
Subject: Re: Operation: Blunderbuss
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 02 Jul 2004 14:34:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hydra1945Javaxcx and he likewise has the right to engage independent and competent counsel. All that means is the man has the right to a lawyer. It does not say that lawyer must be present at the trial, just that he can consult a lawyer if he decides.

Pot calling the kettle black? If you want pot calling the kettle black, Saddam Hussein is complaining of getting an unfair trial when you know not a single fair trial was conducted in Iraq during his entire reign.

That excerpt means that he has the right to engage in counsel with his lawyers. That would especially be valid when he's asked to sign anything. Furthermore, I don't question Saddam's guilt, but when he is told he will get a fair trial, (assumedly the exact same kind of fair trial as any felon) every aspect of that trial must be fair otherwise you have enough pot'n'kettle going around for all.

If they didn't want something like this to happen, they shouldn't have given him the opportunity to be PUT on trial.
