Subject: My personal concerns, and opinions about 'RenGuard'. Posted by warranto on Sun, 14 Sep 2003 04:58:31 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Iris2003Interesting..

pretty much doing the same as what you have described, its called FREEDOM OF SPEACH.

The picture in mention was originally on your site as I myself had viewed the picture from a link found at cncammo.com (I think)

With all the expertise you have at your fingertips im surprised your unable to provide an Anti Virus scanner log of this infected file and or a Firewall log of the application attempting to send out an unauthorized packet.

I stand by what I have found, which is, the FILE is NOT INFECTED.

I speculate you have Stated that it is infected due to the fact your not happy with this application

I do sympathies with the harassment your receiving but one must be careful when posting sensitive information on the Internet of a WAN accessible computer.

Regards

Iris

Do you even bother to research what you accuse people of????

Since you are obviously so ignorant of facts, I guess I have to provide you with the information publically, when you could have done this privately.

If someone has posted your photograph on a web site without your permission, you need to be aware of your rights. Aside from the fact that lifting someone's graphics or photos from their web site is a violation of copyright, there are other legal considerations if your request to have the photos removed isn't successful. To have a photo removed from a web site, consider whether any of the following has occurred:

## **Invasion of Privacy**

This may apply if the subject of the photo is portrayed falsely and/or in an offensive manner. It is also considered an invasion of privacy if the photograph was taken while the subject was in a situation where privacy was expected, i.e., the photographer took the photo while peeping through a bedroom window. Photos taken in a public place or at a gathering or event where the public is invited, generally does not constitute invasion of privacy.

Right of Publicity

This can occur when a photo is used on a commercial website. The unauthorized use of a photograph on web site that is selling a product or service would probably violate an individual's right of publicity as it may appear that the subject is endorsing the product or service.

## Defamation

Generally, this is when a photo is used to injure the subject's reputation. For example, if a photo is altered so that the subject's head appears on the body of a naked person or it is made to appear that the person is falsely engaged in illicit, illegal or inappropriate acts that would cause people to think less of him/her.

If any of these situations apply to you, you'll want to contact the web host (if the photos are posted on a private domain) or the webmaster or abuse department if they are hosted on a free web space provider or other web site. If the photo isn't removed, you should contact the legal department of the web hosting provider or take your complaint to the next level of support.

As I said, despite the fact that the pics were on a public domane, it doesn't give the person the right to harass, defame, and invade a persons privacy

Here I'll even do you the favor of breaking it down for you, since you seem unable to do that yourself.

Harassment: the specific thing that they are doing... which is broken down into the latter groups.

Defamation of Character: The photo's and personal information being displayed has the potential to cause harm to the reputation of those involved, even though an online reputation means nothing, displaying the personal information allows the possibility of the harassment to cary into her personal life.

## Invasion of Privacy

to quote from the site directly..."This may apply if the subject of the photo is portrayed falsely" This is true because the cheat suggests that those displayed were the creators of the cheat.

## Rights of Publicity

again to quote from the site... " The unauthorized use of a photograph on web site that is selling a product or service would probably violate an individual's right of publicity as it may appear that the subject is endorsing the product or service."

This applies as well with programs. Once again because the pictures being displayed will cause people to assume that they endorse the product.

Freedom of speech does not apply when it involved illigal activity.