
Subject: see that sick shit?

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Fri, 14 May 2004 05:49:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngiThis execution was quit despicable, but NOT unwarranted.

"Oh, it was a terrible act, but it is our fault." :rolleyes: You'd rather blame America before the terrorists? Who was it who cut the guy's head off? A terrorist. Was it an American? No. So how is it our fault? "Oh, we made them mad because we were abusing their soldiers!" That was 6 sick individuals who stripped a bunch of prisoners naked and piled them on top of each other. It was abuse, but what's happening to those soldiers right now? They're being court-martialed. See, the difference here is that we actually punish those who do crimes and commit atrocities. Al-Jazeera wouldn't dare report the court-martialing of those soldiers since that might give Americans a good name.

Quote:The Constitution defines the law of the land in a way that includes any treaties we sign. We signed the Geneva Convention. Therefore, we do not torture people. We're supposed to be seen as the guiding light country that leads the world in condemning torture and looking out for fellow humans.

At least we were like that before this Abu Gharib hellhole came to light.

Let's see, has this happened anywhere else thus far? Have there been any other reports of Iraqi prisoner abuse? No, there haven't? Thank you.

I think it's nice how you just degraded the American troops in Iraq. Really, calling them a group of murderous torturers was really nice of you. :rolleyes:

Quote:It should also be noted that sometime a while ago [Note: I'm just remembering this off the top of my head - I may not be perfectly precise, but the general gist is the same] Donald Rumsfeld and some other people from the Bush presidency were giving a press conference or something like that and got on the topic of terrorists. They said something like "We will deal with these terrorists in new ways to better secure our country", and then someone piped up "Will they be treated fairly under the Geneva Convention?" Then Rumsfeld says, "Well, the terrorists shouldn't expect treatment under the Geneva Convention."

Now, here, smart people go "Oh my god, we're going to be torturing people?" Well why don't you help us all remember when he said that because I sure as hell don't remember him ever saying the prisoners would not get protection under the Geneva Convention.

Quote:However, there really are no terrorists in Iraq, so this may be a little off to the side. Although it does deal with overlooking the Geneva Convention. Oh, that's right, they're not terrorists, they're freedom fighters. :rolleyes:

Quote:Besides, the Iraqis we were and are fighting are just like any other soldier: They're supporters of a side. Well, Hamas is a group of people who support a particular side. Does that make them soldiers too?

Quote:And Arabs see this, and then kill someone, and while they are indeed sick freaks, they are responding to atrocities committed by the US. *points to Warranto's last post* that summed it up

pretty well.

IRON-FART And it looks like that for every 9 of them you kill, they seem to kill about 18... What the hell are you talking about? Only about 700 American soldiers were killed! That was barely a statistic in the other major wars we've been in!! For every one soldier they kill, we kill about 100 more militants, and for every one successful bombing/attack they make on American forces, about 14 are prevented.

Pull your head out of your ass.
