

---

Subject: eggmac the pacifist

Posted by [Ren Sizzlefab](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2003 22:53:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Aircraftkiller

Don't even start with this bullshit. Many more people would have died if we had invaded them and fought in the streets of their cities, house to house, building to building.

You can't argue with that. You know how the Japanese are when it comes to war, if you've studied history at all concerning Asia.

Atomic bombs were the best way to end the war, intimidate the Soviet Union, and assure the deterrent factor of the nuclear weapon age.

I don't care how brave he is. He's still wrong.

Yes many people would have died in conventional fighting, and a demonstration of the power of an atomic bomb was necessary to end the war, but the use of it on civilians was barbaric. You can't tell me that if a huge chunk of uninhabited landscape was wiped out by a bomb, and a threat was made to use it on an actual target, that it wouldn't have a similar deterring effect?

And as people have said before, just because their opinion differs from yours, it doesn't mean they're wrong.

---