't realise that when we think something is wrong we should just ignore it because it will go away on it's own.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 21:23:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We never said that he's not at fault for his own actions. Also, if we do ignore him, he'll just be talking to himself, and that WILL fix the problem.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 21:32:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That, and if you sit back and look at these little flamewars, they're actually quite amusing. Amusing in that people have been getting into stupid arguments with ACK since Ren came out, and probably before that, and they STILL haven't learned to just ignore him. Which is even more incredibly fucking pathetic considering that there's an ignore feature now. And when something is THAT pathetic, one just can't help but laugh their ass off at it. :rolleyes:

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Walrus](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 21:33:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It only fixes the problem for those who are already here. There have been a few times where it all kicked off because a Newbie came in and wondered why this aircraftkiller was being such a dick to them. What are they going to have, an FAQ telling them that they should put him on their ignore list right away... it's not their fault, they just don't know any better.

I would rather any one new can start off ok, until they - fit in - or make an ass of them selfs.

I'm not for banning, I just like clean and relatively friendly forums.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 21:38:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

These forums haven't been "clean and relatively friendly" since...ever.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Ar4wn](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 21:51:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

weirdo

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Weirdo](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 22:21:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ar4wnweirdo

You keep me out of this. I'm watching from the outside.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 22:30:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He's not going to be around until May 6th (supposedly) -- so we get a reprieve anyway.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:05:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

msgtpain

Warranto: Instead of telling everyone it's their fault for not being able to ignore it, why not at least publicly tell Ack to shut the fuck up and knock it off? Remember that thread we had a while back regarding favoritism? This to me is a shining example. Instead of passing the blame on to everyone else that can't ignore him, why not admonish the person responsible for their actions, and let them know that it really isn't appreciated? Like I said, your passive acceptance to the behavior is more enabling than any response to his posts could ever be.

So the question is, is everyone who hates the game allowed to just stick around here and be a prick on purpose? or only friends.

Why do I suggest that it is their fault? Perhaps because it IS their fault. This is a forum where

words must be written to respond. If it were completely verbal, it would be much more difficult to have someone hanging around mentioning stuff. In such a case, I'd be more than happy to tell him to shut up. However, thanks to the wonders of modern technology, there is an "ignore button". If you don't want him to interrupt topics, hit that button. It's quite simple.

It takes two to start a "fight" (or in this case, detract from the topic), if Aircraftkiller is to be blamed for it, then so is everyone who entices it to continue. The blame goes both ways here. Since you enjoy bringing up events from real life and applying them to this, Imagine that "bully" who keeps stating "Common, fight me!", over and over again. The only way a fight is going to occur, is if someone acts on that. Sure, that bully may be to blame for enticing someone to the fight, however just as much blame goes to the person who decided to fight.

Heck, if you actually WANT me to though, I could start showing favoritism. Perhaps then you'll have at least a valid claim to complain about. Until then, get off this elevated position you have given yourself, and realize that YOU are as much to blame for your off-topic comments regarding Aircraftkiller as he is for starting the "fight".

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:28:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If ACK is openly allowed (no fault) to post negative responses to any topic he desires with no consequences, I'm having a hard time seeing how anyone that responds to him is at fault for their actions.. Please explain.

Oh, and if you need to jump on my "high horse" and prove you can play favorites, go right ahead.

Quote:In such a case, I'd be more than happy to tell him to shut up. However, thanks to the wonders of modern technology, there is an "ignore button". If you don't want him to interrupt topics, hit that button. It's quite simple.

So let me get this straight.. just so there is no missinterpretation on my part. If we were talking face to face, what ACK constantly does would warrant (no pun intended) a response of "shut up" from you. However, since we have another feature called "ignore", it is our fault if he continues, and there is no reason for you to tell him to "shut up".. Did I get that right? Since we're in to "real world examples" lets have another.. If my daughter sees a mans 10 inch erect cock on the side of the road, it's her fault for looking at it, and we should just ignore the person standing there nude, after all.. she has a neck, she should use it.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:37:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The only thing he's doing is being annoying. There's nothing in the rules stating that he can't have his own opinion or he can't correct others' spelling and grammar.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:38:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kind of like how people are openly allowed to respond?

Kind of like how everyone else is allowed to do the same thing? (As Crimson and spoony pointed out earlier)

Yes, there is so much favouritism present, I favour everyone!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:44:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

msgtpainQuote:In such a case, I'd be more than happy to tell him to shut up. However, thanks to the wonders of modern technology, there is an "ignore button". If you don't want him to interrupt topics, hit that button. It's quite simple.

So let me get this straight.. just so there is no missinterpretation on my part. If we were talking face to face, what ACK constantly does would warrant (no pun intended) a response of "shut up" from you. However, since we have another feature called "ignore", it is our fault if he continues, and there is no reason for you to tell him to "shut up".. Did I get that right? Since we're in to "real world examples" lets have another.. If my daughter sees a mans 10 inch erect cock on the side of the road, it's her fault for looking at it, and we should just ignore the person standing there nude, after all.. she has a neck, she should use it.

I fail to see how criminal acts relate to someone being annoying, but lets go with it. I also fail to see how the "hearing of words vs. seeing of words" compares to the "seeing of pornography", but I can state my opinion.

Tell you what, the next time Aircraftkiller posts pornography, I'll do what I would do with the person on the street. Put a stop to it. Whether that be reporting it to the police, removing the offensive post, or recommending a ban; as the situation demands.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:49:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto

Kind of like how everyone else is allowed to do the same thing? (As Crimson and spoony pointed out earlier)

You're absolutely right, Crimson did speak her mind in a post, how she feels and the reasons she does what she does.. and I applaud her for that. (and the fact that she didn't tell everyone it was

their fault that topics are derailed when ack posts in them)

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Spice](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:49:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

BlazerEXdeath7Does anyone have any good skins for use on my game?! [over 100 shock smileys deleted]

Umm...wtf is with the spam?

You know you love it , It's for dinner!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:51:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto

I fail to see how criminal acts relate to someone being annoying, but lets go with it. I also fail to see how the "hearing of words vs. seeing of words" compares to the "seeing of pornography", but I can state my opinion.

Tell you what, the next time Aircraftkiller posts pornography, I'll do what I would do with the person on the street. Put a stop to it. Whether that be reporting it to the police, removing the offensive post, or recommending a ban; as the situation demands.

