Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Wed, 04 Feb 2004 02:04:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonIt's not a 'so-called' poster. It does actually exist. I just can't find it, so I'll have to get another one.

Some more points you'll have to address are:

- 1) What did Clinton DO to create these jobs? Just because jobs became available, doesn't mean you can pinpoint any real or probable Clinton causes for these jobs.
- 2) Why do you think a huge spike is such a good thing? I say it's not. While many people were making millions of dollars in that market upswing, many of them lost all it, and much more. I worked at Charles Schwab talking to traders all day long during that market upswing, and plenty of the way into the fall as well (until June 2002 in fact), so I was there day to day knowing what was going on on the trading floors. And most of them were cursing Clinton for it.
- 3) "3 years into his first term, Clinton had actually created more jobs in America, not lost them." -- Once again, no facts to back this up. If you're going to try and interpret this way, then you'd have to supply some sort of year-by-year comparison. And you're only guessing about the future. If, for example, Bush "loses" 27 million jobs in the first year, then each year after that gains 8 million jobs, then you're looking at a net loss of 3 million jobs at the three-year mark, but if that upward trend continues, you'd look at 37 million net jobs gained at the end of his hypothetic 8 year term.

Note that was an example, created to point out glaring flaws in your logic.

Also, I'd prefer a steady constant gain in the market indicators rather than erratic sharp movements up and down. People lose money that way all too easily, no matter which way it's going. Smooth steady growth is better for everyone, except for the minority who trade short.

First things first: If you can't find the poster, it doesn't exist in my eyes. get another one and let me tear it apart, will you?

Moving on...[paragraph by paragraph]

What did Clinton do to create these jobs.....if jobs can't be traced back to Clinton, I guess it's just a HUGE COINCIDENCE that jobs started increasing as soon as he got into office until he left and jobs started going down under Bush Jr. And don't even lie to yourself about Bush "trying to stop a boulder going down a hill." It's Bush's own inability to control the market tht is causing a decrease in jobs, not what Clinton did.

So markets going up are a bad thing.....you probably should have given a few details here other than, "Oh, I was in the business, I would know." And so many people were cursing Clinton for it? Yah, probably the same people who try to drink coffee through the handle of their cup.

Oh, sorry I didn't "supply" facts, I guess if you want them you're going to have to throw some numbers of your own in to the pot. So far I've been talking numbers and statistics, and you've

been saying about how you "were there" and "everyone hates Clinton" without anything to back it up. Don't tell me to put numbers behind everything until you start doing it yourself.

Ah yes, about the market...

There is no way in hell you can make the market staay steady. Unless you play like Bush Senior and keep the market nice and low so it really can't take big spikes down. Please note that I'm still using your chart here.

## To sum this up:

- 1) Get your supposed "poster"
- 2) Post said poster here so I can have a good laugh at how silly the whole concept is.
- 3) Flush poster down toilet
- 4) Come back here so I can convince you to vote for the Democratic presidential candidate instead of Bush.