
Subject: Arnold got owned :)

Posted by [Sir Phoenixx](#) on Thu, 18 Sep 2003 21:29:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

setstylejordine1 on that other board 38% of all doctors in Iraq = women
<http://english.aljazeera.net/Special+Reports/Iraqi+Women.htm>

25% of all docs in America = women

<http://www.s-t.com/daily/03-03/03-25-03/l02tr026.htm>

35% of all docs in Australia = women

http://www.abc.net.au/dimensions/dimensions_health/Transcripts/s842706.htm

Apparently, he overlooked the flaws in that factual comparison. If 10 populants exist in Country A, with 5 being doctors, and Country B has 100 populants with 20 of them being doctors...

-Country A, with only 10 people, has a 50% rate

-Country B, with more people (100), has a 20% rate

But now back to our real-world statistics. Iraq, with a population of 24,683,313 (as reported in the July 2003 numbers on <http://www.cia.gov>), has quite a few less people than America's 290,342,554 (same source), statistics not good to work out without more information. If someone can find reliable figures on the number of doctors in Iraq and America, you can plug them in for x and y, respectively, in the following equations:

Iraq's female doctors = $(.38)(x)$

America's female doctors = $(.25)(y)$

It will then be clear who actually has more female doctors.

Edit: That is .38 times x there for Iraq's female doctors. My view showed a smiley face instead (which doesn't fit in too well).

Just turn off smilies for that post, or put a space in between the number and parenthesis.

And it doesn't look like he's comparing the actual number of female doctors, but the percentage of how many of the doctors are female.