I thought you were like a legal assistant in training or something, no? So enlighten me, why is it a criminal act? is it because a society has determined that there are specific guidelines and codes of conduct that they want enforced to keep the peace? Could that be in any way shape or form applied to someone that has no purpose in a community for any reason other than tormenting the other citizens?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:51:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And this is the problem with society today, the unwillingness to place blame where it is due. "It's not MY fault I hit the guy, he started it!"; "I don't like that guy, so I'm going to blame everything I can on him, and accept none of it!"

BOTH are to blame, Aircraftkiller for starting it, and everyone else for continuing it. Therefore, if something is going to happen to one side, the exact same thing has to happen to the other side.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:55:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JzinskyIRON FARTOK then.

Tell me: What is ACK's reason for coming here to annoy people?

Did you ever go on irc to see if you could get banned? Did you ever laugh because people were getting annoyed at you? I did when I first got the internet. I was young then, he's still doing the same thing.

He's not going to get banned, get over it. I appreciate that he really pisses a lot of you guys off, but I do also realise that everyone responds. The moment he posts something it turns into a flamewar. If his comments sway off topic, ignore them.

I like the way that he's even managing to laugh at this now by posting using only his last page of previous posts, the link to which was posted on here. Look at this, a 4-page thread on getting him banned, and how early on did you say he will not get banned Crimson?

I stopped going to IRC 2 years ago after I got kicked out for having "FART" in my name.

I realised yesterday that there is no chance of him getting banned.

Hell, I got banned from here a long time ago for being less of an asshole - And I had a reason to be. I wasn't being an asshole just to piss people off like ACK does.

Quote:

The only thing he's doing is being annoying. There's nothing in the rules stating that he can't have his own opinion or he can't correct others' spelling and grammar.

There is also nothing in the rules about SPAMMING threads with things that are completely off topic.

What's your point? Are you going to redefine spam?

I realise that Crimson & Blazer (Possibly Warranto?) have the last word if he gets banned or not. Well, I respect that. I understand that you lot are the only ones that can make that decision. But you've said yourself that this is pretty much what is left of the official community and that you'd like to preserve it. Well I don't see it being preserved when there is someone like ACK running around trying to piss people off. It is OBVIOUS that there are many here who don't feel comfortable with him around.

You aren't obliged to take any action, but if you don't, this place is just going to become really, really shitty. It's your choice.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:57:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:I realise that Crimson & Blazer (Possibly Warranto?) have the last word if he gets banned or not.

Just to answer this, for the sake of clarity. I have no say in the final decision. I may be able to voice my position on the matter, but all I am is a moderator. No powers other than those of closing, editing, and removing posts.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:58:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warrantoAnd this is the problem with society today, the unwillingness to place blame where it is due. "It's not MY fault I hit the guy, he started it!"; "I don't like that guy, so I'm going to blame everything I can on him, and accept none of it!"

BOTH are to blame, Aircraftkiller for starting it, and everyone else for continuing it. Therefore, if something is going to happen to one side, the exact same thing has to happen to the other side.

To the first paragraph, that's called self defense, and there definately is no blame to be placed on the person that hits back, as long as they don't pummel the poor bastard.

As for the second, I completely agree.. I see no problem with telling everyone to stop responding to ACK's posts.. But as you said, what happens to one side must happen to the other.. so could you also please make a public post requesting that ACK stops making the childish topic derailing posts? Thanks a bunch.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 00:04:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Self defence" has absolutly nothing to do with blame. Unless, of course, you are suggesting that it WASN'T the person who controlled his own fist to strike the individual. a Defence simply states that the action was permissable. It was still that person who threw the punch.

I would tell him to stop, but apparently I favour Aircraftkiller. So there isn't much point in doing so.

Or, it could be the fact that I haven't actually told everyone else to stop responding. All I've stated is how derailment could be prevented if he does post, and in return that it's quite hypocritical to call Aircraftkiller on his derailments, when other people do the same thing.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 00:06:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yea, I didn't think so..

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 00:08:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gasp Favourtism! :rolleyes:

I didn't tell anyone from either side to stop, so I must be favouring Aircraftkiller. After all, I didn't single him out!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 00:16:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto

Perhaps it's us who are not getting through. The ignore button is there for a reason, use it. If Aircraftkiller goes off on one of his tirades, ignore it. If people start responding, and the conversation goes way off course, ignore it. Let them have their own little discussion.

Remember, you contribute to the derailment as much as he does when you reply to the topic.

Like I said... The world you live in must be warm and fuzzy, at least I hope so.

I'll leave it at this, I think I've sufficiently shown what I came here to show.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 00:17:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well if he was banned, wouldn't that be putting out the fire from the source?

If he didn't post retarded things, then nobody would reply to him, and a thread would not be derailed. Where is this flawed?

Realistically, most of the people here aren't going to ignore him, and he will still get away with derailing threads.

EDIT: I have a feeling that this thread is going to be locked and that absolutely nothing is going to be done about ACK.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 01:22:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey everyone! Let's talk about how RenAlert sucks!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Creed3020](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 01:25:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j4S[p]Hey everyone! Let's talk about how RenAlert sucks!

@Ack made glacier. That alone should get him banned.

Not sure which one is funnier....LMFAO

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Chronojam](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 03:05:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j4S[p]Hey everyone! Let's talk about how RenAlert sucks!

Fuck off, please.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [glyde51](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 03:10:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chronojamj4S[p]Hey everyone! Let's talk about how RenAlert sucks!

Fuck off, please.

NOU!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 03:19:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, Glyde, no.

Stop now before I hurt you.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 04:14:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lock?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Mick](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 04:45:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Should ACK be banned? No, ya gotta have a good flame war every now and then, livens up the forums a bit. Admit it, a good flame war makes the forum more interesting.

This is no way a defence towards ACK, but I find arguing against him to be humourous

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Xtrm2Matt](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 07:00:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't see why ACK doesn't get flamed for flaming Reborn when we get flamed for flaming RenAlert? They both suck equally (I don't dislike Reborn nor Renalert).

Seriously, if you don't like ACK flaming, then just leave. Once everyone is gone, he can't flame anyone but himself. Yes he's a lamer, yes he's a faggot, but isn't everyone?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [sniper12345](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 07:00:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HydraNo, Glyde, no.

