

---

Subject: Scary Stuff

Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Tue, 23 Mar 2004 12:50:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JavaxcxObtaining of any of that so-called "savior oil" won't do much good other than prolong the inevitable. Just watch and see: People will BEGIN to realize there is an issue, then when another source of oil is found to sustain them for 10 more years, they won't go apeshit over trying to find alternatives until the last minute... again.

You're right about that, I'm just saying that there's still quite some fossil fuels for the next while. I completely agree that renewable energy sources should be looked into and developed (further).

JavaxcxRead the article again: He says that coal gives off way too much pollution to be used on a grander world-wide scale. If coal could be refined NOT to give off as much pollution, well, who knows.

Coal-based powerplants are, just like solar energy, slowly being made more efficient. Coal still pollutes a heck of a lot, but I think the world has no other choice than to use coal energy on a large scale when oil is no more, because renewable energy can't possibly comply with the world's growing energy demands anytime soon. Nuclear energy might be a solution, but many people (myself somewhat included) have reservations about that.

Nuclear fission, however, could be the energy source of the future, as it produces less nuclear waste than regular nuclear powerplants (nuclear splitting, or what's it called). Scientists have already succeeded in a 0% output, which is more than a negative value (meaning process doesn't actually cost power anymore, now input and output are the same). If they could succeed in actually getting power out of it, then it could prove very useful.

---