
Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 01:00:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Blair gives partial Iraq apology

<http://uk.news.yahoo.com/040928/325/f3hnh.html>

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 01:48:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The British population is comprised mostly of wusses.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 01:50:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, they must be French. :rolleyes:

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 01:50:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's a pretty big generalization you've got going there, Nodbugger, especially against one of our few real allies in Iraq.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 01:59:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiThat's a pretty big generalization you've got going there, Nodbugger, especially against one of our few real allies in Iraq.

It is not a generalization considering nothing was generalized. I said most. If I had said all you would be right.

The majority of British people are not our allies. Tony Blair and some of the British Government is.

I'd think the British would be one of the last people to reject this war.

It shows how the European mentality is slipping farther away from reality.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [Vitaminous](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 02:01:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Says the educatered one.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 14:24:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:The British population is comprised mostly of wusses.

How so? How are people wusses because they don't want to go to war? Though personally I think Tony Blair was right for going to war on the information he had, even if it was wrong, he didn't know that.

You may call us Brits "wusses", but most Americans are Retarded for several reasons:

- 1) The Californians elected the Terminator in a senator or whatever he is.
 - 2) You elected Bush a President.
 - 3) Of all the countries in the world the Americans are the only ones who repeatedly have friendly fire incidents; in fact they do it so often they have a proper name for it i.e. Collateral Damage. For example the British Tornado flying back to base was in the agreed safe zone, was giving out a the Jundi's had were a few Cold War Migs and it was still shot down.
-

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [Incognito](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 14:50:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ToolstyleYou may call us Brits "wusses", but most Americans are Retarded for several reasons:

- 1) The Californians elected the Terminator in a senator or whatever he is.
- 2) You elected Bush a President.

Ok, so if I were a Californian then I'd be retarded for voting Arnold and George into office? What's wrong if I actually agree with the Republican views? Maybe California thought he'd do a better job than the Democratic candidate? Maybe it's also possible for anybody to be in office in America because it's a free country?

Maybe you're retarded for saying that? No, not maybe, definately.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?

Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 15:33:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My point went completely over your head.

Nodbugger said most British ppl are wusses, for some pathetic reason, my point was that sort of generalisation can be used against the USA, I wasn't actually saying that American's are retards.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?

Posted by [Incognito](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 15:51:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ToolstyleYou may call us Brits "wusses", but most Americans are Retarded for several reasons: Yeah...I can see the generalization now :rolleyes:

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 20:04:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:3) Of all the countries in the world the Americans are the only ones who repeatedly have friendly fire incidents; in fact they do it so often they have a proper name for it i.e. Collateral Damage. For example the British Tornado flying back to base was in the agreed safe zone, was

plane as all the Jundi's had were a few Cold War Migs and it was still shot down.

Of course we can just forget the fact we have 1,000 times more people operating in he area. Then we can just forget that that Tornado (Which I think was retired a long time ago) had its friendly identity messds up somehow.

Then maybe you can have a point.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 21:13:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The only topic I'm going to reply on right now is the Patriot misslie: It's a load of garbage. First Gulf War, it didn't hit a single thing. It's just a big corporate money vacuum.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?

Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 21:24:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No the Tornado has not been retired, and it's friendly identity was not "messds up somehow" it

was perfectly operational, I've read the official UN and MoD (Ministry of Defence) report and both put the accident down to lack of training on the "Patriot" Missiles.

Yes you have thousand more men there than everyone but the Jundi's and they still managed not to kill each other (apart from suicide bombers and they weren't accidents). My friend who was in the British Tank Regiment got his Challenger Tank blasted by and American tank, luckily he survived (2 Broken lega, a broken arm and shrapnel in him everywhere including his face where it just missed his eye), it's easy to tell the difference between a British Challenger (one of the most deadly and advanced tanks in the world) and a Jundi T55 (a Russian Cold War tank)

Challenger

T55

So that pretty much makes my point valid.

PS the Patriot did hit something a British Tornado.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 23:26:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Patriot missiles saved my dads camp from scud missiles.

Any way. When you are shooting from 2 miles away and have no reported British activity in the area it is way harder. Besides how does he know it was an American tank? You would have heard about it.

And the patriot missiles need only a few people. That is is to reload them and turn them on and off. Otherwise they are automatic. the tornadoes friendly identifier was messed up. There were literally thousands of air craft flying around that night.

I believe the US retired our Tornado.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 23:48:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NodbuggerPatriot missiles saved my dads camp from scud missiles.

No, SCUD missiles saved your dad's camp from SCUD missiles. SCUDs are so horribly inaccurate that they're classified as terror weapons because you can't reliably hit anything with

them.

In the first Gulf War, patriot missiles didn't hit a single thing.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 29 Sep 2004 23:58:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiNodbuggerPatriot missiles saved my dads camp from scud missiles.

No, SCUD missiles saved your dad's camp from SCUD missiles. SCUDs are so horribly inaccurate that they're classified as terror weapons because you can't reliably hit anything with them.

In the first Gulf War, patriot missiles didn't hit a single thing.

One of the scuds landed about .5 miles from his camp. The others were all shot down by the patriots.

BTW, were the patriots ever fired in the Gulf War and do you have proof of that statistic?

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Thu, 30 Sep 2004 02:04:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is this about Blair's speech, or is it about patriot missiles?

Great way to knock this thread off-topic, toolstyle.

On-topic: What does Blair have to apologize for, saying Iraq had WMDs and using that as evidence to go to war? Bad move, Blair, bad move.

Subject: Admission that the War in Iraq was wrong?
Posted by [Toolstyle](#) on Thu, 30 Sep 2004 16:17:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually I think you'll find, that "Patriots" can be used in Auto or Semi-Auto i.e. humans verify the signal before the missiles are fired and the soldiers failed in their jobs and allowed the Patriot to fire.

The American's knew about the British tanks in the area because they were on this things called "joint-operations", the americans admitted that they fired on the Tank and we did hear about it, it was in the paper and on the news, I went to visit my friend as soon as I heard about it as well, and

he told me.

Anyway back on topic, Tony Blair has no reason to apologise, he went to war based on the information he had at the time, which afterwards proved to be inaccurate, but that isn't his fault, that's the fault of the British and US "Intelligence" agencies (again this is know as "joint operations")
