

---

Subject: Michael Moore Has Competition.

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Wed, 16 Jun 2004 17:18:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warrantohydra1945warrantoThe entire basis of the war-  
Saddam has WMD's yes or noNo.

Quote:I'm not against the war, what I'm against is the official reason for going to war. Regardless the point I was trying to make was the official reason, Saddam having WMD's, and the subsequent "look at these engines! We were right!" idiocy is redundant as it in no way proves they are WMD's.

Weapons of mass destruction were only a third of the official reasons; the other two thirds were the gross human rights violations he comitted and the support to terrorism he was giving. Just as much emphasis was placed on these two other reasons as was the failure to fully disclose his weapons of mass destruction programs.

Correct me if I'm worng, but the entire press about the war (at the beginning) was that Saddam had WMD's. Later when it was discovered that he didn't, was the reason for going officially changed to humanitarian reasons.

That is all you heard.

If you look at Bushes first speech about Iraq, wmd was on the list of many things. It has always been humanitarian reasons.

Oh, there is no proof saying there are not wmd in Iraq.

Iraq has a lot of places to hide things. Go to plot out an acre of land. Hide 10 ball point pens any where you want in that area. Then see how long it takes a friend to find them.

You can even take the pens apart and hide them in separate places. And since everyone knows half a missile doesn't count as a weapon, a pen cap won't count as a full pen.

That will give you a good idea on what we have to deal with.

---