

---

Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by [Nukelt15](#) on Sat, 14 Feb 2004 20:04:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Wow, Llama, think you could type an intelligent response without swearing every single sentence?

Quote: we you say that france and russia supplied weapons, guess who else did. WE DID. ummm duh!

Reality check to Llama, which decade are you referring to? It is unfortunately true, the US did, at one point, back Saddam, but that was not our current administration (read that: not Bush), and it happened before the first Gulf War- and is therefore not contradictory to UN weapon restrictions, which were put in place after that! France and Russia, on the other hand, have sold Saddam weapons between 1991 and 2003, which IS in violation of weapon restrictions (and under their current administrations, too).

Quote:also, you think we arent after oil, several interviews with Iraqui citizens say that they are unhappy with americans

Ok, smart boy, howzabout you ask them if they want Saddam back? Somehow I don't think that would go over well, either- odds are they'd choose us over him any day. Go ahead, go over there and ask if you have any doubts...or weren't the scenes of Iraqis cheering and greeting American troops when they arrived good enough for you?

Quote:being they gaurd the oil wells and stand there as tanks smash the crap out of innocent commuters/bystanders

Where do you pull your info from, the supermarket tabloids? The US military never intentionally targets civilians. If the president were to order that, any sane officer would refuse to carry out such orders.

As for guarding oil wells... It's that same oil which will support Iraq's economy when this is all over- allowing those to be destroyed would leave the country with little to no way of getting back on its feet again. Since the Iraqi military is in shambles, and their police still not at full strength, the only force in the region capable of protecting those wells IS the US military. When we eventually pull out, I'd expect that the oil wells will be transferred to the control of a new Iraqi force.

Quote: liberating is not demolishing infrastructure and imposing our beliefs on them

No, you're right, it's leaving a sadistic, aggressive, pompous ass of a dictator in power who will rape, pillage, burn, mutilate, murder, and imprison innocent people in his own country! Yup, there's the very essence of liberty right there, yessireeeee...[/sarcasm] :rolleyes:

Quote:hey anyone ever hear of spain comin over and kicking the shit out of nine million native americans? but that was completely different . . . right?

Actually, my slightly retarded friend, yes. For one, the US isn't after gold. Secondly, we aren't turning the Iraqi population into slave labor. Third, we aren't trying to turn them all into Catholics.

Fourth, we're trying to help preserve any historical sites in Iraq, not demolish them.

Quote:becasue they dont have a friggin dyslexic retard on a power trip in control

Since when did dyslexia equate to retardation? Watch your step, here, several of my family are dyslexic, and you'd be well advised to not use that as an insult- they're smarter than you'll ever be.

As for people on power trips...people like Saddam?

---