Stop now before I hurt you.

Big tough man over the internet is going to hurt Glyde! Oh no!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 08:02:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

These forums have never been a warm, inviting, friendly place... not before I ever heard of Renegade, not when I started posting during the beta test, not when Westwood ran them... Is that a direct result of ACK's continued presence in them? Perhaps. Lord knows he's made it his

personal goal in life to annoy people on these forums. The problem is, if I banned him and told him not to post here anymore, then within a week there would be a topic and long discussion about banning deadfive, then spoony, and so on. You hold the power to change the landscape of this place, each and every one of you. You start with yourself. Set an example and try to make it apparent that you are not going to act a certain way anymore. Me waving the banstick around isn't going to help anything... all it will do is piss people off.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [sniper12345](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 08:40:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

go ahead, ban him and we'll prove you wrong.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Renx](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 11:20:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aircraftkiller replied here several times, and this is still on topic. Am I missing something?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [sniper12345](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 12:18:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Visit a few other threads, especially ones to do with skins, and you won't be missing anything.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [glyde51](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 12:55:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sniper12345Visit a few other threads, especially ones to do with skins, and you won't be missing anything.

Textures

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [genetix](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 13:53:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RenxAircraftkiller replied here several times, and this is still on topic. Am I missing something?

He doesn't wanna get banned because I then he won't be able to stay here until these forums close. I remember ACK saying that the only reason he comes here is because he's been here since the forums opened and he wants to stay here until they close.

Why not make us all happy and NOT ban him but take away his "write" privlidges on all the forums. Then he can still come to the forums just not annoy the hell out of people. And if he really wanted to say something he could still pm

Although I think crimson's already made up here mind.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 16:54:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

msgtpainwarranto

I fail to see how criminal acts relate to someone being annoying, but lets go with it. I also fail to see how the "hearing of words vs. seeing of words" compares to the "seeing of pornography", but I can state my opinion.

Tell you what, the next time Aircraftkiller posts pornography, I'll do what I would do with the person on the street. Put a stop to it. Whether that be reporting it to the police, removing the offensive post, or recommending a ban; as the situation demands.

I thought you were like a legal assistant in training or something, no? So enlighten me, why is it a criminal act? is it because a society has determined that there are specific guidelines and codes of conduct that they want enforced to keep the peace? Could that be in any way shape or form applied to someone that has no purpose in a community for any reason other than tormenting the other citizens?

hmm.. I must have missed this one.

Exactly, Society makes the rules to ensure it's citizens are prevented from harm and/or torment. So lets look at the rules of this society (the forum) shall we?

Quote:You agree not to post any abusive, obscene, vulgar, slanderous, hateful, threatening, sexually-oriented or any other material that may violate any applicable laws. Doing so may lead to you being immediatly and permanently banned (and your service provider being informed). The IP address of all posts is recorded to aid in enforcing these conditions.

I fail to see the word "annoying" among those rules people have agreed to adhere to.

As for your latest responce:

Actually, the world I live in is anything but warm and fuzzy. Simply because I acknowledge when things are my fault, I realize that a fight needs two people to begin (one to entice, the other to follow through), and I realize that perhaps other people don't like to accept any sort of blame for

anything they do.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [The Mad Hatter](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 17:01:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote: There's nothing in the rules stating that he can't have his own opinion or he can't correct others' spelling and grammar.

You Americans can't spell anyway*

Quote:Hey everyone! Let's talk about how RenAlert sucks!

It doesn't.

*Note the lack of quotation marks young vanquished smart-arse that was

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 17:27:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:You agree not to post any abusive, obscene, vulgar, slanderous, hateful, threatening, sexually-oriented or any other material that may violate any applicable laws. Doing so may lead to you being immediately and permanently banned (and your service provider being informed). The IP address of all posts is recorded to aid in enforcing these conditions.

True the rules don't say anything about annoying, however I've highlighted the rules he has broken...

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 17:38:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As have most other people.

So, unless you want complete censorship from me, find something else to verify your position.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [OnfireUK](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 19:16:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j4S[p]Hey everyone! Let's talk about how RenAlert sucks!

What is this renalert you speak of ? :rolleyes:

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Spoony_old](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 19:18:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RenxAircraftkiller replied here several times, and this is still on topic. Am I missing something?
That's because it's a thread about Aircraftkiller...

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 19:21:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dethnfirej4S[p]Hey everyone! Let's talk about how RenAlert sucks!

What is this renalert you speak of ?
Surely it is Renegade Alert :rolleyes:
Holy duh batman!

What the hell are you going on about?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 19:21:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, it's a thread related about how...

Renegade Alert sucks!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 20:21:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warrantoAs have most other people.

So, unless you want complete censorship from me, find something else to verify your position.

I don't actually want him banned, he's funny in his idiocy, I just wanted to point out that fact.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jzinsky](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 22:03:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

EXdeath7BlazerEXdeath7Does anyone have any good skins for use on my game?! [over 100 shock smileys deleted]

Umm...wtf is with the spam?

You know you love it , It's for dinner!

I see a pattern happening here. Stop it.

Hang on that was easy...

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Naamloos](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 22:13:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sometimes i wonder why and how ACK became such an asshole. If you look in some old topics you can see a big difference in the way he acts.

Tell us ACK, did you one day just woke up and thought, "Hey! Let's be an asshole!"?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 22:32:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

Lord knows he's made it his personal goal in life to annoy people on these forums. The problem is, if I banned him and told him not to post here anymore, then within a week there would be a topic and long discussion about banning deadfive, then spoony, and so on.

I don't see a problem with Spoony. As for deadfive, he pissed off some people at first, but that was completely unintentional, and he learned to have some respect for the forums by not making a million topics a day.

Unlike Spoony or deadfive, ACK is the only person who comes here to piss people off INTENTIONALLY. He only comes here to be a menace. If that isn't banworthy, then nothing is.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 27 Apr 2005 00:06:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Technically, he's not the one who takes the threads off-topic. His correction of grammar/spelling/whatever, IS in fact related to the topic that was posted. The following post "Fuck you ACK don't correct people" is the post that takes it off-topic.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [EatMyCar](#) on Wed, 27 Apr 2005 00:28:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Correcting things should not be considered a problem, i find it nice that somebody takes the liberty to correct errors. but what i dislike is when he posts random garbage. remember the skik(TEXTURE) thread i made? he posted a picture of a bear skin, see, that is pointless....and that is what he should be ignored for, not for correcting spelling....

