Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 05 Feb 2004 00:43:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nukelt15That would work too, but it's really a case of the lesser of the two evils. I'd rather keep Bush and make sure things like the space program and the second amendment stay firmly in place (plus, there's always the changing presidents in the middle of a war thing...especially if he wants to press on and the dems want to back off) than change presidents and have some new nincompoop screw everything up.

Have you ever possibly considered that Bush doesn't always carry through on what he says he does? Apparently, the answer is a resounding "NO". If you payed any attention to the news, you might catch on. My 2 cents is to read the New York time for 3 weeks straight. And here comes Bush saying that we have to go to the moon? Why? No reason, really. He just wants to start big, expensive government programs to fool people in to thinking that he has the country under control, when in real life the space program is one of the last things we need to be starting. Ahh, the second amendment? That's just an ancient amendment made way back when the country was very, very different, and America was still a fledgling country and was still under threat from invasion. Nowadays, the only reason anyone needs a gun in their home is for hunting, there is very little reason to have a gun in one's home for personal defence. You're about 30 times more likely to shoot a family member than a robber. Although, one of the big reasons I don't like the NRA [they definitly sponsor the second amendment] is because they are so crooked when they come to politics. They bribe people left and right to keep the second amendment alive.

You know, there never really was a "War" in Iraq. it was more like an armed beatdown. For this reason, the U.S. doesn't really need a huge military presence in Iraq any more. All they do now is sit around and take pot shots at the odd extremist group. And Bush is lying about how much the war is costing us. When he submitted his budget to Congress, he conveniently left out the "War" in Iraq, the biggest expenditure by this country right now. Do you really want to vote for a president who uses lies to try and convince people that he is actually a good president. And none of those democratic presidential candidates are a "nincompoop". Except Lieberman, I'll give you that. But he isn't going to get on the ballot against Bush anyway. Moving on, how would any of the other candidates screw things up in Iraq? The fact that someone said that earlier in this post doesn't make it true. None of them are just going to make every last troop pack up and leave as soon as they get into office.