

---

Subject: OT: Political IQ Test

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Sun, 01 Feb 2004 23:17:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So you're saying that the government doesn't actually control the economie's ups and downs? That's just plain wrong. And please don't tell me to read any silly books.

EDIT: Crimson, I got those statistics you wanted. Lets take a look at them, shall we?

In Clinton's two terms, roughly 23 million new jobs were created. Now, when I say roughly, I mean between 22 and 24 million jobs, not between 30,000 and 1 million. He was able to create that many new jobs because he inherited a horrible economy from George Bush Senior, and then he fixed it, contrary to what ACK said before about it being from Reagan's tax cuts. That's just wrong, plain and simple. I took this number out of a transcript from Kerry talking to some media person.

So far in Bush's term, he's managed to lose nearly 3 million jobs, or about 2.9 million. I found this buried deep in <http://www.fedstats.org>, a website that doesn't take sides.

Well, looks like Bush wasn't quite as good as Clinton for the economy. Even though he said he promised to help the economy in his election speeches for his first term. So, please see his speeches for the B.S. they are later this year.

---