
Subject: Renmaps.cjb.net Server is now full time.
Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 21 Jan 2004 22:58:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AlkalineIncase you need more proof:
<http://www.lsulaw.com/about.htm>

read what ican has to say as well, in dodgeviper.com's case the owner used the domain in BAD FAITH, he tried to sell it and make money off it, hence 2 commerce comes into play, and trademark law has a stake in the case.

As long as you use a domain in good faith, there is nothing you can do about. I'm using <http://www.renmaps.net> in good faith, and msgtpain can't do jack shit about it.

However, I on ther other hand could nail him for libel for saying he was hosting maps for me.

Libel? You have got to be kidding me. Guess what? ONLINE reputations mean NOTHING. Unless him saying that you hosted "his" maps damages your reputation to any great degree IN REAL LIFE, then yes he could be libel. But somehow I highly doubt that would happen. "Oh no! I lost my friends and my job because someone said I hosted their maps"

Quote:no, dodgeviper.com lost the case because he tried to sell the domain on ebay! In that case, trademark law applies because he is trying to make money off dodge company. However, before he tried to sell the domain, dodge could not have done anything.

Once again, you only report PART of the whole thing. It's too much to quote everything that was done (I will if I have to) but it wasn't that fact that he tried to sell it, it's that he tried to sell it for MORE than what he had spent on it. In otherw words, make a profit. However, if you read the things the complain listed, AS WELL as the rulings, you'll find that it was more than just selling the domain name for profit. As the ruling stated:

Quote:The Panel decides that (1) the Domain Name registered by Respondent is identical to the DODGE and VIPER, (2) that Respondent has no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the Domain Name, and (3) that the Domain Name has been registered and used in bad faith.

Edit: I just looked at the LSUlaw link. Interesting how you say that the lawsuit has been decided, considering the site states that it is still ongoing. Sure it could just be that the owner hasn't updated the site, but it still isn't proof that what you say is true.