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Wed, 27 Apr 2005 00:32:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

WOW This is really pathetic. A forum with no rules or authority. And to think you are blatantly defending someone who is an obvious troublemaker.

I'm not gonna waste more time with this thread.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 27 Apr 2005 01:44:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We could just kill ACK, that would solve everything!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Lijitsu](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 04:16:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Now, i know many of you would probly hate me for this, but, i like ACK. Hes brought us some very good maps, and, despite the fact that he doesnt play Renegade anymore, and he constantly puts it down (Only going on what other people have said, ive only been here for a very short time.), he shouldnt be banned. Like many people have said, just ignore him. I dont care if he tries to correct my grammar, im from the south, and this is how we talk down here, damnit! And, yes, its Nod, not NOD. Brotherhood of Nod, Global Defense Initiative/GDI. Nod doesnt stand for a damn thing, its

just a faction. Like Clan Jade Falcon in Battletech, its just a faction. Also, Nod rules!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Ripintou](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 04:39:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Those that respond negatively to him ... makes you his servant!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 05:25:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonTechnically, he's not the one who takes the threads off-topic. His correction of grammar/spelling/whatever, IS in fact related to the topic that was posted. The following post "Fuck you ACK don't correct people" is the post that takes it off-topic.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 05:45:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I find this amusing.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 06:08:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Currently there are at least 3 active topics about how he should be banned.

(About or touch on)

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 06:12:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gbulll find this amusing.

I find your amusing post annoying, it should be deleted.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [splnwezel](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 08:29:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

msgtpaingbulll find this amusing.

I find your amusing post annoying, it should be deleted.

Yeah, especially from a guy who doesn't even play Renegade anymore... would that make you somewhat like ACK?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 13:32:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

splnwezel
Yeah, especially from a guy who doesn't even play Renegade anymore... would that make you somewhat like ACK?

Says who? I'm pretty sure I play a game or two a night.. or, are you just thinking you're smart because you searched the stats for msgtpain and didn't find anything?

If you didn't see the sarcasm in my post, I can't help you, sorry.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Renx](#) on Thu, 28 Apr 2005 20:56:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, because really msgtpain was the smart one, he plays under asscrkflr!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 02:39:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

msgtpaingbulll find this amusing.

I find your amusing post annoying, it should be deleted.

You find my post amusing but annoying? Wow, i'd like to know how that works, explain plz.
:rolleyes:

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 02:59:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I guess I was too subtle, I'll try harder next time.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [splnwezel](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 03:29:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No... I was agreeing with you. I just don't understand why people who don't even have Renegade installed on their computer feel they have to contribute to these forums (excluding Politics/Hot Issues).

Subject: MSGT PAIN

Posted by [AndrewCow](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 13:30:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We all know what really goes on. As in the old days like creed said, things will never change.

Sad to see the "Renegade Public Forums" show so much bias.

Subject: Re: MSGT PAIN

Posted by [Â®](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 14:41:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AndrewCowWe all know what really goes on. As in the old days like creed said, things will never change.

Sad to see the "Renegade Public Forums" show so much bias.

whatev newb

Subject: Re: MSGT PAIN

Posted by [vloktboky](#) on Fri, 29 Apr 2005 18:48:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AndrewCowWe all know what really goes on. As in the old days like creed said, things will never change.

:inlove:

Subject: Re: MSGT PAIN

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 30 Apr 2005 04:42:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AndrewCowWe all know what really goes on. As in the old days like creed said, things will never change.

Sad to see the "Renegade Public Forums" show so much bias.

Wow, look what crawled out of the woodwork. Someone give me a bat to send it back. :rolleyes:

Obviously you didn't read the whole thread, nor have you been here for quite some time, because if you had read the thread, you would know that I do NOT show any bias towards anyone. Let me point it out since you're too lazy to read the thread:

Me a few pages agolt's not favoritism... I didn't and haven't banned deadfive for posting twelve million topics about his stupid website. I constantly let Vitaminous be an ass and a useless member of the forums without banning him, and I really don't like the guy at all. I let SuperFlyingLiberal stay on here even though I think he's an idiot and a waste of perfectly good oxygen. It's NOT favoritism. I don't feel it's really my place to ostracize anyone, especially the game's most prolific map maker, from the closest thing to the "official" community that we have left, unless they blatantly break the rules.

Do I wish he would stop the childish, constantly, quite OLD derailing of topics for asinine reasons? You bet I do, and my hints like changing his nickname haven't helped a bit. I don't know when he'll get the message.

People hated ACK well before I was ever given responsibility for these forums, and nothing will change that.

But I do believe the fact that this thread is still open is a big reminder that I'm not trying to censor your opinions on the subject. You have all, however, failed to convince me that banning him would be a good idea or a deserved punishment.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [AndrewCow](#) on Sat, 30 Apr 2005 05:29:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wow, i did more posts here in last 24 hours, than i have in the entire existance of the private ren forums.

ban don't ban, not for anyone but you or your moderators to decide. the biggest problem has always been a equal standard.

if something is unacceptable or inappropriate, it is that way for all. if people cannot adhere to a common standard, then do with them what you will. that's one thing i don't recall ever seeing here as there is most formal or gaming sites, a acceptalbe use policy, or rules of conduct. that way people know what is permissable and what is not.

i think msgt pain will agree with that as well.

and i do frequent these forums more than you know, another name is always possible.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Sat, 30 Apr 2005 17:45:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, Argus, you're quite clever and so secretive. :rolleyes:

Your capacity for intelligent thinking could fit inside a matchbook, without taking the matches out. You just can't fathom the concept of a forum that's not run anal-rententively. No one wants to sit here and read every single little post and moderate it. Almost everyone here has behaved well within any standards I would have hoped for, and I'm content with it.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [RTsa](#) on Sat, 30 Apr 2005 21:46:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lol @ this thread

I don't think ACF should be banned. I (and it seems some others too) get laughs from his posts and the flames that follow.

ACF, keep up the good work!

(not saying I like him but...this really is funny)

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Sat, 30 Apr 2005 23:48:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My God! I thought things would have changed in my absence here. Sad to see they haven't.

