
Subject: Good site for those of you against mindless slaughter

Posted by [sg]theOne on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 10:09:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Duke of Nukes in your eyes. remember...opinion is not fact. I just lack motivation to fight you anymore because you have been brainwashed to follow the military unconditionally...and it's really pointless arguing that there are alternatives to war with a bunch of war mongers

Wow, you start off with "[...]in your eyes. remember...opinion is not fact" and flow right into a string of opinions for the *rest* of the post. Bravo, good showing ! If there was a n00b stories of argument constructs this screen shot would be there.

Why Iraq & not everyone else ? Because everyone else (save Yasa Arrafat(sp?)) still has diplomatic options left. Other people haven't been lying about the same thing under UN resolution for over a decade. We haven't been doing *the same* song and dance with other countries like we have Iraq & like the Israeli's have been doing with Yasa A.

How about this, why NOT Iraq ? We've gone to other countries for reasons less than Weps of Mass Dest. But somehow now, Saddam has them & we know this... When you have so many units of Chemical X Y or Z (detailed in Iraq's weapons claims documents post Desert Storm) then can't supply them or prove their destruction, we know you have them. When we find *new* devices that could be used to deliver biological weapons, we know you have them. When the *world news* reports that defecting Iraqi troops 'say' Saddam has ordered their use under conditions X Y & Z, we know you have them. So like I questioned previously, how long does disarmament of Saddam take ? Twent four years ? Is this like a bottomless bank account of time ? Could he pass this down to his sons ? When do you say STOP, the time is now. And if you do say STOP how do you enforce it ?

The UN already said do X Y & Z and DO NOT do 1 2 or 3 or you will be in violation which gives us the authority to come CRUSH YOUR ASS(WorldCommunity VS You). Even better *another* resolution was passed more recently that told of 'serious consequences' if he did not comply. What consequences are that ? The uncomfortable 'company' of Hanz & the goof troops ?

[UN 'weapons inspectors' have been seen on TV handling 'stuff' with no gloves or mask, sniffing 'it' and other unacceptable practices. Not to mention Hanz.'s little speach failed to mention the 'drone'.]

If the U.N. wont enforce their resolutions, the willing shall.

If anyone would care to address any of these points I'd be pleased cause I enjoy this kinda convo.

The One
