Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Tue, 09 Mar 2004 01:00:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

First off, sorry about the abscence, but my cable got knocked out by a storm after dinner so I couldn't post another reply.

Now, lets look at some Bush lies:

http://www.house.gov/appropriations\_democrats/caughtonfilm.htm

- - - -

On Medicare: Most every Republican says that Medicare is a success, even people like Mr. Sapphire.

haremanAre you guys federally subsidized to use the quote button?

Yes.

CrimsonAnd why would Saddam buy food with American money. Surely Iraqi food is bought with Iraqi money.

I was using food as a generic term. Who knows what he was doing with that money. I'm not in to the psychology of people. But here comes everyone "Terrorist Terrorist!"

Why privatizing Social Security is bad:

Well, first, with all those retirement investments going in to the stock market, that's a load of trades, right? The Bush administration will pick someone like Payne Weber to do those trades, instantly making several people multi-billionaires. So, these people will get everyone to invest their money in McDonalds or something, inflating the stock's price far higher than it's worth. Then, over the weekend, the stock comes crashing down, and a couple people take everyone's retirement.

hydra1945Tell me what good Clinton is responsible for. Not what good happened during his term, but what he is directly responsible for. You say the economy grew because of him. What economic policy did Clinton implement and how did that make the economy grow?

You want me to name what Clinton did? Do you know what you're talking about? You think these posts right now are big? You can just keep right on coming with your random stuff about how the spontaneous 23 million jobs created under Clinton were the product of Reagan's tax cuts or whatever. Or how, out of the blue, while Clinton was in office, it was obvious that the Republicans were causing violent crime to drop vastly instead of the president.

hydra1945why did the economy go into recession five months after his term ended?

Because Bush is bad. Duh.

hydra1945Keeping SS with the government keeps the budget deficit high.

So does spending more money on the military than the entire rest of the world combined.

hydra1945Which means Social Security is really just an income redistribution method used by the government to plunder from the successful and give to the lazy.

So elderly people are naturally lazy because they have reached their retirement age and put money into social security the whole time?

hydra1945You're mad about a \$50 billion war, which is necessary for our country's self-defense, when over \$400 billion will be spent on medicare, which is just an elaborate and expensive vote-buying scheme to buy the votes of geriatrics, over the next few years?

Again, even Republicans like Mr. Sapphire think medicare is one of the most succesful programs in the U.S. Besides, unlike wars, the purpose of medicare is not killing people. It's saving people.

hydra1945Too bad it's not running well.

And you have a solution? Besides give me my money and go away?

hydra1945\$750 billion would be all that is needed if the government was reduced to its constitutionally mandated size. As of now, the government is exponentially larger than what our forefathers had previously planned for the size to actually be. Granted, some services require more money now than was required when the Constitution was written (we need to spend billions of dollars on our kick-ass military to make sure it stays the best in the world), but programs like welfare and medicare did not even cross the minds of our forefathers.

You mean hundreds of billions of dollars to support our military.

So because about 300 years ago the founding fathers didn't think of medicare and welfare means it's a crappy program now? What in the world are you talking about?

hydra1945Tax cuts=larger economy.

You wish. That's called supply-side economics. Created by rich people so they can have more money. The standard comeback for supply-side economics is that during our country when the rich have been taxed less, the economy has been at it's best. What was the marginal tax rate for the top 1% during the Eisenhour administration? 88%.

hydra1945This may be true in your own little world, but in reality, rich people buy the most stuff with money because they actually have money.

Ehrm...if you mean by buying things you mean putting it in the bank....

--

Here's a little something I have to say about welfare:

There's a homeless guy out on the street. he needs a cheeseburger or he's going to die. Pretty much everyone in this situation would buy the man a cheeseburger. But then we get to whether the government should provide that cheeseburger.

## Here's another:

You're on a bridge, and someone below you is drowning. You happen to be carrying a rope with you. Do you throw the rope down to him, or not throw the rope because it would get your nice rope all wet?

Before Reagan, there used to be warm places homeless people could go to on a particular cold night. Then Reagan came, and stopped the funding. Months later, he was proud to say that there were far fewer homeless on the streets than there used to be because they had toughed up and got a job. What had actually happened? They died. Also, when my dad used to commute in New York, he passed through Penn station, an open place where people can come and go as they pleased. Before Reagan, there were a few beggers down there. But during his administration, you'd literally be stepping over these people who weren't even bothering to beg, they were just down there to be in a warm place. Also, Reagan cut funding to crazy houses in New York, so all these people who needed medical treatment were suddenly let loose in the streets. It saved New York a load of money, but greatly increased the number of homeless. Until they died, of course.

--

hydra1945Clinton is guilty as sin and you know it.

## Wrong.

hydra1945Just how desperate he was during the Lewinsky investigation should be enough to get anyone to believe he was guilty! He tried to explain the meaning of the word "is" for cryin' out loud!!! How can you defend a man who gets desperate enough to debate the meaning of the word "is?"

Ok, let's talk about desperate. How about the Bush administration being desperate to prove that he wasn't AWOL so far that they are releasing dental records. Dental records normally just get released when people die. They released dental records for cryin' out loud!!! How can you defend a man desperate enough to release dental records?

hydra1945and the other "lie" is only a personal conviction you have with no evidence to prove those lies to be lies, which really isn't a lie at all, then I would have to say the first lie is worse simply because the second "lie" is not a lie at all.

Cruise around http://www.bushwatch.com and see what you find. And don't give me crap about it being biased. Nowadays, anything that is anti-bush is biased.

hydra1945The "something" that the "right" distorted was the claim that he invented the internet.

So you just said Al Gore was dumb for making that claim.

You really missed out on what i said. First off, I was being sarcastic. I as pointing out how Republicans used a fairly legitimate claim and distorted it to make Gore look bad.

hydra1945lt never occured to you that a terrorist group was planning to meet Saddam to pick up the cash while he was in hiding?

Where'd you pull that one from? Out of your ass?

hydra1945It also means that a single study doesn't necessarily mean anything until more and more studies and experiments are conducted to support the findings of that study.

Yeah, all science is crap. The only thing we can have faith in is UFOs and government cover-ups.

hydra1945So the national debt is \$7 trillion now? I'd like to see where you pulled that statistic from.

You don't know much, do you?

NOTE: I am editing this post several times because the quotes aren't working right.