
Subject: As a CW member, your career path is a limited one.

Posted by [warranto](#) on Wed, 03 Sep 2003 22:45:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

xC4pRoXpress charges.... *yawn* I wonder when the web-police is going to catch me for something that doesn't even make sense, as a matter of fact the law that states "It is illegal to place an icecream cone in your pocket" makes more sense than the "rights" you have when someone posts your picture without your "consent"... you people make me sick.

I posted the link before, but I'll do it again, just for your benifit...

<http://www.onlineharassment.com/photos.htm>

the posting of the picture can fall under one or more of the thee following categories:

Invasion of Privacy

This may apply if the subject of the photo is portrayed falsely and/or in an offensive manner. It is also considered an invasion of privacy if the photograph was taken while the subject was in a situation where privacy was expected, i.e., the photographer took the photo while peeping through a bedroom window. Photos taken in a public place or at a gathering or event where the public is invited, generally does not constitute invasion of privacy.

Right of Publicity

This can occur when a photo is used on a commercial website. The unauthorized use of a photograph on web site that is selling a product or service would probably violate an individual's right of publicity as it may appear that the subject is endorsing the product or service.

Defamation

Generally, this is when a photo is used to injure the subject's reputation. For example, if a photo is altered so that the subject's head appears on the body of a naked person or it is made to appear that the person is falsely engaged in illicit, illegal or inappropriate acts that would cause people to think less of him/her.