This whole topic should have been locked 7 pages ago and moved to the "Silo". :rolleyes:

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Sat, 30 Apr 2005 23:51:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

K9TrooperMy God! I thought things would have changed in my absence here. Sad to see they haven't.

This whole topic should have been locked 7 pages ago and moved to the "Silo". :rolleyes:

Why, because someone was voicing an opinion that you don't agree with?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Sat, 30 Apr 2005 23:58:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Pain... On the contrary and you know that.

Do you really think a "Ban ACK" topic would really work? All it is going to do is start a 5 Alarm Fire nothing more.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Sun, 01 May 2005 00:19:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

K9TrooperPain... On the contrary and you know that.

Do you really think a "Ban ACK" topic would really work? All it is going to do is start a 5 Alarm Fire nothing more.

What are you, a monday morning quarterback? Anyone that reads this thread for the first time today could tell you that

Ack wasn't going to get banned, and most people didn't really want that to happen anyway.. But we did accomplish more moderating, and that's a good thing.. I just took seven pages of dialog to get there.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [K9Trooper](#) on Sun, 01 May 2005 02:39:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

More moderating? Look at this thread... Isn't there a forum for bullshit topics like this? Shouldn't it be moved there if there was more moderating?

:rolleyes:

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Sun, 01 May 2005 02:55:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

no, no.. as long as it stays on topic, and I don't attack your character, we're all good.. We are still talking about banning ACK, right? If we deviate and begin to discuss something else, our post will be edited.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Sun, 01 May 2005 03:00:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renegade Alert sucks.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [The Mad Hatter](#) on Sun, 01 May 2005 10:20:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No it doesn't

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Sun, 01 May 2005 12:35:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He hasn't broken any rules, he's only been annoying to some, and until he attacks the forum/server, or floods the forums with identical topics, etc., there would be no reason to ban him.

(<http://n00bstories.com/image.fetch.php?id=1201896854>)

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Sun, 01 May 2005 13:04:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir PhoenixxHe hasn't broken any rules, he's only been annoying to some, and until he attacks the forum/server, or floods the forums with identical topics, etc., there would be no reason to ban him.

Me

Quote:You agree not to post any abusive, obscene, vulgar, slanderous, hateful, threatening, sexually-oriented or any other material that may violate any applicable laws. Doing so may lead to you being immediately and permanently banned (and your service provider being informed). The IP address of all posts is recorded to aid in enforcing these conditions.

True the rules don't say anything about annoying, however I've highlighted the rules he has broken...

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Dave Mason](#) on Sun, 01 May 2005 14:01:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir PhoenixxHe hasn't broken any rules, he's only been annoying to some, and until he attacks the forum/server, or floods the forums with identical topics, etc., there would be no reason to ban him.

(<http://n00bstories.com/image.fetch.php?id=1201896854>)

how about identical posts

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Sun, 01 May 2005 15:07:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well the last bit, while funny, was irrelevant so I removed it.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Sun, 01 May 2005 18:56:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

Do you really think a "Ban ACK" topic would really work? icon_lol.gif All it is going to do is start a 5 Alarm Fire nothing more.

This isn't a "BAN ACK" thread. This is a "Should ACK be banned" thread.

He's come here with the intention of derailing threads and pissing people off. (Please correct me if I'm wrong)

He's been doing this for a very long time, and like I said before, if that isn't ban worthy, then nothing is. He's just going to keep degrading the quality of these forums.

And by the way, I don't want anyone to retort with that bullshit argument "Har har if yuo dont leik it than dotn reply to his post eeeeeeeediot."

We all know that if he didn't post his rude, annoying and OFF-TOPIC remarks, then nobody would reply to them telling him to shutup.

Subject: A few years late

Posted by [WinterFresh](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 02:16:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Aww come on guys, I know Ack can be sometimes a little annoying, but he's overall a good guy. I know I played with him on the same team for a long time.

I see that not much has changed around Renegade since I left, still same old but with different people.

Oh and hello Crim, I see you still keeping the pack under control.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 02:21:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renegade Alert sucks.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [genetix](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 02:30:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

can someone lock this already? Its obvious enough crimson isn't gonna ban him.

Subject: Re: A few years late

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 04:13:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

WINTERFRESH!!

Where the fuck have you been, dude? I haven't seen you since like... 2002? Or 2003... I can't remember offhand. Buzz the forums with me and get these dumb bastards riled up, it's loads of fun!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [WinterFresh](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 15:12:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah it has been since 2003 more or less. A long time but I'm having nostalgic feelings of Renegade. I'm slowly getting back into gaming again had a long off time ... to long.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [The Mad Hatter](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 17:39:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Renegade Alert sucks.

No it doesn't

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 19:13:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes it does.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 19:33:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

genetixcan someone lock this already? Its obvious enough crimson isn't gonna ban him.

Eventually she will cave if we thread enough.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 20:00:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Do you even have any idea of how close Crimson and I are? I've known her since the day this game turned into a beta test, 2001 - I doubt she's going to ban me because some idiots online go "OMFGR THE WORDS HRT MY PERSONALITETY BAN PLZZZZWW"

You know how many people have said just that, or some retarded form of that phrase? Deal with it and learn how to ignore what you don't like. You just might learn how to understand how to live in the world after that.

Or not, since you're idiots and all... can't expect too much out of you!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 20:47:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't mean to sound like an idiot; because you are obviously so much smarter than everybody

else in the world, but why do you still post here if you hate the game and people so much? Is it because you like baiting us poor idiots? If so, that is pretty sad. Before you come back saying I only come here to reply to you, no you are wrong, I come here to check out the Mod area and sometimes the Politics area. However it is funny to see what bull you come out with next that makes you look more of a fool when you think you're in an argument

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [The Mad Hatter](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 20:47:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Yes it does.

No it doesn't.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 22:26:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AircraftkillerDo you even have any idea of how close Crimson and I are? I've known her since the day this game turned into a beta test, 2001 - I doubt she's going to ban me because some idiots online go "OMFGR THE WORDS HRT MY PERSONALITETY BAN PLZZZZWW"

You know how many people have said just that, or some retarded form of that phrase? Deal with it and learn how to ignore what you don't like. You just might learn how to understand how to live in the world after that.

Or not, since you're idiots and all... can't expect too much out of you!

