Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Sat, 14 Feb 2004 16:20:56 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Actually, I don't recall ever saying this. Did you read the first three pages where I belted out facts and numbers? That's not blather, it's arguing.

majikentThat's funny... I don't remember anyone else saying this:

This makes it inherently untrue because why? Also, I was just making a stupid statement to show how dumb your earlier one was.

majikentYou get the point...

Yes, I do....you're an idiot. All those things you said were just supid, and you didn't post my later replies to them, which would make it look like I didn't know what I was talking about, when in fact I did.

majikentThen the past two pages you defend Llama and his "friend". Why? No clue.

Seems like someone hasn't bothered reading what I post except what others post about it. I already said why I was defending llama man and pimp boy joe, because innocent until proven guilty. Everyone attacking llama man and pimp boy joe only had a same IP and opinions, which was pretty silly in my eyes.

majikentMaybe the reason they figured out they were both the same person was because they did did have the capability to prove it.

Perhaps, but highly unlikely. I doubt any of them work at the psychic friends hotline or personally know pimp boy joe or llama man.

majikentWhy go against what everyone else is saying, even when there is some sort of proof, and you have nothing backing your argument?

Alright, lets say some cops arrest someone and take him to court. In the court he is charged with murder because both the police officers recall seeing him in the same city on the same day the murder was committed. You think that would ever fly in courts? Hell no. If the opposing argument isn't strong, you don't need anything to back you besides that.

maajikentOr maybe, you just like to see yourself post. Oh wait! I was the first one to say that too.

Congratulations, you must have not read the thread.[/i]