

---

Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by [exnyte](#) on Sun, 15 Feb 2004 06:13:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SuperFlyingEngiActually, I don't recall ever saying this. Did you read the first three pages where I belted out facts and numbers? That's not blather, it's arguing.

You're right. You weren't belting out facts and numbers. What I was saying was that all you were saying was that no one else had done any research, gotten any facts, or given you any numbers. Repeatedly. That was your defense for every statement anyone made... Get it now?

SuperFlyingEngiYes, I do....you're an idiot. All those things you said were just stupid, and you didn't post my later replies to them, which would make it look like I didn't know what I was talking about, when in fact I did.

Way to resort to name-calling. When being involved in an "adult" conversation we don't have to do this. I quoted those posts because what you accused me of was just copying what everyone else said. These posts are clearly the first to mention any of the content in those posts, making your original accusation of my just copying everyone else untrue. I didn't need to post your replies for two reasons, 1) Your replies didn't have anything to do with the reason I was posting them, as explained above. Making the idea that I posted them in a way to make you look like you didn't know what you're talking about irrelevant. 2) If anyone wanted to see those replies all they had to do was look.

SuperFlyingEngiSeems like someone hasn't bothered reading what I post except what others post about it. I already said why I was defending llama man and pimp boy joe, because innocent until proven guilty. Everyone attacking llama man and pimp boy joe only had a same IP and opinions, which was pretty silly in my eyes.

The thing that you don't get is that one of the people who run this forum called him out on this. They have been doing this for quite some time, so credibility would be on his side. The very first post he stated that both had the same IP address, which most likely implies that these multiple users are the same person. Immediately after that, I pointed out that the two users had the same attitude and sentence structure. Since both users posted with the same attitude, sentence structure, and foul language, naturally people are going to say that this person is the same person. You've been around Renegade for some time I'm sure, at least long enough to see the cheating epidemic. When "PBJ" started claiming he was Llama's intern, like when many cheaters

not that no one read your posts, it's just that the evidence was already there.

SuperFlyingEngiPerhaps, but highly unlikely. I doubt any of them work at the psychic friends hotline or personally know pimp boy joe or llama man.

As I (and Crimson) mentioned previously, they have been running forums much like this for over the past 2 years. In that time they surely see trends in how users who do such a thing act. If anyone can spot someone doing this without actually be standing behind them while they are doing it would be Blazer and Crimson.

SuperFlyingEngiAlright, lets say some cops arrest someone and take him to court. In the court he is charged with murder because both the police officers recall seeing him in the same city on the same day the murder was committed. You think that would ever fly in courts? Hell no. If the opposing argument isn't strong, you don't need anything to back you besides that.

You're right. That wouldn't fly in court. The difference is this isn't court, and you don't have the right to be innocent until proven guilty. In this domain it's what the administrator says, goes. Again the credibility thing comes up again. Since they have been doing this for some time, when they say two users are more than likely the same person, everyone else tends to believe them. This would also go along with why it's been said you like to argue, since you were going against what was already laid out in front of you.

SuperFlyingEngiCongratulations, you must have not read the thread.

Congratulations, you must have missed my point. As I said earlier, the whole point of my post was to show you that I haven't just reiterated what others have said. Apparently since you seem to think that you're the only person who has original ideas around here, I don't see why I should be surprised you responded the way you did.

---