1st Para:

I think the community is well aware of that. I didn't expect anyone to actually do shit about you, but this is so blatant favouritism that I couldn't resist trying to draw out this thread as long as possible.

You shouldn't call people idiots. You aren't smarter than anyone else here. Please don't think you are anything special - you haven't done anything to be.

Quote:

Eventually she will cave if we thread enough.

Eventually it will be locked at like page 10 or something because "It has gone on long enough."

Usually it would have been locked at like page 3, but the only reason it is being kept open is to make it look like there is a chance of banning ACK. (No I can't back that up with solid proof, but I wouldn't bother trying to prove it anyway. That's my opinion.)

...And they claim there is no favouritism...

HAR HAR HAR

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Walrus](#) on Mon, 02 May 2005 23:09:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Question.

What do you have to do to get your self banned? What line do you have to cross?

Can any one answer, because I really want to know.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 01:31:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:but this is so blatant favouritism that I couldn't resist trying to draw out this thread as long as possible.

Not in any way, shape, or form. For it to be favoritism she/them would have had to ban others or delete stuff from others while not doing the same to Aircraftkiller when he did something similar, and that has not happened.

Quote:What do you have to do to get your self banned? What line do you have to cross?
Attacking the forum, flooding (new accounts, posts, etc.), bypassing security features and trying to do stuff that's not intended to be done (one was banned recently for including some html in his post that changed the background image of the forum for that thread), etc.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [glyde51](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 01:43:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rofl, why not just change the background back? not like it killed anyone.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 01:54:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir PhoenixxQuote:but this is so blatant favouritism that I couldn't resist trying to draw out this thread as long as possible.

Not in any way, shape, or form. For it to be favoritism she/them would have had to ban others or delete stuff from others while not doing the same to Aircraftkiller when he did something similar, and that has not happened.

Quote:What do you have to do to get your self banned? What line do you have to cross?

Attacking the forum, flooding (new accounts, posts, etc.), bypassing security features and trying to do stuff that's not intended to be done (one was banned recently for including some html in his post that changed the background image of the forum for that thread), etc.
Does that mean I can come here, try to piss people off and be a menace?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 02:22:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Renegade Alert sucks.

(Post Count +1)

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 02:29:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ACK sucks

(Post Count +1)

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Creed3020](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 03:31:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j4S[p]Yes it does.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [glyde51](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 03:33:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Plain out and simple, Aircraftkiller disturbs more people at this forum than me, Deadfive, or anyone.

He disrupts mods on the Renegade engine, giving off insults at a hundred miles an hour.

He doesn't seem to care much for the Renegade community.

Some of us have come to know him as a disturbance.

End.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 03:48:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just can't stop finding amusement in how many people take this so seriously and continue to post about me, even after they supposedly ignored me. My god you people are stupid. Not only are you unable to ignore someone, you can't even make coherent arguments on why I should be banned.

"HE SAYS THE TINGS I DONT LIKE!! BAN BAN BAN!!1"

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 03:53:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[list]

Constant Attacks on Reborn

Pictures of Bear skins

Thread redirection

4988 post of non-helpful comments

Saying you are not ACK

[/list:u]

The reasons i can think* of at the moment.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 04:04:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Reborn sucks.

Thread hijacked, please continue talking about how Reborn sucks.

4,989 posts of non-helpful comments.

Who is this ACK you speak of?

Remember, it's think, not thing.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 04:17:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir PhoenixxQuote:but this is so blatant favouritism that I couldn't resist trying to draw out this thread as long as possible.

Not in any way, shape, or form. For it to be favoritism she/them would have had to ban others or delete stuff from others while not doing the same to Aircraftkiller when he did something similar, and that has not happened.

Quote:What do you have to do to get your self banned? What line do you have to cross? Attacking the forum, flooding (new accounts, posts, etc.), bypassing security features and trying to do stuff that's not intended to be done (one was banned recently for including some html in his post that changed the background image of the forum for that thread), etc.

I'm glad someone is paying attention.

IRON FARTDoes that mean I can come here, try to piss people off and be a menace?

Haven't you done that before? Or am I confusing you with someone else.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 04:33:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well at the time I was being a menace....Just like ACK....And do you remember what you did? You banned me.

But was I being a menace just because I felt like pissing people off? Not even. I downloaded cheats off a website whose domain was linked to you. THAT is why I was being a menace - and I got punished for voicing it out here. Wether there was any truth to it or not, I guess I will never know, but I apologized since for being a menace the way I was.

HOWEVER if you can't plainly see (or refuse to) that ACK is coming here only to be disruptive and be a menace, then that is favouritism because you refuse to do anything about it.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 04:34:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Or maybe it's because once upon a time he WAS helpful and created more maps than anyone, and the most popular maps still played today?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [A®](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 04:39:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Than*

Most popular maps played today? Maybe that's because he always shot down anything anyone else tried to do and told people their maps were crap. Who knows what some of those modders could have come up with had they not given up after the harrassment ack gave them. As for the most popular maps played today, of course the factory maps are the most popular played today. I'm afraid we'll never get any modded maps to trump them due to ack having been the only one to keep producing maps for the reasons stated above.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 04:45:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonOr maybe it's because once upon a time he WAS helpful and created more maps than anyone, and the most popular maps still played today?

Once upon a time people admired Micheal Jackson.

Once upon a time before 1941, there were several million more jews.

Once upon a time Lucas was a good film maker.

Once upon a time "Hip-Hop" was (for the best) looked down upon.

Just because something used to be good, doesnt mean it should be allowed to happen/change.

EDIT: and no i dont mean MJ should be admired.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 05:03:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's a horrible analogy... I don't think the way ACK acts is anything close to any of those.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 05:09:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonThat's a horrible analogy... I don't think the way ACK acts is anything close to any of those.

.....that was not the point.

The point was that things change. Better or worse.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 05:10:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, whatever your point is, you still fail to convince me.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 05:39:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i couldnt get away with the crap he pulls

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 05:58:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jealousy?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 06:39:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And Reborn is still sucking away....

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 13:12:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON FARTHOWEVER if you can't plainly see (or refuse to) that ACK is coming here only to be disruptive and be a menace, then that is favouritism because you refuse to do anything about it. Nope, still not favoritism. Just because she's not doing anything to him, doesn't make it favoritism. Until she bans someone for being annoying, or acting similarly while Aircraftkiller is still acting the same and isn't banned, it isn't favoritism no matter how you try to spin it.

