
Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [louis](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 18:27:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

maybe he doesn't want you to copy them because you fucking stole them you lying bastard?

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:01:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He wouldn't have stole them if BC weren't such assholes.

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [louis](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:02:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

does that justify me going around giving everyone your renalert shit because you're an asshole?

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:04:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, it doesn't justify it, but damn it feels good to get back at someone for acting like that.

Also, I don't give out our work to people we can't trust.

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [louis](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:08:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yeah, because we're such assholes, how dare vlokt not give away everything he makes! why on earth would we try and make our server unique and fun to play on? maybe we should have gave it away like former WS "employees" shared their WS assets! that's a great idea!

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:12:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That has nothing to do with what I said. I don't care if you keep that Dragonade stuff to yourselves, the issue was something else entirely.

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [louis](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:13:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so how is black-cell full of assholes, then, and what did vlokt do to deserve that?

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [Dante](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:18:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

...

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [louis](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:20:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"I really don't think Black Cell would appreciate this."
xptek posts about how he's cloning the very thing he stole, i post obviously not pleased, and all you do is delete my posts?

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [Dante](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:21:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

louis"I really don't think Black Cell would appreciate this."
xptek posts about how he's cloning the very thing he stole, i post obviously not pleased, and all you do is delete my posts?

i think it is an attempt to clean up the flamefest that started, so i wouldn't take it any more personally.

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [louis](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:22:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

yes, i understand that much, but if it's acknowledged that the thread is a problem why is it still open?

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [Dante](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:23:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dunno

Subject: More BC-based discussion

Posted by [Dan](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:23:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ITS TIME TO LAY THE SMACKDOWN!!!

Subject: More BC-based discussion

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 19:28:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If I made a Dragonade clone, I'd call it Koolade.

Subject: More BC-based discussion

Posted by [punkun21](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 21:18:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We were asked to take it to hot topics, so I think it is legal for it to be here. Like I said, vlokt doesn't have a problem with people writing their OWN scripts. Like any self-respecting person, he just has a problem with people being dishonest, deviously ripping off some ingeniously hard work, illegally distributing it, lying and saying they deleted it and don't have it anymore, making a "clone" (give me a break) If you have the ability to come up with your own donate scripts, spectate, teleport, all the things that BC had first and then everyone else wants because it is so good, I think vlokt wouldn't have a problem with you using your own brain, instead of just using his hard work to pass off as your own...

Subject: More BC-based discussion

Posted by [xptek](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 21:21:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Do you guys have to pop one of these up every month?

As AK said. Payback feels damn good.

Subject: More BC-based discussion

Posted by [punkun21](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 21:28:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, it's just when you have to poke your scheming face into a topic that has to do with BC related stuff, that will be the only time that you will find one of these discussions.

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [xptek](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 21:30:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, then there may be more to come.

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [Scrumfy](#) on Wed, 15 Sep 2004 05:20:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ScampDo you guys have to pop one of these up every month?

As AK said. Payback feels damn good.

Getting revenge or payback on us would require that we did something wrong to you in the first place, which we did not. Therefore, it's quite obvious that your actions were intended purely to appease at least one of the higher-ups around here and gain some sort of popularity on an internet forum. There was no lesson to be learned from it, except perhaps that people in general are less trustworthy than once thought...Especially you and those who seek to keep you here, despite the assertions of an ineffective and worthless treaty. There's no reason why we shouldn't oppose the plagiarism of a good friend's work, especially when it's being perpetuated by a person who has already stolen from him. So, in short, these topics will persist as long as you continue to add insult to injury, even though they rarely have any effect on the wonderful administration or brilliant members here.

Subject: More BC-based discussion
Posted by [xptek](#) on Wed, 15 Sep 2004 19:58:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Let me explain this again:

WD HAD 3 ICONS TO MIRC ON THE DESKTOP. IRC WAS AGAINST THE POLICY OF SM. HE COST ME A \$75 OS REINSTALL.

Also, who says I'm going to release the scripts I write?

And, I didn't steal anything. Maybe leaked. But sure as hell didn't steal.

Quote:steal Audio pronunciation of "steal" (P) Pronunciation Key (stl)
v. stole, (stl) sto-len, (stln) steal-ing, steals

v. tr.

1. To take (the property of another) without right or permission.
2. To get or effect surreptitiously or artfully: steal a kiss; stole the ball from an opponent.
3. To move, carry, or place surreptitiously.
4. To draw attention unexpectedly in (an entertainment), especially by being the outstanding performer: The magician's assistant stole the show with her comic antics.
5. Baseball. To advance safely to (another base) during the delivery of a pitch, without the aid of a base hit, walk, passed ball, or wild pitch.

Quote:leak Audio pronunciation of "leak" (P) Pronunciation Key (lk)

v. leaked, leak-ing, leaks

v. intr.

1. To permit the escape, entry, or passage of something through a breach or flaw: rusted pipes that were beginning to leak; a boat leaking at the seams.
2. To escape or pass through a breach or flaw: helium leaking slowly from the balloon.
3. Informal. To become publicly known through a breach of secrecy: The news has leaked.

v. tr.

1. To permit (a substance) to escape or pass through a breach or flaw: a damaged reactor leaking radioactivity into the atmosphere.
2. Informal. To disclose without authorization or official sanction: leaked classified information to a reporter

Subject: More BC-based discussion

Posted by [Scrumfy](#) on Wed, 15 Sep 2004 20:16:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ScampLet me explain this again:

WD HAD 3 ICONS TO MIRC ON THE DESKTOP. IRC WAS AGAINST THE POLICY OF SM. HE COST ME A \$75 OS REINSTALL.

Also, who says I'm going to release the scripts I write?

And, I didn't steal anything. Maybe leaked. But sure as hell didn't steal.

See, I'd be inclined to take that as a pathetic enough reason to do what you did, but it doesn't fit chronologically. By then, we (or perhaps just WD) had fallen into your deceitful trap...You were already on our IRC with your plan in motion, so your justification couldn't have been the cost of an OS reinstall AFTER you had the program which you leaked. So allow me to ask again, how was any of this payback?

Subject: More BC-based discussion

Posted by [xptek](#) on Wed, 15 Sep 2004 20:18:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I actually was over there for legit reasons at first. But after your idiot admins pulled that I sure as hell wasn't going to stick around.

Subject: More BC-based discussion

Posted by [xptek](#) on Mon, 27 Sep 2004 21:56:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Interesting theory... but nope.
