

---

Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Thu, 04 Mar 2004 01:58:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Retard Man IQ-Of-1's posts are just completely stupid, so I'll respond to something a bit more intelligent...

SuperFlyingEngiCrimson: If giving money back to people and companies is good for everyone, then why has Bush lost almost 3 million net jobs this far in his term?

Bush didn't lose those jobs. The economy went into recession 5 months after Bush was elected president, which means the economic policy of Bill Clinton sent the country into recession. When the economy goes into recession, businesses lose money and must fire employees in order to stay in business (or risk bankruptcy and have every single worker lose his job; sometimes people must be fired to save the jobs of others; that's called business).

Bush didn't lose those jobs; Clinton did.

Bush created thousands of jobs; Clinton didn't.

SuperFlyingEngiCrimsonlazy welfare mothers

Not everyone on welfare is lazy. Maybe these people have a baby or two and have to work two jobs to support their family, but they get fired because of poor education in which case they are classified as an able-bodied person who refuses to work. Yea, that's pretty lazy.

Why start a family you can't support if you don't have a good education to get a well-paying job?

Oh, and you wouldn't be fired for having a poor education; you'd be fired for fucking up on the job or not doing well at all; you wouldn't be hired in the first place if you have a crappy education.

SuperFlyingEngiCrimsonThe difference being that Bush is cutting my taxes

And simultaneously raising the deficit, so in 30-50 years, you will be paying all of this money back. There's a reason the government taxes people, and it's not so politicians can all have a fancier car than you.

Tax cuts do not raise the deficit. You know what does? Excess government spending on failed social programs like welfare, social security, and medicare. Each of those programs will cost exponentially more over the next decade than the tax cuts could ever cost, since the most money from the federal government is poured into each of those programs. If you want to see the deficit shrink a thousandfold, then cut the spending of each of those programs in half.

SuperFlyingEngiCrimsonwhile the Democrats want to take more of my money away.

As in how? You mean like Whitewater, the 40-million dollar investigation that came out of taxpayer's pockets, never yielded anything, and was basically a Republican campaign ad?

No, as in how they want to raise tax rates to outrageous numbers.

CrimsonObviously since you two are 15, you really don't know anything.

Does this apply to sixteen-year-olds?

---