Since you're having trouble with the word, I'll explain it for you...

Favoritism: Person A, and Person B are both acting like asses, trying to start arguments, etc., but

only Person B is banned because Person A is a friend of the Admin.

Not Favoritism: Person A is acting like an ass, trying to start arguments, etc., but he isn't banned.

Since there isn't another person doing the same thing that got banned, while Aircraftkiller didn't get banned, it can't be favoritism.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 15:07:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm sure IRON FART (Person B) cited a time when he was acting like ACF (Person A) and was banned by Crimsome (Admin)...wow that fitted your explanation nicely

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 16:37:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't remember banning him. I thought he was banned once on Westwood's forums..

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 16:51:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Guys, unless you haven't figured it out, it's pointless doing this.

Annoying as you may find him, he doesn't break any rules, and won't be banned.

As for accusations of favouratism... Give me a break.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 16:51:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Toolstyle! I'm sure IRON FART (Person B) cited a time when he was acting like ACF (Person A) and was banned by Crimsome (Admin)...wow that fitted your explanation nicely
Not even close. He said he was banned for downloading cheats and accusing her of hosting them or something like that, not for acting like Aircraftkiller. Also...

Quote: I don't remember banning him. I thought he was banned once on Westwood's forums..

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 16:53:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree with Dave. This thread is completely pointless. Even if I agreed with banning him, this thread would STILL be pointless. Whether or not he should be banned, the fact is, he isn't going to be. Talking about this anymore just shows that you guys can't drop a subject.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 17:21:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir PhoenixxToolstyleI'm sure IRON FART (Person B) cited a time when he was acting like ACF (Person A) and was banned by Crimsome (Admin)...wow that fitted your explanation nicely Not even close. He said he was banned for downloading cheats and accusing her of hosting them or something like that, not for acting like Aircraftkiller. Also...

He was acting like ACF ie he was being a "menace"...

CrimsonI don't remember banning him. I thought he was banned once on Westwood's forums..

Ok I didn't know it was you specifically who banned him, I was just assuming that because he was having a go at you for it, I apologise. Also I'm only playing devils advocate here like I've said I don't actually want ACF banned:D

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 17:28:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir PhoenixFavoritism: Person A, and Person B are both acting like asses, trying to start arguments, etc., but only Person B is banned because Person A is a friend of the Admin.

Not Favoritism: Person A is acting like an ass, trying to start arguments, etc., but he isn't banned.

Phoenix your definition sucks as much as ACK does

dictionary Favoritism:

1. A display of partiality toward a favored person or group.
 2. The state of being held in special favor.
-

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 17:48:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Phoenix your definition sucks as much as ACK does
That's weird, because according to the dictionary, my explanation of it is completely correct.

Dictionary.comfa-vor-it-ism
n.

1. A display of partiality toward a favored person or group.
2. The state of being held in special favor.

Dictionary.compartiality
n

1: a predisposition to like something; "he had a fondness for whiskey" [syn: fondness, fancy] 2: an inclination to favor one group or view or opinion over alternatives

Dictionary.comfavored

adj 1: preferred above all others and treated with partiality; "the favored child"

Favoritism is to show preference of someone over someone else. Like I said, for it to be favoritism, she would have to ban others for doing what Aircraftkiller is doing while not banning him.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [bigejoe14](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 18:13:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I swear, you people are so fucking stupid. Sir Phoenixx has stated the definition of favoritism at least 5 different times, yet you still keep crying, and crying, and crying about how it's favoritism that's going on. Even though it's brutally obvious that it's not, you strive harder to get Aricraftkiller banned instead of actually trying to see past your blind rage that it's not favoritism.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 18:16:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bigejoe14I swear, you people are so fucking stupid. Sir Phoenixx has stated the definition of favoritism at least 5 different times, yet you still keep crying, and crying, and crying about how it's favoritism that's going on. Even though it's brutally obvious that it's not, you strive harder to get Aricraftkiller banned instead of actually trying to see past your blind rage that's it's not favoritism. I agree completely. I'll also quote myself.

j_ball430This thread is completely pointless. Even if I agreed with banning him, this thread would STILL be pointless. Whether or not he should be banned, the fact is, he isn't going to be. Talking

about this anymore just shows that you guy's can't drop a subject.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [The Mad Hatter](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 18:35:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Renegade Alert sucks.

But it doesn't

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 19:13:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so.....can we kill ACK now? cuz he sucks.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Jaspah](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 19:23:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A picture is worth approx. 56 words.

Btw, page 10.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [icedog90](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 19:47:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430bigejoe14I swear, you people are so fucking stupid. Sir Phoenixx has stated the definition of favoritism at least 5 different times, yet you still keep crying, and crying, and crying about how it's favoritism that's going on. Even though it's brutally obvious that it's not, you strive harder to get Aricraftkiller banned instead of actually trying to see past your blind rage that's it's not favoritism.

I agree completely. I'll also quote myself.

j_ball430This thread is completely pointless. Even if I agreed with banning him, this thread would STILL be pointless. Whether or not he should be banned, the fact is, he isn't going to be. Talking about this anymore just shows that you guy's can't drop a subject.

This means that I also agree.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 19:52:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Im not as pissed as i was before when this was started. There was a point when he was so avid that it started these threads. He pulled back on it now, so it doesnt seem relevant anymore.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [The Mad Hatter](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 21:45:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j4s[p] how come RenAlert doesn't suck?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [WinterFresh](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 22:39:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just out of curiosity, which map is the best one that Ack has made so far and can I see pics? Is the one way back that had the volcano in the middle? Or the snow covered one? I can't remember most of them, but Ack did make hella lot of maps.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [rm5248](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 23:29:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ToolstyleQuote:You agree not to post any abusive, obscene, vulgar, slanderous, hateful, threatening, sexually-oriented or any other material that may violate any applicable laws. Doing so may lead to you being immediately and permanently banned (and your service provider being informed). The IP address of all posts is recorded to aid in enforcing these conditions.

True the rules don't say anything about annoying, however I've highlighted the rules he has broken...

This is one post that has made a lot of sense...

