Subject: Re: don't ask don't tell

Posted by Pyr0man1c on Sun, 09 Jan 2011 10:37:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Carrierll wrote on Fri, 24 December 2010 02:30The News

America is today enacting legislation that will prevent openly heterosexual people from serving in the military...

Sounds perfectly reasonable, with servicemen and women in close quarters, with emotionally intense situations occurring almost daily... that could get distracting...

Any objections?

It would be better to have hetrosexual men and homosexual women. That way we reach a compromise with a large army (with only homosexuals, the army wouldn't be big enough), and we also get to keep pinup chicks, AND there's no chances of people being distracted.

Nukelt15 wrote on Fri, 24 December 2010 23:58Watching gay people having gay sex doesn't make you gay. So far, the only two ways to become gay are to either be born that way, or to make a conscious choice to engage in a homosexual lifestyle.

I've known people that have turned gay in the presence of other gay people...

R3, I think the point about the square circle was that it is logically impossible to draw one, just as it's logically impossible to lift an object created so that it couldn't be lifted...

Starbuzzz wrote on Fri, 07 January 2011 20:30Pyr0man1c wrote on Fri, 07 January 2011 15:46 Really though, this topic should be split so we can discuss God's omnipotence B-)

No! Let's not split the topic. This side discussion of "omnipotence" perfectly shows that theists can only debate a topic they are comfortable with. Why and how? Easy: when debating "omnipotence" theists don't have to deal with the hard parts, ie, is this god even real in the first place? are the literary works that they base their stupid assumptions on within sound reason? Are they uniform in providing a homogeneous data source about the "god" in question? Are the authors of these works confirmed and identified and reliable individuals? And most importantly, what is the seniority level of the religion compared with other competing religions?

No, it's not considering this is the same "non-physical being" that had to:

- -rest on the 7th day after 6 days of shooting out lame one-liners (1 lame one-liner a day).
- -feel the need to walk in the garden in the cool of the day
- -screw up the human language because he was scared shitless of humans unitedly building a tower to reach him in the clouds and kicking his ass
- -send down "chariots of fire" to pick up VIP's (Elijah)

Most often, he was probably some kind of lame alien with obvious limitations. "Omnipresent" and "omnipotent" were words that theologians grabbed and zealously attached to their god. They failed.

ps: read your bible without skipping a single verse.

Actually theists didn't start this side-topic... it was R3

Those references from the bibles would probably be considered as metaphors by Christians, I doubt they believe that God needed to rest on the 7th day...

Your last line here is important though, because:

CarrierII wrote on Sat, 08 January 2011 10:21Hypothesis: Being obnoxious about your faith, or lack thereof, is the real cause of problems relating to faith, or lack thereof.

Discuss.

People are always going to view things from their prospective, that's not easy to change. But if you are going to be obnoxious it helps if you know what you're talking about (ie:know everything about your religion)

Spoony wrote on Sat, 08 January 2011 16:53so long as the concept of hell is a mainstream religious belief - which it is - please don't say we atheists are the ones being offensive let's see somebody reply to that...