

---

Subject: Re: A psychological question: "choose to believe"

Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Wed, 02 Mar 2011 16:00:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Like as if the other chasms of Christianity are any different! It's the same ice cream but different toppings each vying for canonical dominance depending on social trends.

I guess we should just look at the latest modernized "cleaned-up" belief strain of the religion as the ultimate final say.

Where will the Amazon tribesmen go in their afterlife? The Christian stance on that is throughly divided. Some will say they don't know. Some will say they are going to hell and yet, some will claim the gospel has to be brought to them (meaning they have to be saved from hell).

According to a suggestion above, we should listen to the latest modernized believers who outright believe things that aren't biblical or simply cop out of the question grandly by saying "I don't believe so." This begs the follow-up question asked by Spooky, "why not?"

Such modernist revisions of the religion conflict with those majority groups who held onto their dogmas on biblical authority for thousands of years alone while rejecting outside social influences; the very same secular influences which made us revisit the subject of hell from the prespective of a more mature moral dimension.

---