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Tue, 03 May 2005 23:47:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sir PhoenixxToolstyleI'm sure IRON FART (Person B) cited a time when he was acting like ACF (Person A) and was banned by Crimsome (Admin)...wow that fitted your explanation nicely

Not even close. He said he was banned for downloading cheats and accusing her of hosting them or something like that, not for acting like Aircraftkiller. Also...

Quote:I don't remember banning him. I thought he was banned once on Westwood's forums.. First of all... If you do a domain whois on a website where cheats are available and find Crimson's information, what would you think? By the way, at this point when this happened, I didn't know ANYTHING about Crimson except that she hosted n00bstories.com. I was completely unbiased then. I didn't even know she was an Admin here. I got banned from HERE about 2-3 weeks after my join date below. I never registered for the Renegade Public Forums when Westwood was running it.

Sure, that was about 2 years ago, and yes I was a little less mature. I probably shouldn't have done things the way I did (and I apologized since for being so abrasive), but the point is that I was being an asshole and I got banned - but when ACK is being an asshole, he doesn't get banned.

In my eyes this is favouritism.

(Yes, Sir Pheonixx, go ahead and redefine favouritism for me a seventeenth time and see if it makes a difference in my opinion at all)

I'd like to see ACK get banned. That would really be fun to see. If you aren't willing to do that because you are best of buddies, then it's your loss. YOUR forums are being degraded because of your "friend." (The ignore feature isn't going to help that.) It isn't going to make any difference to me - I expect to leave soon, but if you do want to make a change and keep everyone happy, why not just tell him to knock it off and stop coming here to be an ass?

And Crimson - I know it isn't really my place to talk to you like this. I know other forums where I would have been banned long ago for talking to a Mod like this. So sorry for that and being rude.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 00:05:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430Talking about this anymore just shows that you guy's can't drop a subject.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 00:18:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430j_ball430Talking about this anymore just shows that you guy's can't drop a subject. It doesn't show anything. I can drop the subject at any time. But do I want to?

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 00:21:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MASTERLOCK

MASTERLOCK

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 00:24:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MASTERFAGGOTRY

MASTERFAGGOTRY

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [flyingfox](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 00:31:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

no u

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 02:00:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON FARTIn my eyes this is favouritism.
(Yes, Sir Pheonixx, go ahead and redefine favouritism for me a seventeenth time and see if it makes a difference in my opinion at all)
I haven't "redefined" anything. It doesn't matter what your opinion on it is, the fact is it's not favoritism, period.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 02:36:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DEFINITION OF FAVOURITISMSince you're having trouble with the word, I'll explain it for you...

Favoritism: Person A, and Person B are both acting like asses, trying to start arguments, etc., but only Person B is banned because Person A is a friend of the Admin.
DEFINITION OF FAVOURITISM Favoritism is to show preference of someone over someone else. Like I said, for it to be favoritism, she would have to ban others for doing what Aircraftkiller is doing while not banning him.
OK So I come here as someone who has no affiliation with Crimson, and start being an asshole

for:

- A. Finding a cheats website registered to Crimson
- B. Some other complexes
- C. Especially became a mega-asshole when people started being retards toward me.

...And no - I didn't accuse anyone of anything, but I did get abrasive, especially after I didn't get any sort of plausible response for a long time.

Bottom line is that Person B got banned for being an asshole while Person A (friend of Admin) was being (and continued to be) an asshole for longer and has had no consequences.

Allow me to simplify this for you.

Asshole A allowed to be an asshole. Asshole B banned for being an asshole.

Why isn't it favouritism?

So kindly shove this fact up your ass because it is my belief that it is your personal opinion.

(A smiley wouldn't do the trick)

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 02:54:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HydraAnd Reborn is still sucking away....

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 02:57:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON FARTWhy isn't it favouritism?

YouSure, that was about 2 years ago

1. Aircraftkiller wasn't acting like this on these forums when you were banned.
2. You were acting like an ass to the admin, there's a huge difference between being an ass to normal members and doing it to the admin of the forum.
3. You're not banned now, when Aircraftkiller is acting like this.

Nope, still not favoritism.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [IRON FART](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 03:46:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

-
1. No. he wasn't - but he is now.

2. Wrong. I was an ass to many.

3. I'm not banned now because I apologized for being an ass then, and I'm not being anywhere near as much of an ass I was back then.

Still favouritism IMO.

Come on - Keep telling me how my opinions are wrong.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Homey](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 10:25:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How can an opinion be wrong? You both have points. Forums should have a consistant level of moderation over 2 years.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [sniper12345](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 11:59:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CrimsonOr maybe it's because once upon a time he WAS helpful and created more maps than anyone, and the most popular maps still played today?

Keyword being "once upon a time". We're not living in the past anymore, it's different now.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 13:14:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IRON FART2. Wrong. I was an ass to many.

First, I didn't say you were only an ass to the admin, secondly you said you were an ass to her, so how exactly is that wrong?

Quote:Come on - Keep telling me how my opinions are wrong.

I never said your opinions were wrong, I said they didn't matter on the facts once.

Quote:Forums should have a consistant level of moderation over 2 years.

It does. He said he was banned for being an ass to the admin, and so far Aircraftkiller hasn't and has only been acting like this to a few other members.

Quote:Still favouritism IMO.

When either you're banned for only being an ass to other members while he isn't banned, or until he's an ass to Crimson/etc. and not banned, it will be favoritism. Until then, it isn't.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Homey](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 13:50:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well I'll have to take your word on it.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Jecht](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 15:05:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ACK sucks

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 16:42:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think you guys are starting to get productive in this. I remember back in the day our "friend" was doing everything he could to make it look like I was some prolific cheat creator and distributor. Ah, memories.

As it stands, I have actually talked to ACK about his behavior and he has agreed to chill out and such.

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [kinghigh1](#) on Wed, 04 May 2005 19:07:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Forget noobstories ,the story ya'll got going on here is a nice story line for "RENEGADE 2" THE CRIMSON TIDE. just jkin ,don't ban me

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Sat, 07 May 2005 00:23:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I said I'd chill out if you were able to get these retards to understand that their overreaction to text on a screen is what keeps them coming back to get more upset.

This is fun - I go away to Mississippi for three days, come back and you people are *still* whining about me... But I'm the obsessed one!!

Subject: Should ACK be banned?
Posted by [A®](#) on Sat, 07 May 2005 00:39:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ack when is the Goldeneye mod coming out?
