
Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [dead6re](#) on Fri, 11 Mar 2005 10:56:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My friends got a website and he uses IE to view it, i told him to use some different but he wont listen.

Can you guys vist the site and say if the site looks okay and which browser you were using and the version.

<http://nofear-network.com/hosting/>

Results:
Internet Explorer - Works
Firefox/Mozilla - Works
Opera - Not yet tested

Any other broswers welcome.

Thanks,
Dead6re

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [WNxCABAL](#) on Fri, 11 Mar 2005 11:03:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Runs fine on Firefox

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [genetix](#) on Fri, 11 Mar 2005 12:47:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you shouldn't design a website according to how it looks in one browser. Its really important when you are trying to run a business to make everything the right way. I would suggest to tell him to fix the code up.

<http://validator.w3.org/check?uri=http%3A%2F%2Fnofear-network.com%2Fhosting%2F>

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [dead6re](#) on Fri, 11 Mar 2005 12:57:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He knows about the vaildator, he is going to fix that over the weekend. He desgined it in IE since he thinks everyone should use it.

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [WNxCABAL](#) on Fri, 11 Mar 2005 14:03:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Day by day more and more people are abandoning IE6 and moving to either Opera or Firefox

Exactly after 99 days of Firefox's release there were 25 million downloads.
Currently up to date there is nearly 27 Million.

But, I can tell you that Microsoft are currently developing IE7 which might be released before Windows Longhorn (2006). So Microsoft may once again dominate the market and steal other developers idea's...

But before releasing a website for the public you must have it tested on other browsers and fix all bugs as it will just deter vistors away.

Andy.

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [dead6re](#) on Fri, 11 Mar 2005 17:17:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thats why I made this topic. Most people will look, but some of you guys I bet will have looked around the pages.

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [Madtone](#) on Sun, 13 Mar 2005 00:35:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tis a shame it's a free design that you've edited...

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [DarkDemin](#) on Sun, 13 Mar 2005 05:44:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No wonder it looks so ugly.

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Sun, 13 Mar 2005 07:46:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yeah i have that original template on my template cd.

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [laeubi](#) on Sun, 13 Mar 2005 08:25:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just wondering:

The attribute given above is required for an element that you've used, but you have omitted it. For instance, in most HTML and XHTML document [...] the "alt" attribute is required for the "img" element.

Anyone can redirect me to a browser that don't display images when the ALT is missing?

I know its for Textonly browsers, or if you turn off images as an 'alternative description of the images' but in most cases (like for side menues, icons, viewing pleasure objects only) its complete useless...

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [genetix](#) on Sun, 13 Mar 2005 16:00:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LaeubiJust wondering:

The attribute given above is required for an element that you've used, but you have omitted it. For instance, in most HTML and XHTML document [...] the "alt" attribute is required for the "img" element.

Anyone can redirect me to a browser that don't display images when the ALT is missing?

I know its for Textonly browsers, or if you turn off images as an 'alternative description of the images' but in most cases (like for side menues, icons, viewing pleasure objects only) its complete useless...

image folder gets screwed up and your page doesn't look as messed up.
blind kids - the software will read off the alt tags.

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [MrBob](#) on Tue, 15 Mar 2005 01:36:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://validator.w3.org/>

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [z310](#) on Tue, 15 Mar 2005 02:15:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow, It's Bob. Hey Bob!

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [MrBob](#) on Wed, 16 Mar 2005 16:22:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

z310Wow, It's Bob. Hey Bob!

Hey z!

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [Jzinsky](#) on Wed, 16 Mar 2005 17:12:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

People still use text only browsers? You can still get them? You'll be telling me next that someone still uses a 28.8 modem...

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [Oblivion165](#) on Thu, 17 Mar 2005 15:33:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Check mine too:
<http://oblivion.darev.co.uk/web/>

The validator says two things are wrong with it, but i dont think they can be fixed.

Subject: OT: Website Compatability
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Thu, 17 Mar 2005 17:15:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DarkDeminNo wonder it looks so ugly.
Did you think that anything else could come from him?
