
Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Tue, 02 Mar 2004 10:06:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Llama Man 451hee hee hee ya know whats funny? crimson you were saying you wre having to pay back your tax cuts because of democrats.

No, I said that if a Democrat got into the White House in this next election, I would no longer have the tax cuts.

Quote:1. since gore is competant unlike our current head honcho he wouldnt have gone to war with iraq and hewould have done something about 9-11 (they had a call on 9-10 informing them that there was going to be terrorist activity!!!)

Yeah, you're going to have to prove that.

Quote:2. we wouldnt be in the horrible deficit we are facing now!!!! hee hee hee

Uh, you're insane...

Obviously since you two are 15, you really don't know anything. All you know is suckling your mommy and daddy's teats. Until you support yourself, you really know nothing. If you're not a Democrat when you're 18, you have no heart. If you aren't a Republican when you're 40, you have no brain. Until you are making more than \$40,000 per year you have absolutely no frame of reference.

If some welfare mom gets money for free, there is NO incentive to get back to work. If you get enough money to support yourself without working, why would you work? You still haven't said why I have to support her. Even if some people, again the minority, use the program to get back on their feet, that still doesn't explain why I, a hard-working middle-class income earner, have to support her. Back in "the day", this mom would appeal to her church for aid, and they were able to provide with almost 0% overhead. The government supplies these programs with over 70% overhead. Once again, it's not about taking more money, it's about spending the money they have more efficiently.

Quote:Well, yeah, of course they're going to repeal Bush's short-sighted tax cuts. Tax cuts that are putting this country in big debt. Tax cuts that are so bad that the money we don't get from them any more is included in Bush's 2004 budget. Why? Because Bush's budget doesn't look so hot unless he's getting that money. And yet you blindly stand behind him because the more money you get, the better the government is, right? Not so, especially not when we're having an expensive war in Iraq right now [Note: Bush didn't include the cost of being in Iraq in his 2004 budget, either. Huh...]

Tax cuts are not what put this country in debt.

<http://www.federalbudget.com/>

Reference the above link for a non-partisan look at the budget. Also note the following items:

"The deficit for FY03 was a lot less than expected. Tax revenues were up. President Bush got a tax rate cut and the revenue went up. So it wasn't a tax cut, it was a tax rate cut! and it worked perfectly."

<http://www.publicdebt.treas.gov/opd/opdfaq.htm>

The link above is also government-hosted FAQ's about the difference between debt and deficit. Remember not to confuse the two. They are VERY VERY different.

If you make \$40,000 in a year, and you project to spend \$50,000, then your budget has a \$10,000 deficit. If you borrow \$12,000 from a bank, you now have a \$12,000 debt.

Throughout the year, you make payments on your debt and reduce your principal to \$11,000.

Next year, you get a raise. You now make \$45,000 per year. But through inflation, etc. your monthly requirement is now \$57,000. For that year, you have a \$12,000 deficit in your budget. You again borrow \$14,000. You are now in debt \$25,000.

Of course a person would rarely be trusted with this type of money, but treasury bills are backed by the full faith and credit of the US Government.

The National Debt has risen every year since 1957.

<http://www.federalbudget.com/whopays.html>

Here's another fantastic article about who's really paying the taxes in this country. **BACKED BY FACTS**. Completely derived from publically-available figures.

You can sit and argue individual expenditures until you're blue in the face, but if you do a bit of digging into history you'll find that there have been debatable expenditures all the time, every day, for decades. Putting your Democrat into office isn't going to fix that.
