Subject: Why do you think?

Posted by Javaxcx on Mon, 12 Jan 2004 20:45:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nukelt15I didn't make myself clear enough I guess...what I mean to say was that I don't know what will happen when I die, yet am sure SOMETHING will(as in, I believe in an afterlife, but I don't know and don't want to know what it might be like). That has nothing to do with a belief or disbelief in god.

I plainly do not believe that any form of deity is possible, because everything has to be created by something else.

I tried to explain this, but I guess I didn't do a good job, so I'll try again.

As far as logic goes, there must always be a creation must always have a creator, whether it is sentient or not is not relivant AT THIS TIME, I'll explain why it is relavant later.

Now, I know what you may say, using an example of course: "Well, what about the creation of CO2 from fire? Fire isn't sentient, but it creates CO2!"

That's right, fire isn't sentient, but the process of oxidizing carbon isn't creation. It's just a natural process which involves the rearrangments of atoms. Arguably, you could say that there is no such thing as "creation" in this universe (yes, you can even say that the birth of new life is NOT creation, merely because a human is the byproduct of organic matter formed from atoms which have always been here).

It's at this point where sentience becomes relivant. This is our proof that a higher being might exist, but of course may follow your theory that the creators are the product of a creation. However, in every case, in order for a creation to be created, the creator must be superior than the creation. If that made any sense. In a nutshell, if there is a God, He would have had to be not bound by logic and physics as we know them. But He must've been sentient, because if He wasn't, then there could have been no creation.

Creation does not logically "happen" in this universe (as far as we know). And that theory dates back to those scientific laws about energy and matter in that energy or matter cannot be created or destoryed, only manipulated.

However, since we can't PROVE that theory with evidence dating back to the beginning of the universe, we can't make that assumption that creation never happened... at least logically.

I know that all sounds all over the place, but it's a difficult concept to get across to the next person. I think Warranto understood it we were discussing it while ago, maybe he can give an explanation.