Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by Crimson on Thu, 05 Feb 2004 22:55:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Llama Man 451crimson- about your poster . . . firstly almost all of the "facts" said "alleged" or "allegedally" which doesnt really make them "facts" now does it? secondly a lot of them were either about random things that didnt make any sense, or werent even or just plain irrelevant. also i think i have a better definition of CONSTITUTIONALIST, even though yours was pretty god

CONSTITUTIONALIST- n (con-sti-tu-tion-a-list) greedy asshole who thinks he can impose on anyones business whenever and wherever he feels like, also known to care only about his/her self.

LOL - he had to use "allegedly" wherever he didn't have complete proof that the event took place. It's a word you have to use to avoid being sued for libel or slander. I'm sure you don't have quite so many "allegedly"s tied to your past. And this is only a tiny part of all the information on there. Actually, I should have bet money that you'd jump on the "allegedly" and not think about what you've read.

Your insult of the Constitution is blatantly rude and ignorant. To insult the very document that this nation was founded on and has operated under for over 200 years is not only ignorant, but practically treasonous! But mostly ignorant.