

---

Subject: see that sick shit?

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Wed, 19 May 2004 23:56:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

EvoSnipe - Saddam was AT LEAST 8-10 years away from having nukes. Try and find something that proves that otherwise. And we would have caught him long before he could have ever had nuclear capability against the U.S.

Hm...when the UN dickwads won't do the job... Do you have the slightest bearing on what you are talking about? There was not a good reason for invading Iraq. We should have invaded Afghanistan and shut down the Taliban-run government there, but not Iraq. Especially not when we were boasting about 9/11 wwhen we were doing it. [Hint: Iraq had no connection with 9/11]

And Evo, stop comparing Germany to Iraq, Germany was an enormous manufacturing country in the 1930s-40s, whereas Iraq poses pretty much no threat at all to us. They'll never be landing tanks on our shores and invading the capital in war. Didn't we lose, like, 5 people when we steamrolled them in our first engagement against Iraq?

About the internet rumor: It's a friggin internet rumor, I know that, stop pretending like I fully believe in it.

---